

First District



Gloria Molina

Second District



Yvonne Brathwaite Burke

Third District



Zev Yaroslavsky

Fourth District



Don Knabe

Fifth District



Michael D. Antonovich



#### Adobe Acrobat Reader 5.0

### **Finding Words**

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

### To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

#### To find the next occurrence of the word:

Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again

Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

# Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.

# To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following:

To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.



To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command.

- 2. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.
- 3. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard
  In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the
  Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose
  Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows
  Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	The Meeting Transcript of
2	The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
3	Tuesday, January 14, 2003
4	
5	>SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'D LIKE TO ASK EVERYONE TO TAKE THEIR
6	SEATS. THIS MORNING, THE INVOCATION WILL BE LED BY DR. DAFER
7	DAKHIL MASJID OMAR IBIN AT THE AL-KHAATAB FOUNDATION IN THE
8	SECOND DISTRICT. AND THE PLEDGE WILL BE LED BY CARL
9	MIYAGISHIMA, WHO IS THE ADJUTANT, SADAO MUNEMORO, I'M SORRY,
10	MUNEMORI, POST NUMBER 321 OF THE AMERICAN LEGION FROM THE
11	FIRST DISTRICT. DOCTOR IBIN?
12	
13	DR. DAFER DAKHIL: [ Foreign Language ] MADAM CHAIR, LADIES AND
14	GENTLEMEN, GOOD MORNING AND HAPPY NEW YEAR. LET US PRAY. LET
15	US SINCERELY PRAY THAT ALL THE PARTICIPANTS IN THIS MEETING
16	WILL MAINTAIN THEIR HEARTS CLEAR AND COMPASSIONATE AND WILL
17	KEEP THEIR MINDS OPEN AND UNDERSTANDING AND LISTENING,
18	THINKING, JUDGING, AND SPEAKING AND DECIDING. ALL THE
19	PARTICIPANTS ARE FULLY CONCERNED WITH THE WELFARE OF THE LOS
20	ANGELES COUNTY BENEFITING FROM THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE.
21	LET US PRAY THAT YOUR HEARTS WOULD BE FILLED WITH HOPE FOR A
22	BETTER FUTURE AND YOUR MINDS WILL HAVE THE WISDOM TO SOLVE THE
23	PRESENT PROBLEMS AND DRAW UPON VISIONARY PLANS THAT PROVIDE
24	HOPE TO ALL OF OUR COMMUNITIES, ESPECIALLY THOSE MOST IN NEED.
25	LET OUR HEARTS BE FULL OF LOVE FOR ONE ANOTHER AND FOR OUR



- 1 COMMUNITY AND FOR ALL HUMANS AND FOR THEIR ENTIRE LIFE AND
- 2 THEIR ENVIRONMENT IN ORDER TO SECURE, PRESERVE, AND DEVELOP
- 3 ALL OF OUR MATERIAL AND MORAL RICHNESS AND BEAUTY. LADIES AND
- 4 GENTLEMEN, DIVINE BLESSINGS ARE REPRESENTED IN THE TRAITS OF
- 5 HUMAN CAPABILITIES, TALENTS, AND CONTRIBUTION. LET US PRAY
- 6 THAT WE WOULD DO ALL OUR BEST TO LET OUR THINKING AND ACTIONS
- 7 IN THIS CHAMBER AND IN OUR DAILY LIVES MANIFEST THE DIVINE
- 8 BLESSINGS OF HUMAN -- OF THE HUMAN IDEALS. MAY YOUR FRUITFUL
- 9 APPROACHES AND DISCUSSIONS BE REWARDED BY PRODUCTIVE
- 10 CONCLUSIONS FOR THE WELFARE OF OUR COMMUNITY IN ALL MORAL AND
- 11 MATERIAL ASPECTS, WHICH WILL CONTRIBUTE TO THE WELFARE OF OUR
- 12 COMMUNITY, OUR NATION, AND THE ENTIRE GLOBAL FAMILY. LET ME
- 13 CONCLUDE WITH A VERSE FROM THE HOLY KORAN. OH, MANKIND, WE
- 14 HAVE CREATED YOU FROM A SINGLE PAIR, A MALE AND A FEMALE, AND
- 15 TURNED YOU INTO NATIONS AND TRIBES SO THAT YOU GET TO KNOW ONE
- 16 ANOTHER, NOT TO DESPISE EACH OTHER. VERILY, THE BEST OF YOU IN
- 17 THE EYES OF GOD ARE THE MOST RIGHTEOUS. AMEN.

18

- 19 CARL MIYAGISHIMA: AND EVERYBODY PLEASE REMAIN STANDING, FACE
- 20 THE U.S. FLAG, PLACE YOUR HANDS OVER YOUR HEART, AND RECITE
- 21 WITH ME THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. READY? BEGIN. [ Pledge of
- 22 Allegiance ]

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DR. DAFER M. DAKHIL IS THE DIRECTOR OF
- 25 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS FOR OMAR AL-KHAATAB



- 1 FOUNDATION. HE'S BEEN RECOGNIZED BY THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF
- 2 LOS ANGELES, THE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND OTHER PUBLIC AGENCIES
- 3 FOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN MULTI-CULTURAL BRIDGE-BUILDING, HUMANS
- 4 RELATIONS ISSUES AND ADVOCACY TO ACHIEVE EDUCATIONAL REFORM,
- 5 JUSTICE AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF HUMAN RESOURCES, FUNDING
- 6 AND EDUCATIONAL TOOLS IN PUBLIC EDUCATION AND TO REDUCE THE
- 7 ACHIEVEMENT GAP. HE IS ALSO THE RECIPIENT OF THE MARTIN LUTHER
- 8 KING LEGACY ASSOCIATION SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP
- 9 CONFERENCE OF GREATER LOS ANGELES 2002 PROPHETIC WITNESS
- 10 AWARD, AND THE MOUNT SAINT MARY COLLEGE 2002 CULTURAL FLUENCY
- 11 AWARD. WE CERTAINLY ARE VERY PLEASED TO HAVE YOU HERE TODAY,
- 12 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT INSPIRATION. [ Applause ]

14 SUP. MOLINA: MISS BURKE, THANK YOU. IT IS MY PLEASURE TO

15 PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO CARL MIYA --

17 CARL MIYAGISHIMA: MIYAGISHIMA.

13

16

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU SO MUCH SIR, I APPRECIATE THE HELP ON
- 20 THAT, FOR LEADING US IN OUR PLEDEGE OF ALLEGIANCE. HE IS A
- 21 MEMBER OF POST NUMBER 321 OF THE AMERICAN LEGION. HE SERVED AS
- 22 A SPECIALIST FIRST CLASS IN THE THIRD INFANTRY DIVISION OF THE
- 23 UNITED STATES ARMY FROM 1959, 1961. HIS COMMENDATIONS INCLUDE
- 24 THE NATIONAL DEFENSE SERVICE MEDAL AND THE MEDAL OF GOOD
- 25 CONDUCT. HE'S AN ACCOUNTANT AND HE HAS LIVED IN OUR DISTRICT



- 1 FOR WELL OVER 20 YEARS. THANK YOU SO MUCH, SIR, AND I'D LIKE
- 2 TO PRESENT THIS AWARD. [ Applause ]

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL NOW CALL THE AGENDA.

5

- 6 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 7 BOARD, WE'LL BEGIN ON PAGE 3. ON ITEM CS-3, THE COUNTY COUNSEL
- 8 REQUESTS A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON ITEM CS-7, ALSO THE COUNTY COUNSEL
- 13 REQUESTS A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE, AND THAT'S TO JANUARY 28th,
- 14 2003.

15

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. THAT'S CONTINUED FOR TWO WEEKS.

17

- 18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON PAGE 6, ON ITEM S-1, THE DIRECTOR
- 19 REQUESTS A THREE-WEEK CONTINUANCE TO FEBRUARY 4, 2003.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION -- I'M SORRY. ON S-1, IS
- 22 THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THAT? THREE WEEKS IS SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY
- 25 DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 1-D.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH,
- 3 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 6 AUTHORITY, ITEM 1-H.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE,
- 9 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

- 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL
- 12 PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEM 1-P.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 15 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ITEMS 1 THROUGH 16,
- 18 AND I HAVE THE FOLLOWING REQUESTS. ON ITEM NUMBER 2, HOLD FOR
- 19 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. ON ITEM NUMBER 4, HOLD FOR NIKKI
- 20 CARLSON. ON ITEM NUMBER 8, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT THAT
- 21 ITEM BE HELD. HOWEVER, ON ITEMS 7 AND 8, SUPERVISOR
- 22 YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS A ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE AND REFER TO THE
- 23 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER FOR PREPARATION OF A
- 24 COMPREHENSIVE RESPONSE TO THE STATE BUDGET.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THE CONTINUANCE?
- 2 ALL RIGHT. ITEMS 7 AND 8 BOTH OR AS A REQUEST FOR A
- 3 CONTINUANCE FOR ONE WEEK. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND A REPORT BACK FROM THE C.A.O.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: REPORT BACK ON THIS.

8

- 9 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SO THE REST OF THOSE ITEMS ARE BEFORE
- 10 YOU.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED
- 13 BY YAROSLAVSKY, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 17 THROUGH
- 16 20. ON ITEM NUMBER 20, HOLD FOR SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 19 ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 20 SO ORDERED.

21

- 22 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER/ WEIGHTS AND
- 23 MEASURES, ITEM 21.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

4 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, ITEM 22.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 7 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEM 23.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 12 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PROCEDURES, ITEM
- 15 24.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 18 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: COUNTY COUNSEL, 25.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 23 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

24

25 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** FIRE DEPARTMENT, 26.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 3 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: HEALTH SERVICES, 27 AND 28.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 8 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

10 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MENTAL HEALTH, ITEMS 29 THROUGH 31.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 13 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ITEMS 32 AND 33.
- 16 AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SHEET, ON ITEM 33, THE DIRECTOR REQUESTS
- 17 THE ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO JANUARY 21, 2003.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, 33 IS CONTINUED FOR ONE
- 20 WEEK. ON 32, IT'S MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 21 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 34 THROUGH 51. ON
- 24 ITEM 34, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SHEET, THE DIRECTOR REQUESTS
- 25 THE ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO JANUARY 21, 2003. ON ITEM



- 1 NUMBER 35, HOLD FOR SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND OTHERS, AND ON
- 2 ITEM 36, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN SHEET, THE DIRECTOR REQUESTS A
- 3 ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE TO JANUARY 21, 2003, AND ALSO ON ITEM 41,
- 4 AND A HOLD FOR SUPERVISOR BURKE.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ON 34 AND 36, THOSE ITEMS ARE CONTINUED FOR
- 7 ONE WEEK. WITHOUT OBJECTION, THEY WILL BE CONTINUED. ON THE
- 8 REMAINDER THAT AREN'T HELD, MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY
- 9 MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

10

- 11 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ON PAGE 24, THE SHERIFF, ITEM 52, THE
- 12 COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED TO CLOSED
- 13 SESSION.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, ITEM 52 IS REFERRED TO
- 16 CLOSED SESSION.

17

18 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEM 53.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH.
- 21 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS, ITEMS 54
- 24 THROUGH 57. ON ITEMS 54 AND 55, THE COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS A
- 25 TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE TO JANUARY 28, 2003.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ITEM 54 AND 55 WILL BE CONTINUED FOR TWO
- 3 WEEKS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ON THE REMAINDER, IT'S
- 4 MOVED BY ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 5 ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, ON ITEM 58,
- 8 AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6, SALARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES
- 9 COUNTY CODE RELATING TO SETTING SALARIES FOR DESIGNATED LACERA
- 10 PERSONNEL AND TO FACILITATE PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION WITHIN
- 11 LACERA.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY KNABE, SECONDED BY MOLINA. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 59 THROUGH
- 17 62. ON ITEM NUMBER 59, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS A TWO-
- 18 WEEK CONTINUANCE TO JANUARY 28, 2003.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ITEM 59, WITHOUT OBJECTION, WILL BE
- 21 CONTINUED FOR TWO WEEKS. ON THE REMAINDER, LET'S SEE ON 93, IS
- 22 THERE A STATEMENT TO BE READ?

23

24 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: OH THAT'S ON 63.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SEPARATE MATTER, OKAY, ON THE REMAINDER,
- 2 MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 3 ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SEPARATE MATTER, ON ITEM 63, AFTER
- 6 TABULATING THE BALLOTS, A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT A
- 7 MAJORITY PROTEST EXISTS AGAINST THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS
- 8 WITHIN THE AREAS COVERED BY SUBDIVISION NUMBERS 46138 AND
- 9 46139, AND ALSO A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO MAJORITY
- 10 PROTEST EXISTS AGAINST THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS IN THE
- 11 REMAINING FIVE SUBDIVISION AREAS.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AS A RESULT, I MOVE THAT THE BOARD ABANDON
- 14 PROCEEDINGS TO ANNEX AND LEVY ASSESSMENTS FOR SUBDIVISION
- 15 PROJECT NUMBER 46138 AND 46139 AND REFER THOSE MATTERS BACK TO
- 16 THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS, AND THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE
- 17 RESOLUTION ORDERING THE FORMATION OF LIGHTING MAINTENANCE
- 18 DISTRICT 1616-B AND THE DESIGNATION OF PALMDALE ZONE B OF
- 19 COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1 AND THE ANNEXATION AND LEVYING
- 20 OF ASSESSMENTS FOR THE REMAINING FIVE SUBDIVISION AREAS.
- 21 SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 23 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: PUBLIC HEARING, ON ITEM 64, HOLD FOR
- 24 HEARING. AND ON THE ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS, ON
- 25 ITEM A-3, HOLD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.



1

- 2 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 3 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 4 DISTRICT NO. 3.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: BEFORE YOU CALL YOUR PRESENTATIONS, I'D
- 7 LIKE TO ASK THAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY COME FORWARD AND ALSO
- 8 THAT WE HAVE THE DIRECTOR OF CALTRANS, DOUGLAS FAILING, COME
- 9 FORWARD. IS HE HERE? I DON'T SEE HIM COMING FORWARD. ALL
- 10 RIGHT. THEN WHY DON'T YOU -- WELL, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, WHY
- 11 DON'T YOU GO ON WITH YOUR PRESENTATION. OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
- 12 SUPERVISOR KNABE WILL DO HIS PRESENTATIONS. I THOUGHT HE WAS
- 13 HERE. ALL RIGHT. COULD I ASK EVERYONE FOR SOME ATTENTION?

- 15 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, LADIES AND
- 16 GENTLEMEN, IT'S MY PRIVILEGE THIS MORNING TO CALL FORWARD, WE
- 17 HAD A LITTLE RECEPTION AHEAD OF TIME AND WHERE WE PRESENTED
- 18 THE SCROLLS, BUT FOR THE FOURTH DISTRICT TEACHERS OF THE YEAR
- 19 FROM THE VARIOUS SCHOOLS THROUGHOUT THE FOURTH DISTRICT, AND
- 20 I'D LIKE TO WELCOME THEM. THEY'RE BEING JOINED TODAY BY THEIR
- 21 SUPERINTENDENTS WHO'RE IN THE AUDIENCE, FAMILY MEMBERS,
- 22 COLLEAGUES, BOARD MEMBERS FROM THE VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS,
- 23 BUT FIRST OF ALL, FROM THE BELFIRE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
- 24 TEACHER OF THE YEAR, HE'S A TEACHER OF ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCE



```
AT MAYFAIR MIDDLE SCHOOL, FROM BELFIRE UNIFIED SCHOOL
1
    DISTRICT, MR. PAUL GETTY. [ Applause ]
2
3
    SUP. KNABE: FROM DOWNEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, THE TEACHER
4
5
    OF THE YEAR IS DR. JOYCE HARMON, AND SHE TEACHES READING AT
   DOWNEY HIGH SCHOOL. [ Applause ]
6
7
8
    SUP. KNABE: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. NEXT IS SITINDER HAWKINS FROM
    LONG BEACH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. SHE TEACHES SOCIAL STUDIES
9
    AT ROGERS MIDDLE SCHOOL. [ Applause ].
10
11
    SUP. KNABE: NEXT FROM LONG BEACH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
12
    TEACHER OF THE YEAR, DEE QUALLS, AND DEE TEACHES COMPUTER
13
    TECHNOLOGY AT EMERSON PARKSIDE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL. [
14
15
    Applause ].
16
    SUP. KNABE: ALSO FROM THE LONG BEACH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
17
18
    ALSO TEACHING AT EMERSON PARKSIDE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL,
19
    FELICIA WARD. [ Applause ]
20
    SUP. KNABE: AND FROM MANHATTAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT THE
21
22
    TEACHER OF THE YEAR IS CAROL MATTHEWS. SHE TEACHES DRAMA AT
23
   MARA COSTA HIGH SCHOOL. [ Applause ]
24
```



- 1 SUP. KNABE: FROM PALOS VERDES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, SHE
- 2 TEACHES AT MIRA CATALINA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, CYNTHIA JEZAK. [
- 3 Applause ]

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: JASAK, ALL RIGHT. FROM PARAMOUNT UNIFIED SCHOOL
- 6 DISTRICT THE TEACHER OF THE YEAR, ANGELA ELLEN HESS. SHE
- 7 TEACHES PARENTING AT PARAMOUNT UNIFIED. [ Applause ]

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: FROM REDONDO BEACH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, EILEEN
- 10 DEBMAN, SHE TEACHES SECOND GRADE AT LINCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.
- 11 [ Applause ]

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: FROM THE ROLLAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, MR. JEFF
- 14 HOLT. JEFF TEACHES PHOTO AND CERAMICS AT SANTANA HIGH SCHOOL.
- 15 [ Applause ].

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: NOT ABLE TO ATTEND TODAY BUT FROM THE LOS ANGELES
- 18 COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION, THE TEACHER OF THE YEAR WAS JOHN
- 19 GUZMAN, AND JOHN IS BEING REPRESENTED BY JOSEPH RIVERA,
- 20 PRINCIPAL OF MADDOX. [ Applause ]

- 22 SUP. KNABE: AND THEN, OUT OF ALL THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE LOS
- 23 ANGELES COUNTY, WE HAD TWO OF OUR TEACHERS SELECTED AS
- 24 TEACHERS OF THE YEAR FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY, AND FIRST OF ALL,
- 25 AND I'D LIKE TO ASK ANGIE TO JOIN ME UP HERE AS WELL AS DR.



- 1 ROBLUS, OUR COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT, THE FIRST PRESENTATION FROM
- 2 MIDDLELAKE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, THE TEACHER OF THE YEAR, SHE
- 3 TEACHES FIRST GRADE, ANNETTE CARTER. [ Applause ]

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: AND THEN FROM TORRANCE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
- 6 THE COUNTY TEACHER OF THE YEAR, THE LAUNCH PRESCHOOL PROGRAM,
- 7 MR. DALE LOFFGREN. [ Applause ]

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: DR. ROBLUS, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY A COUPLE OF
- 10 WORDS?

11

- 12 DR. ROBLUS: ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION, I
- 13 WANT TO ALSO ADD MY CONGRATULATIONS TO THE TEACHERS OF THE
- 14 YEAR FROM THE DISTRICTS AND ALSO OUR COUNTY TEACHERS OF THE
- 15 YEAR AND ALSO A SPECIAL THANKS TO SUPERVISOR KNABE FOR THIS
- 16 SPECIAL HONOR. I THINK ALL OF US CAN SIT BACK AND REFLECT ON
- 17 WHAT TEACHERS HAVE DONE TO OUR LIVES AS INDIVIDUALS BUT MORE
- 18 IMPORTANT TO THE GREATER SOCIETY OF OUR COUNTRY. AND AGAIN,
- 19 IT'S AN HONOR TO REPRESENT THE BEST AND THE BRIGHTEST IN L.A.
- 20 COUNTY TO BE HERE ALONG WITH THESE WONDERFUL, WONDERFUL
- 21 TEACHERS OF THE YEAR. AGAIN, CONGRATULATIONS TO EACH AND EVERY
- 22 ONE OF YOU. [ Applause ] [ Mixed Voices ]

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOLLOWING UP ON THE RECOGNITION OF THOSE
- 2 OUTSTANDING EDUCATORS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, WE WOULD
- 3 LIKE TO RECOGNIZE TWO OF OUR SCHOOLS LISTED AS NATIONAL BLUE
- 4 RIBBON SCHOOLS FOR 2002. THAT'S TOLE MIDDLE SCHOOL OF GLENDALE
- 5 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND ARROYO SECO JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL OF
- 6 WILLIAM S. HART UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. SINCE 1982, THE
- 7 NATIONAL BLUE RIBBON SCHOOLS PROGRAM HAS PROMOTED AND
- 8 SUPPORTED THE IMPROVEMENT OF EDUCATION BY IDENTIFYING AND
- 9 RECOGNIZING SCHOOLS THAT ARE MODELS OF EXCELLENCE AND QUALITY.
- 10 THESE TWO SCHOOLS ARE SELECTED FOR THEIR HIGH-QUALITY
- 11 TEACHING, CHALLENGING CURRICULUM, AND FOR THEIR STRONG
- 12 PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN THE SCHOOLS AND THE COMMUNITIES. SO AT
- 13 THIS TIME, WE WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT TO TOLE MIDDLE SCHOOL, AND
- 14 WE HAVE WITH US ELAINE McCOYA, WHO IS THE PAST PRESIDENT, JAN
- 15 HOMAN, THE PRINCIPAL, CHUCK SANDBAR, PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD OF
- 16 EDUCATION, AND DANNY DIAZ, WHO IS THE STUDENT BODY PRESIDENT.
- 17 [ Mixed Voices ] AND FOR THE ARROYO SECO JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL,
- 18 WE HAVE JACQUELINE SNYDER, WHO IS JACKIE SNYDER THE PRINCIPAL;
- 19 ROBERT LEVY, THE SUPERINTENDENT; AND STEVE STURGEON, WHO IS A
- 20 MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION. JOSHUA PRESTON, THE STUDENT
- 21 BODY PRESIDENT. [ Applause ]

- 23 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING. ON BEHALF OF THE GLENDALE UNIFIED
- 24 SCHOOL DISTRICT, WE'RE VERY PROUD AND HONORED TO RECEIVE THIS
- 25 AWARD FROM THE COUNTY BOARD AND APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT. AND I



- 1 ALSO WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY -- QUICK OPPORTUNITY
- 2 TO INTRODUCE OUR SCHOOL STAFF SEATED OVER TO THE RIGHT, A VERY
- 3 FINE COMMUNITY OF TEACHERS, COUNSELORS, SUPPORT STAFF,
- 4 CLASSIFIED, AND WE HAVE SEVERAL PARENTS AND BOARD MEMBERS AND
- 5 OUR ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT ALICE PATROSIA FROM THE HOOVER
- 6 HIGH SCHOOL CLUSTER. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ Applause ]

7

- 8 SPEAKER: IT'S MY PLEASURE TO THANK THE BOARD AND MIKE
- 9 ANTONOVICH FOR THIS AWARD ALSO. BLUE RIBBON IS A WONDERFUL
- 10 HONOR AND CANNOT BE DONE WITHOUT THE SUPPORT OF EVERYONE.
- 11 TODAY WE ALSO HAVE THE TEACHERS WHO WROTE IT, STUDENT
- 12 REPRESENTATIVES AND OUR BOARD MEMBER, STEVE STURGEON, HERE TO
- 13 JOIN US AND CELEBRATE THIS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [ Applause ]

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TODAY WE WOULD LIKE TO INVITE RUTH JURGON,
- 16 THE PRESIDENT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION FOR WOMEN
- 17 AND RICO DUBAR, THE COMMISSIONER AND CHAIR OF THE 5-K RUN AND
- 18 WALK HEALTH EXPO, WHO WILL JOIN ME IN CONGRATULATING THE WOMEN
- 19 FOR HONORING AND HOLDING ITS FOURTH ANNUAL 5-K RUN/ WALK
- 20 HEALTH EXPO AT THE PASADENA ROSE BOWL THIS PAST SEPTEMBER
- 21 14th. AND THIS IS GOING TO BE FOR NEXT SEPTEMBER 14th? FOR
- 22 NEXT -- NEXT YEAR'S -- OR THIS COMING YEAR ON SEPTEMBER 14th.
- 23 THEY HAVE HAD A VERY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM. I'VE HAD THE
- 24 OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN A FEW OF THOSE, AND THEIR
- 25 LEADERSHIP HAS HELPED RAISE FUNDS AND CONSCIOUSNESS TO HELP A



- 1 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS FROM THIS COMMUNITY OF LOS ANGELES. THIS
- 2 MORNING, EACH SUPERVISOR IS GOING TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE
- 3 ACCOMMODATION TO THE RECIPIENTS FOR THEIR RESPECTIVE
- 4 DISTRICTS, AND EACH ONE OF THE RECIPIENTS ARE GOING TO RECEIVE
- 5 A 1,000-DOLLAR SUPPLEMENTAL SCHOLARSHIP BY THE LOS ANGELES
- 6 COUNTY COMMISSION FOR WOMEN TO ANY COLLEGE OR SCHOOL OF THEIR
- 7 CHOICE. AND NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 8 FOR THE FIRST PRESENTATIONS. YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING FIRST?
- 9 OKAY, OKAY.

- 11 RUTH JURGON: GOOD MORNING AND THANKS TO CHAIRPERSON SUPERVISOR
- 12 BURKE AND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU FOR HAVING US
- 13 HERE TODAY. WE COME BEFORE YOU TODAY WITH SOME GOOD NEWS
- 14 BECAUSE WE KNOW RECENTLY YOU'VE HAD AN AWFUL LOT OF BAD NEWS.
- 15 WE BRING BEFORE YOU FOR SCHOLARSHIP RECIPIENTS, 25 YOUNG
- 16 WOMEN, GIRLS, WHO ARE PART OF THE SYSTEM WHO ARE WORKING HARD
- 17 TO GET THEIR LIVES TOGETHER. WE WILL BE PRESENTING TO THEM A
- 18 THOUSAND-DOLLAR SCHOLARSHIP TO HELP THEM GET BACK IN SCHOOL
- 19 AND BUY THE NECESSARY ITEMS THAT THEY NEED. SINCE THE
- 20 INCEPTION OF OUR PROGRAM THREE YEARS AGO, WE HAVE PROVIDED 75
- 21 SCHOLARSHIPS AND I'M HAPPY TO SAY THAT OUR YOUNG GIRLS HAVE
- 22 GONE ON TO COLLEGE, SOME OF THEM ARE BECOMING DOCTORS, SOME OF
- 23 THEM ARE BECOMING NURSES, THEY'RE IN TRADE SCHOOLS, AND WE'RE
- 24 JUST VERY PLEASED THAT YOU ALLOW US THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK AS
- 25 COMMISSIONERS WITH AN OUTREACH PROGRAM TO THE COMMUNITY TO



- 1 HELP THESE YOUNG WOMEN. WE THANK YOU SO MUCH, AND TO OUR
- 2 FELLOW COMMISSIONERS, THANK YOU FOR ALL THE HARD WORK THAT
- 3 WE'VE DONE. AND TO OUR CHAIRPERSON, RICO DUBAR WHO WORKED SO
- 4 HARD IN THIS EFFORT, AND SHE IS A ATHLETE IN HER OWN RIGHT,
- 5 RICO. [ Applause ]

6

- 7 RICO DUBAR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH RUTH. OF THE FIVE DAY EVENT,
- 8 I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR YOUR CONTINUED
- 9 SUPPORT, AND WE HAD THE SUCCESSFUL FOURTH ANNUAL 5-K RUN/WALK
- 10 AND HEALTH EXPO FOR GIRLS AT RISK ON SEPTEMBER 14th, 2002, SO
- 11 I WOULD LIKE YOU TO MARK YOUR CALENDAR FOR OUR FIFTH
- 12 ANNIVERSARY EVENT, WHICH WILL BE TENTATIVELY TAKING PLACE AT
- 13 THE ROSE BOWL ON SEPTEMBER 20th, ON SATURDAY SATURDAY, THIS
- 14 YEAR, AND WE'D LIKE TO SEE YOU AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
- 15 CONTINUED SUPPORT. [ Applause ]

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MR. ANTONOVICH AND COMMISSIONERS AS
- 18 WELL. I'M GOING TO ASK THEM TO COME UP AND JOIN ME. THESE ARE
- 19 THE YOUNG WOMEN WHO WILL BENEFIT FROM THE LEADERSHIP THAT HAS
- 20 BEEN PROVIDED BY THE COMMISSION. THESE ARE SCHOLARSHIPS THAT
- 21 ARE GOING TO ASSIST THEM TO GET, FINISH UP AND KIND OF START
- 22 OUT ON REALLY SPECIAL CAREERS, AND SO IT'S MY PLEASURE TO MAKE
- 23 PRESENTATIONS TO THEM. FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE MARICEL ARROYO.
- 24 MARICEL PLANS TO ATTEND EAST L.A. COMMUNITY COLLEGE, SHE WANTS
- 25 A DEGREE IN NURSING AND WE WANT TO HIRE HER THE MINUTE THAT



- 1 SHE FINISHES. HER LONG-TERM GOAL IS TO BECOME A REGISTERED
- 2 NURSE, WHICH IS PROBABLY A VOCATION THAT CAN TAKE HER ANYWHERE
- 3 IN THE WORLD AND SHE WILL HAVE A JOB. SHE HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED
- 4 BY -- FOR THIS SCHOLARSHIP BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION. SO
- 5 CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU, MARICEL FOR THIS SCHOLARSHIP. [
- 6 Applause ]

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: NEXT WE HAVE CYNTHIA ESCOBAR. HER PLANS ARE TO
- 9 ATTEND CERRITOS COLLEGE. SHE WANTS TO GET A BACHELOR'S DEGREE
- 10 IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS, WHICH ALSO IS GOING TO, BEING IN THE
- 11 HIGH TECH AREA, IS GOING TO HAVE A TREMENDOUS FUTURE. SHE WAS
- 12 ALSO RECOMMENDED FOR A SCHOLARSHIP BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION.
- 13 CYNTHIA? [ Applause ]

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: NEXT WE HAVE BELINDA FIERO. BELINDA IS CURRENTLY
- 16 ENROLLED AT U.S.C. SHE IS MAJORING IN PSYCHOLOGY AND MINORING
- 17 IN EITHER ANIMATION OR PHILOSOPHY, WHAT A RANGE. SHE'S
- 18 INTERESTED IN WORKING AS A TEACHER, WHICH OF COURSE IS SO
- 19 VITAL TO OUR COMMUNITY AND AS A COUNSELOR TO PROVIDE
- 20 LEADERSHIP. SHE HAS BEEN RECOMMENDED BY A SCHOLARSHIP BY THE
- 21 D.P.S.S. FOOT HILL FAMILY SERVICES PROGRAM. BELINDA,
- 22 CONGRATULATIONS. [ Applause ]

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: ALSO, THERE ARE TWO OTHER YOUNG WOMEN FROM MY
- 25 DISTRICT WHO DID RECEIVE THESE SCHOLARSHIPS. THEY'RE NOT HERE



- 1 TODAY, MARICEL GARCIA, ALSO PLANNING ON ATTENDING U.S.C. SHE
- 2 IS GOING TO BE A POLITICAL SCIENCE MAJOR, AND WANTS TO MAJOR
- 3 IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AS WELL. SHE WANTS TO GO ON TO LAW
- 4 SCHOOL. SHE'S GOT BIG PLANS AND COULDN'T JOIN US TODAY. BUT
- 5 ALSO, LASHANNA BURDEN, WHO IS GOING TO BE ATTENDING CAL STATE
- 6 NORTHRIDGE, WHO WANTS A DEGREE IN PSYCHOLOGY, BUT HER BIG GOAL
- 7 IS TO BECOME A P.H.D. IN PSYCHOLOGY. SO ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD
- 8 OF SUPERVISORS, LET ME CONGRATULATE ALL OF THE YOUNG WOMEN,
- 9 BUT PARTICULARLY CONGRATULATE THE COMMISSION ON WOMEN WHO MADE
- 10 THIS SO VITAL AND ALL THE COMMISSIONERS WHO TOOK A VERY ACTIVE
- 11 PART. SO OLIVIA, RUTH, EVERYONE, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR JOINING
- 12 US THIS MORNING. CONGRATULATIONS.

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE THE YOUNG WOMEN

- 15 FROM THE SECOND DISTRICT. AND THE FIRST IS SHANNA ELLIS, WHO
- 16 PLANS TO ATTEND SANTA MONICA COLLEGE. SHE WILL STUDY FASHION,
- 17 BUSINESS, AND MARKETING. SHE WANTS TO EARN A FOUR-YEAR DEGREE
- 18 IN FINE ARTS, AND SHE WAS RECOMMENDED BY THE BIG BROTHERS AND
- 19 SISTERS OF L.A. THANK YOU. [ Applause ] FAITH JORDAN, CURRENTLY
- 20 ENROLLED AT SOUTHWEST COLLEGE, WANTS TO OBTAIN A B. A. IN
- 21 CHILD PSYCHOLOGY. SHE DESIRES TO BE A MENTOR TO FOSTER CARE
- 22 YOUTH. THAT'S A WONDERFUL THING, AND SHE'S RECOMMENDED BY
- 23 D.C.F.S. INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER. CONGRATULATIONS. [
- 24 Applause ]

25



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALICIA LEWIS, CURRENTLY AT SOUTHWEST
- 2 COLLEGE, WANTS TO OBTAIN A B.A. DEGREE IN PSYCHOLOGY. ALSO
- 3 INTERESTED IN FASHION DESIGN. AND SHE WAS RECOMMENDED BY
- 4 D.C.F.S. INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER. [ Applause ]

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NATALIE MARIE RODRIGUEZ, AND NATALIE WANTS
- 7 -- SHE'S CURRENTLY ENROLLED IN THE NURSING PROGRAM AT MOUNT
- 8 ST. MARY'S COLLEGE. SHE WANTS TO BECOME A REGISTERED NURSE,
- 9 AND SHE WAS RECOMMENDED BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION.
- 10 CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. [ Applause ]

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MAYBEL SUPIDA, WHO IS INTERESTED IN
- 13 LEARNING AMERICAN SIGN LANGUAGE AND WORKING WITH THE DEAF. HER
- 14 CAREER GOAL IS TO BE A SOCIAL WORKER, AND SHE'S RECOMMENDED BY
- 15 THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION. [ Applause ]

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE REALLY WANT TO CONGRATULATE THESE YOUNG
- 18 WOMEN AND THEY HAVE MARVELOUS GOALS, AND THEY'RE WELL ON THEIR
- 19 WAY. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU AND CONGRATULATIONS... [ Applause
- 20 ] [ Mixed Voices ]

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I'D LIKE TO CALL ON THIRD
- 23 DISTRICT RECIPIENTS WHO ARE ON THEIR WAY HERE. FIRST OF ALL,
- 24 MORGAN AGUILAR. WHERE'S MORGAN, HI. MORGAN IS A GRADUATE FROM
- 25 TAFT HIGH SCHOOL IN WOODLAND HILLS. SHE WILL BE ATTENDING



- 1 VALLEY COLLEGE IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AND STUDYING MEDICAL
- 2 -- STUDYING IN THE MEDICAL FIELD AND PLANS TO TRANSFER TO CAL
- 3 STATE NORTHRIDGE. SHE'S BEEN RECOMMENDED FOR THE SCHOLARSHIP
- 4 BY THE OFFICE OF EDUCATION. WE WISH HER THE BEST OF LUCK,
- 5 MORGAN. [ Applause ]

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NEXT IS CINDY CLONGRASETA. DID I SAY THAT
- 8 CORRECTLY, ALL RIGHT? COMPLETED HIGH SCHOOL IN DECEMBER. SHE
- 9 GAINED COLLEGE CREDITS BY COMPLETING THE CULINARY ARTS CLASS
- 10 AND ATTENDING THE INSTRUCTIONAL TELEVISION CLASS AT HER
- 11 SCHOOL. AND HER LONG-TERM GOAL IS TO TEACH PHYSICAL EDUCATION
- 12 OR TO BECOME A COACH, AND THERE'S SOME SCHOOLS IN TOWN WHO ARE
- 13 MAY BE LOOKING FOR A COACH SOON. AND, HEH. [ Light Laughter ].

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SHE WAS ALSO RECOMMENDED BY THE OFFICE
- 16 OF EDUCATION. CINDY, CONGRATULATIONS. [ Applause ]

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NEXT IS TAYLOR CUT. TAYLOR PLANS TO ATTEND
- 19 U.C. SAN DIEGO, OR CAL STATE SAN DIEGO. SHE WANTS TO MAJOR IN
- 20 PERFORMING ARTS, SPECIALIZING IN DANCE. WANTS TO WORK IN THE
- 21 ENTERTAINMENT INDUSTRY AND WAS RECOMMENDED BY BIG BROTHERS AND
- 22 SISTERS OF LOS ANGELES. [ Applause ]

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. NEXT IS KARA SHAW DAY, WHO'S ENROLLED
- 25 IN CERRITOS COLLEGE, MR. KNABE, WANTS TO TRANSFER TO CAL STATE



- 1 DOMINGAS HILLS AND MAJOR IN CHILD DEVELOPMENT. SHE WANTS TO
- 2 MINOR ALSO IN CHOREOGRAPHY. SHE'S RECOMMENDED BY THE BOYS AND
- 3 GIRLS CLUB OF SANTA MONICA. KARA? [ Applause ]

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND LAST BUT CERTAINLY NOT LEAST IS VALERIE
- 6 VELASQUEZ, WHO PLANS TO ENROLL IN COMMUNITY COLLEGE. HER
- 7 CAREER GOALS ARE TO BECOME A REGISTERED NURSE AND WE NEED A
- 8 LOT OF REGISTERED NURSES. AND SHE'S RECOMMENDED BY THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES AND EL NINO FAMILY
- 10 CENTER. [ Applause ]

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU. MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, FROM
- 15 THE FOURTH DISTRICT, THE SCHOLARSHIP WINNERS, FIRST OF ALL,
- 16 ERIKA COSTA. ERIKA PLANS TO ATTEND EL CAMINO COLLEGE AND WANTS
- 17 TO BECOME A PRESCHOOL TEACHER. ALL RIGHT. [ Applause ]

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: AND NEXT IS NICOLE HENDRINO. SHE LIVES IN
- 20 WHITTIER, SHE'S CURRENTLY ENROLLED AT FULLERTON COLLEGE, SHE
- 21 WANTS TO TRANSFER TO CAL STATE FULLERTON OR CAL STATE LONG
- 22 BEACH. HER LONG-TERM GOAL IS TO OBTAIN A MASTER'S DEGREE IN
- 23 BUSINESS. CONGRATULATIONS, NICOLE. [ Applause ]



- 1 SUP. KNABE: NEXT IS CANDY MARSH. CANDY LIVES IN LONG BEACH.
- 2 SHE'S CURRENTLY ENROLLED AT CAL STATE LONG BEACH. HER MAJOR IS
- 3 CHILD DEVELOPMENT AND FAMILY STUDIES. SHE WANTS TO OBTAIN HER
- 4 BACHELOR'S AND MASTER'S DEGREE IN CHILD DEVELOPMENT. ALL
- 5 RIGHT. [ Applause ]

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: NEXT WE HAVE VANESSA VALDEZ, AND SHE LIVES IN
- 8 WHITTIER. SHE PLANS TO ATTEND EITHER RIO HONDO OR CERRITOS
- 9 COLLEGE AND LATER WANTS TO ATTEND COLOMBIA UNIVERSITY AND HER
- 10 CAREER GOALS ARE TO BE A TEACHER OR A LAWYER. ALL RIGHT,
- 11 VANESSA. [ Applause ]

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: ALSO WITH US IS THE RECIPIENT FOR THE ATHERTON
- 14 SCHOLARSHIP AWARD, AND THAT'S LETICIA TURNER. SHE LIVES IN
- 15 LONG BEACH ENROLLED AT THE ACADEMY OF ART COLLEGE AND IS
- 16 SEEKING A DEGREE IN FINE ARTS, AND SHE WANTS TO BE A TEACHER
- 17 AFTER SHE GRADUATES. CONGRATULATIONS. [ Applause ]

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: UNABLE TO BE WITH US TODAY, SHANNA COUPLES FROM
- 20 LONG BEACH. SHE PLANS TO ATTEND NEW YORK UNIVERSITY WHERE
- 21 SHE'LL HAVE A DOUBLE MAJOR IN THEATRE ARTS AND LINGUISTICS. [
- 22 Applause ]

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW FROM THE FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT, WE
- 25 WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THE FOLLOWING RECIPIENTS FOR THIS YEAR'S



- 1 SCHOLARSHIP. CAMILLE BALCE, WHO PLANS TO ATTEND CALIFORNIA
- 2 STATE UNIVERSITY AT LONG BEACH, INTERESTED IN STUDYING CHILD
- 3 PSYCHOLOGY AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT. RECOMMENDED BY THE OFFICE OF
- 4 EDUCATION. [ Applause ]

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ROSA GARCIA, PLANS TO ATTEND CITRUS COLLEGE,
- 7 WANTS TO RECEIVE A DEGREE IN NURSING, HER GOALS. IS
- 8 RECOMMENDED BY THE DEPARTMENT, D.P.S.S., FOOTHILL FAMILY
- 9 SERVICES. [ Applause ]

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: GLORIA PEARCE PLANS TO ATTEND MOUNT SAC
- 12 COLLEGE AND WANTS TO TRANSFER TO CAL POLY WITH A CAREER TO BE
- 13 A SOCIAL WORKER, RECOMMENDED BY THE D.P.S.S. FOOTHILL FAMILY
- 14 SERVICES. [ Applause ]

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ALYSSA SALVADOR PLANS TO ATTEND ANTELOPE
- 17 VALLEY COLLEGE. PREVIOUSLY SHE ATTENDED PEARCE. SHE WANTS TO
- 18 GO TO CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT NORTHRIDGE AND MAJOR IN
- 19 ENGLISH LITERATURE, AND PLANS TO BECOME A HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH
- 20 TEACHER, RECOMMENDED BY THE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF THE
- 21 ANTELOPE VALLEY. [ Applause ]

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SHE'S NOT HERE THOUGH, MITCHIATEZ LUCIANO WHO
- 24 IS ENROLLED AT RIO HONDO COLLEGE, SHE WANTS TO OBTAIN AN M.A.
- 25 IN FINANCE AND INTERESTED IN A CAREER AS A FINANCIAL ADVISOR



- 1 OR BANKING. AND WE WILL SEND HER HER SCROLL AND HER
- 2 SCHOLARSHIP. WE NOW WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE NORTHRUP GRUMMAN
- 3 FOR ONE OF THE SPONSORS OF THIS EVENT, AND WE HAVE AKITA DAVIS
- 4 WHO IS HERE REPRESENTING NORTHRUP, CONGRATULATIONS, THANK YOU.
- 5 [ Applause ]

6

- 7 AKITA DAVIS: I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE ARE VERY PLEASED TO
- 8 SPONSOR SUCH A WONDERFUL EVENT SUCH AS GIRLS AT RISK, AND I'M
- 9 VERY HONORED TO ACCEPT THIS AWARD ON BEHALF OF NORTHRUP
- 10 GRUMMAN. [ Applause ]

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE KAISER
- 13 PERMAMENTE. WE HAVE JUDITH ZITNER WHO IS A COMMUNITY RELATIONS
- 14 MANAGER FOR KAISER. THEY DONATED \$25,000 TO THE COMMUNITY
- 15 HEALTH ALLIANCE PROGRAM AND TO BILL MOORE. REPRESENTING THESE
- 16 TWO ORGANIZATIONS ARE MARGY MARTINEZ. MARGY IS EXECUTIVE
- 17 DIRECTOR OF THE COMMUNITY HEALTH ALLIANCE PROGRAM AND AL
- 18 SORKIN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF BILL MOORE. DUE TO KAISER'S
- 19 GENEROSITY THESE DONATIONS HAVE ENABLED THESE TWO
- 20 ORGANIZATIONS TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL QUALITY HEALTHCARE FOR OUR
- 21 CITIZENS IN OUR GREAT COUNTY.

- 23 JUDITH ZITNER: THANK YOU. I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU AND ACCEPT
- 24 IT ON BEHALF OF KAISER PERMANENTE. WE DONATED \$25,000 TO EACH
- 25 OF THESE CLINICS THAT DO A FANTASTIC JOB IN THE GREATER



- 1 PASADENA AREA. AND WE'VE ALSO GIVEN OVER A HALF MILLION
- 2 DOLLARS TO COMMUNITY CLINICS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN 2002 TO
- 3 MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S ACCESS TO HEALTHCARE FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE
- 4 UNINSURED, AND I THINK THE COMMUNITY CLINICS AND THE COMMUNITY
- 5 CLINIC ASSOCIATION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY ALONG WITH THE COUNTY
- 6 CLINICS SHOULD BE COMMENDED FOR THE GREAT WORK THEY DO. THANK
- 7 YOU. [ Applause ]

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU. NOW WE HAVE A LITTLE GIRL WHO IS
- 10 THREE MONTHS OLD. HER NAME IS SHEBA. IT'S A GERMAN SHEPHERD
- 11 MIX. AND SHE'S LOOKING FOR A HOME. SO THIS IS SHEBA. SO
- 12 ANYBODY AT HOME WHO'S WATCHING CAN CALL AREA CODE 562-728-
- 13 4644, OR THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT SHEBA,
- 14 SHE WOULD LIKE TO FIND A LITTLE HOME TO BEGIN THE NEW YEAR.
- 15 HOW ABOUT THE MEDIA? THEY WOULD LOVE TO HAVE A LITTLE DOG.
- 16 THIS IS LITTLE SHEBA, AND SHE'LL BE AVAILABLE IN THE CORNER,
- 17 OR IF YOU WANT TO CALL THAT TOLL-FREE NUMBER AT THE BOTTOM OF
- 18 YOUR TELEVISION SCREEN. THANK YOU MARSHALL.

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: FIRST DISTRICT, GLORIA, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 21 PRESENTATIONS? OKAY. I'LL GO ON WITH MINE. WE'RE GOING TO PUT
- 22 OVER THE PRESENTATION TO SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. I'D LIKE TO
- 23 CALL UP SHOULD WE DO THIS FIRST? WORLD LITERACY CRUSADE
- 24 INTERNATIONAL QUALITY FOR LIFE AFTERSCHOOL PROGRAM 2002
- 25 AWARDEES. AND I'D LIKE TO CALL THE OFFICIALS AND HONOREES OF



- 1 THE WORLD LITERACY CRUSADE INTERNATIONAL TO COME FORWARD. THE
- 2 QUALITY FOR LIFE PROGRAM, WHICH IS RUN BY WORLD LITERACY
- 3 CRUSADE, CONSISTS OF 146 HOURS OF TRAINING FROM READING AND
- 4 MATH ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS THROUGH MOTIVATION TO ACHIEVE MATH
- 5 AND LITERACY EDUCATION. LEARNING HOW TO LEARN. ETHICAL
- 6 BEHAVIOR AND THINKING, TUTOR TRAINING SO THAT SUCCESSFUL
- 7 STUDENTS ADD TO THEIR ACHIEVEMENT BY LEARNING HOW TO SHARE
- 8 WHAT THEY HAVE LEARNED WITH OTHERS. A NUMBER OF STUDENTS AT
- 9 SAMUEL L. GOMPERS MIDDLE SCHOOL UNDERTOOK THIS RIGOROUS
- 10 AFTERSCHOOL PROGRAM AND COMPLETED IT WITH EXTRAORDINARY
- 11 RESULTS. A TOTAL OF 62 STUDENTS ENROLLED AND PARTICIPATED IN
- 12 THE PROGRAM AT GOMPERS, AND AT OTHER SCHOOLS. GENERALLY THERE
- 13 WAS AN INCREASE IN READING IMPROVEMENT OF ONE AND A HALF
- 14 GRADES IN SIX WEEKS, WITH MANY STUDENTS SHOWING PHENOMENAL
- 15 IMPROVEMENT IN OVERALL CLASS GRADES AS WELL AS IN BEHAVIOR AND
- 16 INTERACTION WITH OTHER STUDENTS. I SHOULD MENTION THE PROGRAM
- 17 FUNDING IS ACTUALLY THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL
- 18 SERVICES, AND I'M PLEASED TO RECOGNIZE WORLD LITERACY CRUSADE
- 19 INTERNATIONAL AND HAVE SOME OF THE OUTSTANDING STUDENTS WHO
- 20 HAVE COMPLETED THIS VALUABLE TRAINING, AND I'M GOING TO ASK
- 21 THEM TO COME FORWARD AND THEN I'M GOING TO HAND OVER THE MIC
- 22 TO THE DIRECTOR, WHO WILL MAKE A FEW REMARKS, UNLESS THEY
- 23 SELECT ONE OF THE STUDENTS TO MAKE THE REMARKS. FIRST, ALFREDA
- 24 JOHNSON. ALFRED? ALFREDE, ALFREDA?



```
SPEAKER: ALFREDE.
1
2
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALFREDE, OKAY. I NEED TO TAKE THAT COURSE.
3
    [ Laughter, Mixed Voices ] AND DR. HANAN ISLAM. HANNAN, OKAY,
4
5
    OKAY, HANNAN. JOHN SAVAGE, ONE I GOT RIGHT. [ Applause ]
6
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, VICTOR ARENNAS. VICTOR,
7
8
    CONGRATULATIONS. [ Applause ]
9
10
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S GREAT. LORRI CONCEA. [ Applause ]
11
12
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS.
13
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, NOW GREGORY BULLOCK. [ Applause ]
14
15
16
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: CONGRATULATIONS, JANET GARCIA. ACCEPT FOR
    JANET GARCIA. OLICIA HARDING, THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR. ALL RIGHT.
17
    NOW WHO'S GOING TO MAKE, WHO'S GOING TO SPEAK? REVEREND
18
19
    JOHNSON GOING TO SPEAK.
20
    REVEREND JOHNSON: ON BEHALF OF -- FIRST GIVE ON TO GOD, AND TO
21
22
    HONOR TO SUPERVISOR BURKE AND TO ALL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS. WE
23
    ARE EXTREMELY HONORED. WORLD LITERACY CRUSADE ENABLE OUR
    PARTNER ORGANIZATION ASSOCIATION FOR BETTER LIVING AND
24
25
    EDUCATION. WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN
```



- 1 SERVICE, FAMILY PRESERVATION PROGRAM FOR OVER ELEVEN YEARS,
- 2 AND THE DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION, AND WE'RE VERY HAPPY TO BE
- 3 CREATING MASTER LEARNERS. THESE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE SORT OF
- 4 BEEN PUT BY THE WAYSIDE, WE ARE TEACHING THEM IN THE MECHANICS
- 5 OF LEARNING, OUR NEW PROGRAM WE'LL BE WORKING WITH THE
- 6 DEPARTMENT OF LAKO TO PUT IN THE SCHOOLWIDE LITERACY
- 7 ACADEMIES, A PROGRAM THAT CREATES MASTER LEARNERS. WE ACTUALLY
- 8 TEACH NOT THE SUBJECTS, BUT THE MECHANICS OF LEARNING, AND
- 9 WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THAT, SO WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING
- 10 AND CONTINUE TO WORK FOR THE COUNTY AND THE PARTNERSHIP. THANK
- 11 YOU SO KINDLY.

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU'RE ACCEPTING FOR THEM. OKAY.
- 14 CONGRATULATIONS. YOU'RE ACCEPTING FOR THEM. ALL RIGHT. THANK
- 15 YOU. AND WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A PICTURE HERE. COULD WE JUST GET
- 16 ONE MORE PICTURE, PLEASE? WE'RE CALLING REPRESENTATIVES OF
- 17 DRUG FREE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FORWARD. DRUG FREE SOUTHERN
- 18 CALIFORNIA IS A SOUTH LAND MEDIA INITIATIVE FOCUSED ON
- 19 REDUCING SUBSTANCE ABUSE THROUGH MEDIA ADVERTISING.
- 20 ADVERTISING IS USED TO REINFORCE THE ATTITUDES OF CHILDREN AND
- 21 YOUNG TEENS AGAINST SUBSTANCE ABUSE, BY SHORING UP THEIR
- 22 COURAGE AND SELF-ESTEEM SO THEY CAN REJECT DRUG USAGE. A
- 23 VOLUNTEER STEERING COMMITTEE MADE UP OF MEDIA EXECUTIVES AND
- 24 LOCAL LEADERS, INCLUDING REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE DEPARTMENT
- 25 OF HEALTH SERVICES, ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION,



- 1 ALL WORK TO INCREASE VISIBILITY AND SUPPORT FOR MESSAGES TO
- 2 TEENS THROUGH SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. DRUG-FREE SOUTHERN
- 3 CALIFORNIA IS COORDINATED BY THE PARTNERSHIP FOR A DRUG-FREE
- 4 CALIFORNIA AND THE PARTNERSHIP FOR A DRUG-FREE AMERICA.
- 5 NATIONAL RESEARCH CONDUCTED BY THE PARTNERSHIP SHOWS THAT
- 6 ANTI-DRUG ATTITUDES ARE STRENGTHENED AND DRUG USE DECREASES
- 7 WHEN THE PARTNERSHIP ADVERTISING MESSAGES ARE RUN OFTEN. THE
- 8 FIRST DRUG-FREE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DAY WAS CELEBRATED IN
- 9 JANUARY 1997, AND ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, I'M
- 10 VERY PLEASED TO PROCLAIM THE WEEK OF JANUARY 12th THROUGH THE
- 18th, 2003, AS LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRUG-FREE WEEK, AND I'D LIKE
- 12 TO PRESENT THIS SCROLL. THIS SCROLL COMMEMORATING THE
- 13 PROCLAMATION TO MARY BETH GARBER, A MEMBER OF THE DRUG-FREE
- 14 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STEERING COMMITTEE AND A DISTINGUISHED
- 15 RADIO BROADCASTER. AND ACCOMPANYING HER ARE LINDA LOW, JOHN
- 16 STRANGER, AND ALSO STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERS, LISA HOLMAN,
- 17 REGIONAL MANAGER OF THE PARTNERSHIP, DOROTHEA SLOSS SLAUGHTER-
- 18 MITCHELL, A TEACHER AT 95th STREET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, AND
- 19 LYDIA BACERA, A MEMBER OF THE STAFF OF MY COMPETENT DISTRICT
- 20 OFFICE, AND I ALSO WANT TO RECOGNIZE STUDENTS FROM 95th STREET
- 21 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WHO WILL RECITE THE DRUG-FREE PLEDGE. WHY
- 22 DON'T WE HAVE THEM RECITE IT FIRST, THEN WE'D LIKE TO HEAR
- 23 FROM YOU. LET'S HEAR THE PLEDGE. I'M GOING TO PUT THIS MIC --
- 24 I THINK YOU SHOULD COME UP. COME UP HERE SO WE CAN REALLY HEAR
- 25 YOU.



1

- 2 All: I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO MYSELF AND WHO I WANT TO BE. I
- 3 CAN MAKE MY DREAMS COME TRUE IF I BELIEVE IN ME. I PLEDGE TO
- 4 STAY IN SCHOOL AND LEARN THE THINGS I NEED TO KNOW TO MAKE THE
- 5 WORLD A BETTER PLACE FOR KIDS LIKE ME TO GROW. I PROMISE TO
- 6 KEEP MY DREAMS ALIVE AND BE ALL THAT I CAN BE. I KNOW I CAN
- 7 AND THAT'S BECAUSE I PLEDGE TO STAY ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, VIOLENCE
- 8 AND DRUG-FREE. [ Applause ]

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: COULD CAROLYN COME FORWARD? WE'RE TRYING TO
- 11 TAKE A PICTURE. THANK YOU. THAT WAS WONDERFUL. YOU REMEMBERED
- 12 A LOT OF PLEDGE. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE...

- 14 MARY BETH GARBER: SUPERVISOR BURKE, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND
- 15 THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO THE SUPERVISORS AND THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 16 ANGELES FOR THE SUPPORT YOU'VE GIVEN US. THE DRUG-FREE
- 17 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GROUP HAS DONATED APPROXIMATELY 18 MILLION
- 18 DOLLARS WORTH OF AIR AND SPACE, ADVERTISING AIR AND SPACE IN
- 19 THE LAST SEVEN YEARS SINCE ITS INCEPTION. OF COURSE, IT'S A
- 20 SMALL STEP IN HELPING THE CHILDREN IN OUR COMMUNITY TO
- 21 UNDERSTAND THAT DRUGS ARE DESPERATELY BAD FOR THEM, AND THE
- 22 WAY TO DO THAT IS WITH ADVERTISING, AND AS SUPERVISOR BURKE
- 23 SAID, WE KNOW THAT ADVERTISING WORKS. IN FACT, SINCE 1985,
- 24 WHEN THE DRUG -- PARTNERSHIP FOR A DRUG-FREE AMERICA BEGAN
- 25 THIS INITIATIVE OF ADVERTISING AND SETTING THE ATTITUDE THAT



- 1 DRUGS ARE NOT COOL, APPROXIMATELY 7.4 FEWER USERS OF DRUGS
- 2 HAVE WALKED THIS EARTH. SO THAT'S A GREAT THING FOR THE UNITED
- 3 STATES OF AMERICA AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AND WE
- 4 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR SUPPORT. [ Applause ]

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATIONS, I THINK
- 7 THAT WE'LL START WITH THE THIRD DISTRICT. IS THAT CORRECT? FOR
- 8 THEIR ADJOURNMENTS? FOR ADJOURNMENTS AND SPECIALS.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT
- 11 WE ADJOURN TODAY IN THE MEMORY OF JOSEPH REMCHO, WHO WAS A
- 12 RESPECTED ATTORNEY IN THE BAY AREA AND LONG-TIME COUNSELOR TO
- 13 THE STATE DEMOCRATIC PARTY, AND A GENERATION OF DEMOCRATIC-
- 14 ELECTED OFFICIALS WHO DIED TRAGICALLY AT THE AGE OF 58 IN A
- 15 HELICOPTER CRASH A LITTLE OVER A WEEK AGO. HE'S SURVIVED BY
- 16 HIS WIFE, RONNIE KAPLAN, A DAUGHTER MORGAN AND A SON, SAM, AND
- 17 I THINK MANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HERE HAVE DEALT WITH
- 18 HIM OR HIS LAW FIRM ON A NUMBER OF ELECTION-RELATED ISSUES
- 19 OVER THE YEARS, AND IT'S A REAL LOSS TO THE STATE. DOUGLAS
- 20 MARTIN A LONG-TIME --

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: COULD I ALSO JOIN ON THAT ONE, PLEASE?

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ABSOLUTELY. DOUGLAS MARTIN, WHO'S A LONG-
- 25 TIME ADVOCATE FOR THE PEOPLE -- FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES



- 1 WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 55. STRICKEN WITH POLIO
- 2 AT THE AGE OF 5, DOUG SPENT SEVERAL YEARS OF HIS CHILDHOOD IN
- 3 AN IRON LUNG BEFORE ADAPTING TO THE WHEELCHAIR HE WOULD USE
- 4 FOR THE REST OF HIS LIFE. I KNEW DOUG VERY WELL. HE WAS A
- 5 GREAT FRIEND OF OURS AND OF THE OFFICE AND, YOU KNOW, FOR MANY
- 6 YEARS INVOLVED IN THE WEST SIDE COMMUNITY, THE WESTSIDE CENTER
- 7 FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING AND IT'S ANOTHER GREAT LOSS TO US.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD PUT EVERYBODY ON THAT, HE WAS A
- 10 MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, HE WAS. ALL MEMBERS. ALL MEMBERS. I
- 13 WANT TO MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF WILLIAM A. GRIER, A
- 14 LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT AND A BUSINESS EXECUTIVE
- 15 WHO WAS PRESIDENT OF HIS FAMILY BUSINESS, P.H. GRIER COMPANY,
- 16 WHO RECENTLY DIED. HE WAS AN AVID SPORTS FAN, DEDICATED
- 17 SUPPORTER OF HIS SCHOOL TEAMS AT UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AND
- 18 U.S.C. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, BILLY, HIS SON, JEFF AND
- 19 DAUGHTER, CAROL, FIVE GRANDCHILDREN.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: ZEV, I'D LIKE TO BE ON THAT, BILL AND BILLY WERE
- 22 LONG-TIME FRIENDS.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DID YOU HAVE ALL MEMBERS ON REMCHO? I WOULD
- 25 LIKE TO BE ON REMCHO.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL MEMBERS ON REMCHO ALSO. AND LAST IS
- 3 WILLIAM EDWARD COLEMAN, RECENTLY PASSED AWAY, HE WAS A
- 4 STEPFATHER OF MY HEALTH DEPUTY RON HANSON, IN MY HEALTH
- 5 SERVICES AND IN ADDITION TO RON HE'S SURVIVED BY ANOTHER
- 6 STEPSON ARNOLD HANSON, AND SEVERAL NEICES IN CANADA.

7

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL MEMBERS ON THAT AS WELL. THAT'S IT FOR
- 11 ADJOURNMENTS. MADAM CHAIR, I THINK I'D LIKE TO TAKE UP THE
- 12 ITEM ON -- I THINK IT'S ITEM 35. START WITH THAT. ON THE
- 13 MALIBU LAKE ISSUE. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAVE ANY PEOPLE WHO
- 14 ASKED TO BE HEARD. I THINK YOU PROBABLY DO, AND I WOULD
- 15 SUGGEST WE HAVE A BRIEF PUBLIC HEARING AND THEN I HAVE SOME
- 16 QUESTIONS OF THE STAFF, I'D LIKE TO ASK MR. MENESES TO COME
- 17 FORWARD.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, DO YOU WANT TO CALL THE PUBLIC
- 20 FIRST BEFORE THE STAFF?

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WOULD STANLEY W. LAMPORT,
- 25 BARBARA HANDLER, AND JOAN YABITSU COME FORWARD, PLEASE. OKAY,



- 1 AND THE OTHERS ARE OPPOSED. MR. LAMPORT, WOULD YOU PREFER TO
- 2 SPEAK FIRST OR WOULD YOU RATHER THE OPPOSED COME FIRST?

3

4 STANLEY W. LAMPORT: I'D PREFER THE OPPOSED COME FIRST.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELL COULD WE HEAR FROM BARBARA
- 7 HANDLER AND JOAN YABITSU, AND ALSO KENNETH HANDLER. ALL RIGHT.
- 8 MR. HANDLER, WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME AND YOU WANT TO GO
- 9 FIRST?

10

- 11 KENNETH HANDLER: YES. MY NAME IS KENNETH L. HANDLER, AND I
- 12 REPRESENT THE CORNELL PRESERVATION ORGANIZATION.

13

- 14 BARBARA HANDLER: I'M BARBARA HANDLER AND I'M WITH THE CORNELL
- 15 PRESERVATION ORGANIZATION, AND I'M GIVING UP MY TIME TO MR.
- 16 HANDLER.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

19

- 20 JOAN YABITSU: AND I'M JOAN YABITSU, AND I WISH TO RELINQUISH
- 21 MY TIME TO KEN HANDLER, ALSO.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THEN MR. HANDLER, YOU HAVE A
- 24 TOTAL OF SIX -- WELL, GO AHEAD AND WE'LL JUST TRY. HOW LONG IS
- 25 YOUR PRESENTATION GOING TO BE?

1

16

# The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



KENNETH HANDLER: IT'LL PROBABLY BE ABOUT 10 MINUTES, BUT OTHER 2 3 PEOPLE ARE DEFERRING TIME TO ME WHO ARE SCHEDULED TO SPEAK. 4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, COULD WE GET THEIR NAMES? IS CHET YABITSU, IS HE ONE OF THE PEOPLE? AND CHARLES KUNDERT? 6 NO? JAIME MASSEY, ARE YOU RELINQUISHING YOUR TIME? AND COLLEEN 7 8 HOLMES, ARE YOU RELINQUISHING YOUR TIME, OR DO YOU WANT TO BE CALLED? 10 SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ]. 11 12 13

- SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELL I THINK THAT WE HAVE THE
- TEN MINUTES. ALL RIGHT, HOW ABOUT MURRAY SUMNER? AND MARGARET 14
- 15 KRPAN? ALL RIGHT. WOULD YOU PLEASE START MR. HANDLER?

KENNETH HANDLER: BEFORE I START, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK 17

- 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY FOR GIVING US THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK
- 19 BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TODAY. I'M GOING TO PREFACE MY
- REMARKS JUST BY SIMPLY STATING THAT WE ARE A VERY SMALL 20
- 21 ENCLAVE COMMUNITY LOCATED IN THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS THAT
- 22 HAS THE UNFORTUNATE PROSPECT OF HAVING TO HAVE TO BRING TO THE
- 23 REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AN
- ISSUE THAT HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR APPROXIMATELY ELEVEN YEARS. 24
- AND THE PROSPECT THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IS A HUGE TYPE DINOSAUR 25



- 1 BRIDGE MEASURING APPROXIMATELY 260 FEET THAT IS GOING TO BE
- 2 PROPOSED TO ATTACH TO A SCENIC CORRIDOR, MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY,
- 3 WHICH WILL ULTIMATELY LEAD INTO A PROPOSED SUBDIVISION THAT
- 4 WILL BE IMPACTED DIRECTLY WITHIN THE HEART OF THE SANTA MONICA
- 5 MOUNTAINS. WE ARE TAKING ISSUE BASICALLY WITH THE PROCESS THAT
- 6 WENT FORWARD IN ESTABLISHING THE FACT THAT WE'RE HERE TODAY.
- 7 IT SEEMS KIND OF UNUSUAL THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 8 ANGELES ARE ACTUALLY HAVING TO CONFRONT OUR REPRESENTATIVES,
- 9 AND THAT WOULD BE THE PLANNING STAFF OF THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 10 ANGELES, OVER AN ISSUE THAT WE FELT SHOULD HAVE BEEN HANDLED
- 11 LOGICALLY, LEGALLY WITHIN THE REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND
- 12 OF COURSE BEFORE THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION. WE ARE JUST
- 13 SEEKING OUR DAY IN THE SUN. WE THINK THAT WE HAVE DEFINITE
- 14 ISSUES, WE HAVE REVIEWED ALL OF STAFF'S PROPOSALS, WE'VE
- 15 REVIEWED THEIR REVIEWS, AND WE ARE MORE ADAMANT THAN EVER IN
- 16 TAKING THE POSITION THAT THERE WAS A PROCESS THAT WAS FOLLOWED
- 17 BY COUNTY STAFF THAT LED TO SOME VERY ERRONEOUS CONCLUSIONS
- 18 BASED ON MISREPRESENTATIONS. HAVING SAID THAT, A HEARING
- 19 OFFICER MISAPPLIED THE INTENT OF SEQUA GUIDELINES BY ONLY
- 20 ADDRESSING THE TRACT MAP. THIS WAS BACK IN THE YEAR 1991. HE
- 21 WAS ISSUING A DECISION BASED ON A TRACT MAP THAT WAS ALSO
- 22 INCLUDING A PLOT PLAN, EXCLUSIVE OF THE ULTIMATE BRIDGE
- 23 COMPONENT THAT WAS TO SERVICE THE TRACT MAP. THE CLASS III
- 24 CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION THAT WAS ISSUED FOR THE PLOT PLAN THAT
- 25 WAS SIMULTANEOUSLY TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE TRACT MAP, THAT



- 1 ENCOMPASSED THE SAME GEOGRAPHICAL PARAMETERS. IT'S IMPORTANT
- 2 FOR US TO REALIZE THAT THE PLOT PLAN IS WHAT CARRIED THE
- 3 ORIGINAL BRIDGE APPROVAL. THE PLOT PLAN WAS SIMULTANEOUSLY
- 4 BEING INTRODUCED WITH THE TENTATIVE TRACT MAP AT THE SAME
- 5 TIME. THE HEARING OFFICER SHOULD HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THE
- 6 EXEMPTION COULD NOT BE APPLIED TO THE TRACT MAP BEING
- 7 CONSIDERED BECAUSE THE EXEMPTION ONLY APPLIED TO A SINGLE-
- 8 FAMILY RESIDENCE. THE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE WAS OF COURSE
- 9 THE PLOT PLAN. THE HEARING OFFICER AT THAT TIME, IF AND WHEN
- 10 HE BECAME AWARE OF THE APPLICANT'S REAL INTENT FOR THE LARGER
- 11 DEVELOPMENT AS OPPOSED TO THE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE, SHOULD
- 12 HAVE WITHDRAWN THE EXEMPTION AND INSISTED ON A FULL SEQUA
- 13 REVIEW FOR THE PROJECT. IF THE APPLICANT MAINTAINS THAT THE
- 14 TRACT MAP QUALIFIED FOR THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION THAT WAS
- 15 ISSUED BY THE HEARING OFFICER, IT WAS BASED ON AN APPROVAL
- 16 INAPPROPRIATELY CARRIED OVER FROM THE PLOT PLAN TO A PENDING
- 17 TRACT MAP. THE APPLICANT'S INSISTENCE THAT THE BRIDGE WAS NOT
- 18 PART OF THE PROJECT REINFORCES THE VIEW THAT THE BRIDGE
- 19 CURRENTLY BEFORE THE BOARD WAS NEVER SUBJECTED TO SEOUA
- 20 REVIEW. THE BRIDGE WAS NEVER REVIEWED FOR THREE REASONS. IT
- 21 WAS A CATEGORICAL III EXEMPT STRUCTURE. THAT WAS IN THE YEAR
- 22 1991. THE BRIDGE, THAT ORIGINAL BRIDGE, WHICH OF COURSE IS THE
- 23 LITTLE RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT FOR
- 24 THE LAST TWO YEARS, IT WAS REMOVED FROM THE TRACT MAP 49899 IN
- 25 MARCH OF 1992 AND WAS NOT CONSIDERED WITH THE TRACT MAP.



- 1 THIRDLY, AND THIS HAS BEEN ADMITTED TO BY COUNTY STAFF AND
- 2 COUNTY COUNSEL, THAT LITTLE RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE DID NOT MEET
- 3 COUNTY STANDARDS AT ANY TIME DURING THE PROCESS BETWEEN 1991
- 4 AND 1993. MY UNDERSTANDING OF SEQUA LAW AND THE SPIRIT OF THE
- 5 LAW IS THAT IF THERE IS A MATERIAL CHANGE TO A CRITICAL
- 6 COMPONENT OF A SUBDIVISION DEVELOPMENT IT MUST BE REFERRED
- 7 BACK TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT AS PER THE
- 8 SUBDIVISION MAP ACT. THE BRIDGE THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, THE
- 9 ORIGINAL BRIDGE, WAS A LITTLE -- IT WAS A BRIDGE BASED ON A
- 10 RAILROAD CAR'S CONSTRUCTION. IT HAS GIVEN DIMENSIONS OF 228
- 11 FEET. IT WAS TO SERVICE A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE. IT
- 12 QUALIFIED FOR A CLASS III CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION AND MEANING BY
- 13 THAT IT DID NOT HAVE TO GO THROUGH SEQUA REVIEW BECAUSE IT WAS
- 14 ONLY TO SERVICE A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE. EITHER BY LACK OF
- 15 OVERSIGHT OR NEGLIGENCE, THE HEARING OFFICER DISREGARDED THE
- 16 SIGNIFICANCE OF REMOVING THE BRIDGE FROM THE TRACT MAP IN THE
- 17 ISSUANCE OF THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR TRACT MAP
- 18 49899. THE REVIEWING AGENCIES WERE NEVER INFORMED OF THE
- 19 MATERIAL CHANGE OF THE TRACT MAP WHICH CONSISTED OF, AND I
- 20 WILL GET INTO WHAT IT CONSISTED OF BEFORE I REVIEW THE
- 21 AGENCIES THAT WERE AFFECTED. ALL OF THE AGENCIES THAT SIGNED
- 22 OFF ON THIS PARTICULAR BRIDGE WERE THE ARMY CORPS OF
- 23 ENGINEERS, THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, THE FISH & GAME AND THE
- 24 TOPANGA LOS VERGES CONSERVATION DISTRICT. ALL THESE AGENCIES
- 25 WERE LED TO BELIEVE BY THE APPLICANT THAT THE BRIDGE THAT THEY



- 1 WERE SIGNING OFF FOR WAS THE RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE TO SERVICE A
- 2 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE. AT NO TIME, AND THE RECORD CLEARLY
- 3 INDICATES THIS, DID THE APPLICANT TELL THESE AGENCIES THAT THE
- 4 BRIDGE WAS NO LONGER THE SAME BRIDGE AFTER IT WAS TAKEN OFF
- 5 THE TRACT MAP. THE INCONSISTENCY IN WHICH THE BRIDGE WAS
- 6 BROUGHT BACK FORWARD IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TODAY. FIRST
- 7 OF ALL, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, AND I KEEP REFERRING TO THIS
- 8 LITTLE RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE, THAT'S THE BRIDGE THAT WAS
- 9 REPRESENTED TO THE AGENCIES FOR THEIR APPROVAL. THE BRIDGE
- 10 THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD IS A MUCH LARGER STRUCTURE CONSISTING
- 11 OF HIGHER RAILINGS, SIX FEET, IT HAS LONGER INDIVIDUAL SPANS
- 12 OF NINE FEET EACH. IT IS A LARGER ENHANCED CEMENT DENSITY TYPE
- 13 STRUCTURE WITH MUCH LARGER ABUTMENTS, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY FOR
- 14 THE COMMUNITY AND FOR THE CITIZENS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, IT
- 15 HAS A MUCH HIGHER VISUAL SIGHT LINE AS VIEWED FROM MULHOLLAND
- 16 HIGHWAY. AND LAST BUT NOT LEAST, THE BRIDGE IS NOW 254 FEET IN
- 17 LENGTH AS OPPOSED TO 228 FEET. THIS IS NOT THE SAME BRIDGE
- 18 THAT THE REVIEWING PARTIES SIGNED OFF ON PRIOR TO ITS REMOVAL
- 19 FROM THE TRACT MAP. THE BRIDGE ULTIMATELY APPROVED IN 1993 IS
- 20 NOT THE SAME BRIDGE. ALL REVIEWING AGENCY DISCUSSED LEADING UP
- 21 TO THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECK AND THERE WAS NO NOTICE TO THEM
- 22 OF THE SWITCH. THAT'S CRITICAL. THERE WAS NO NOTICE TO THESE
- 23 REVIEWING AGENCIES THAT THE BRIDGE THAT WAS ORIGINALLY
- 24 PROPOSED WAS NOT THE SAME BRIDGE THAT WAS ULTIMATELY TO BE
- 25 APPROVED BY THE COUNTY IN 1993. LAST BUT NOT LEAST, IN TERMS



- OF THIS LITTLE SEQUENCE, ON OCTOBER 5th 1992, LET'S GO BACK TO
- 2 THAT ORIGINAL PLOT PLAN THAT WAS INTRODUCED IN 1991, THAT PLOT
- 3 PLAN HAS A GUESTHOUSE THAT HAS BEEN PUT ON THE PLOT PLAN.
- 4 STRANGE BUT NOT TOO STRANGE IS THE COUNTY CANNOT LOCATE THE
- 5 MAP OF THAT PLOT PLAN DATED OCTOBER 5th, 1992. WHY IS THAT
- 6 IMPORTANT? BECAUSE THAT PARTICULAR PLOT PLAN WILL SHOW, ONCE
- 7 AGAIN, THE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE, THE RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE,
- 8 AND NOW THE NEW GUESTHOUSE. WHAT'S THE POINT? AFTER THE BRIDGE
- 9 WAS TAKEN OFF BY THE DEVELOPER IN JULY OF 1992, IT REAPPEARS
- 10 ON THE PLOT PLAN IN OCTOBER OF 1992. WHY WAS THE BRIDGE TAKEN
- 11 OFF THE TRACK MAP, IS THE QUESTION. THE TRACK MAP COULD NOT BE
- 12 APPROVED BECAUSE THE BRIDGE WOULD IMPACT OAK TREES. ALL
- 13 REPRESENTATIONS TO THE COMMUNITY AND THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 14 COMMISSION THROUGH FEBRUARY 1992 INDICATED NO OAK TREES WOULD
- 15 BE IMPACTED. THE ORIGINAL CONCEPT AND CONFIGURATION OF THE
- 16 PRIVATE BRIDGE WOULD NOT MEET THE MUCH HIGHER STANDARDS FOR A
- 17 POTENTIAL PRIVATE TO BECOME PUBLIC BRIDGE. TO AVOID SEQUA
- 18 REVIEW, THE BRIDGE BROUGHT FORTH IN 1993 WAS NEVER CONSIDERED
- 19 WITH THE MAP AS ONE PROJECT. THE APPLICANT KEPT THE ORIGINAL
- 20 RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE ALIVE BY CONTINUING TO PROCESS THE PLOT
- 21 PLAN 41581 THROUGH OCTOBER 5th, 1992. THE REVISION OF THE PLOT
- 22 PLAN IS FOR THE ADDITION OF A GUESTHOUSE. THE BRIDGE REMAINS
- 23 ON THE PLOT PLAN. THE BRIDGE OF 1993 IS THE BRIDGE THAT IS NOW
- 24 THE ONE BEING CONSIDERED BY THE COUNTY, IS NOT THE SAME
- 25 BRIDGE. THE CURRENT BRIDGE HAS GREATLY ENHANCED ABUTMENTS AND



- 1 ALL THOSE OTHER REASONS I INDICATED TO YOU BEFORE. WHAT IS IT
- 2 THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING DOES NOT UNDERSTAND? A
- 3 MISTAKE WAS MADE, A CRITICAL REVIEW PROCESS WAS ARTFULLY
- 4 AVOIDED. AND HERE IS WHERE I THINK THEIR ISSUE IS GOING TO BE
- 5 MADE BY THE OPPOSING SIDE. THEY'RE GOING TO REPEATEDLY MENTION
- 6 TO YOU THAT THE BRIDGE WAS AN OFF-SITE PROJECT. NOW AN OFF-
- 7 SITE PROJECT IS SUBJECT OF MANY DIFFERENT TYPES OF
- 8 DEFINITIONS. MY VIEW OF AN OFF-SITE PROJECT IS BASICALLY THE
- 9 FOLLOWING: THE COUNTY SUPPORTS THE DEVELOPER'S CONTENTION THAT
- 10 THE BRIDGE IS AN OFF-SITE PROJECT AND THEREBY EXEMPT FROM
- 11 BEING INCLUDED IN THE TRACT MAP FOR RECORDING. NOTWITHSTANDING
- 12 THE INCONTROVERTIBLE FACT THAT THIS ALTERED AND REVISED BRIDGE
- 13 WAS NEVER EXPOSED TO SEQUA REVIEW, IT REMAINS A COMPONENT IF
- 14 NOT THE MOST IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF STREET A, WHICH IS CLEARLY
- 15 A PART OF THE TRACT MAP. WHAT THE COUNTY IS PROBABLY GOING TO
- 16 ARGUE IS THAT THE BRIDGE IS NOT ON THE ACTUAL PARCEL, BUT IS
- 17 ON OTHER PROPERTY. KNOWING THAT MUCH, THOUGH, THE OTHER
- 18 PROPERTY ALSO HAPPENS TO BE OWNED BY THE OWNER OF THIS TRACT
- 19 MAP. IT IS LIKE SAYING THAT AS A SEGMENT OF A ROADWAY,
- 20 THOROUGHFARE, CANAL OR BRIDGE IS NOT WHOLLY WITHIN THE
- 21 PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS OF A SUBDIVISION, IT IS EXCLUDED FROM THE
- 22 SAME REVIEW AND EVALUATION PROCESS APPLICABLE TO THE STREET OF
- 23 WHICH IT IS A PART. THE STREET WITHOUT THE BRIDGE IS USELESS
- 24 AND VICE VERSA. THERE IS NO LOGIC IN THIS SEPARATION. THE
- 25 WHOLE IS EQUAL TO THE SUM OF THE PARTS. NEITHER STREET A NOR



- 1 THE BRIDGE CAN HAVE SEPARATE STATUS AS DIVORCED FROM ONE
- 2 ANOTHER. THEY MUST BE CONSIDERED AS ONE ENTITY FOR RECORDING
- 3 PURPOSES WITH ALL REQUISITE REVIEWS AND APPROVALS IN PLACE
- 4 BEFORE THAT EVENT. SINCE STREET A, WHICH IS THE CRITICAL
- 5 STREET THAT SERVICES THIS ENTIRE TRACT MAP, AND THE BRIDGE,
- 6 ARE INTIMATELY RELATED AS A DESIGN ACCESS TO DEVELOPMENT, THAT
- 7 IS OUR POINT. THE ORIGINAL BRIDGE, THE LITTLE RAILROAD CAR
- 8 BRIDGE, DID NOT MEET, AND THE COUNTY WILL ATTEST TO THIS,
- 9 BECAUSE THEY HAVE SAID SO FOR THE RECORD MANY TIMES, THAT
- 10 PARTICULAR BRIDGE DID NOT MEET COUNTY STANDARDS TO SERVICE THE
- 11 LARGER DEVELOPMENT AND THAT IS WHY WE ARE HERE TODAY
- 12 DISCUSSING THE TWO DIFFERENT BRIDGES. REPEATEDLY COUNTY HAS
- 13 INDICATED

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOUR TIME HAS EXPIRED. IS THERE SOMEONE
- 16 ELSE WHO'D LIKE TO GIVE UP THEIR TIME FOR YOU?

17

18 SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ].

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND YOUR NAME IS? ALL RIGHT, AND SO YOU
- 21 HAVE AN ADDITIONAL TWO MINUTES.

- 23 KENNETH HANDLER: LET ME REPEAT THAT. STREET A AND THE BRIDGE
- 24 ARE INTIMATELY RELATED AS DESIGNED TO ACCESS IN THE
- 25 DEVELOPMENT, AND THE REASON I'M STRESSING TO YOU STREET A, IS



- 1 BECAUSE THE COUNTY IS GOING TO MAINTAIN THAT BECAUSE THE
- 2 BRIDGE IS AN OFF-SITE PROJECT IT CAN BE CONSIDERED SEPARATELY
- 3 FROM THE PROJECT. NOW, WHAT IS THE PROJECT? THE PROJECT IS THE
- 4 TRACT MAP AND THE BRIDGE TOGETHER. WHY WOULD THE COUNTY INSIST
- 5 THAT THEY'RE NOT TOGETHER? WHAT BRIDGE, AGAIN, ARE WE TALKING
- 6 ABOUT? THE FIRST BRIDGE WAS THE CATEGORICAL THREE EXEMPT
- 7 BRIDGE, AND THAT IS HOW THIS PARTICULAR TRACT MAP AVOIDED
- 8 SEQUA REVIEW, BECAUSE IT DID HAVE THAT EXEMPT STATUS. DID THE
- 9 DEVELOPER TAKE THE BRIDGE OFF THE TRACT MAP KNOWING THAT IT
- 10 DID NOT MEET COUNTY STANDARDS? WE THINK, OF COURSE, THAT IT
- 11 DID. AS LATE AS FEBRUARY 18th, 1993, IN A LETTER TO TOM
- 12 HOGLAND, AN INTRAOFFICE CORRESPONDENCE, TO THIS EFFECT STATED,
- 13 "ATTACHED FOR YOUR FILE IS THE A. STREET OVER TRIUMPHAL CREEK
- 14 PRELIMINARY BRIDGE PLAN WHICH SEQUAN ENGINEERING SUBMITTED TO
- 15 OUR DIVISION. WE REJECTED THE PLAN AND FAXED OUR COMMENTS TO
- 16 SEQUAN ENGINEERING, WE HAVE ALSO HAVE ALSO ADVISED THEM TO
- 17 SUBMIT SUBSEQUENT SUBMITTALS TO YOUR DIVISION. THIS IS A
- 18 LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO TOM HOGLAND WHO
- 19 AT THAT TIME REPRESENTED THE LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION. AS
- 20 LATE AS FEBRUARY 18th, 1993, COUNTY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES
- 21 WERE INDICATING TO EACH OTHER THAT STREET "A" DID HAVE A
- 22 BRIDGE, THE BRIDGE WAS DEFINITELY A COMPONENT PART OF THAT
- 23 STREET, AND THAT PRIOR TO THIS DATE THAT I'M READING TO YOU
- 24 NOW, FEBRUARY 18th, 1993, THAT THERE WAS NO APPROVED BRIDGE.
- 25 LASTLY BUT NOT LEAST, ALL COUNTY OFFICERS AT THAT TIME, EVERY



- 1 ONE OF THEM, WAS REFERRING TO THE LITTLE RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE
- 2 ALL ALONG DURING THEIR DISCUSSIONS, AND THEY WERE REFERRING TO
- 3 IT BECAUSE IT WAS A CATEGORICAL CLASS III EXEMPT BRIDGE
- 4 SERVICING THE NEEDS OF THE APPLICANT AT THAT TIME.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE NEXT SPEAKER IS
- 7 JAMIE MASSEY, AND THEN CHARLES KUNDERT AND MURPHY SUMNER.
- 8 WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD? ALL RIGHT, YES. WOULD YOU STATE
- 9 YOUR NAME SIR?

10

11 JAIME MASSEY: JAIME MASSEY [ Inaudible ].

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

- 15 CHARLES KUNDERT: I'M CHARLES KUNDERT, I LIVE AT MALIBU LAKE
- 16 AND THE COMMUNITY OF MALIBU LAKE IS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE
- 17 SEWER LINE, THE EXPOSED SEWER LINE IN THE BOTTOM OF TRIUMPHAL
- 18 CANYON. IT IS OUR CONTENTION -- ACTUALLY, IT'S MY CONTENTION
- 19 BECAUSE I'M A GEOLOGIST AND KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT IT, THE
- 20 ENCROACHMENT IN THE STREAMBED BY THE FILL AREA, THAT'S THE
- 21 AREA OF THE FOUR HOMES THAT ARE JUST ADJACENT TO MULHOLLAND
- 22 HIGHWAY AND NORTH OF THE CREEK, THAT THAT ENCROACHMENT ON THE
- 23 FLOODPLAIN AND ALSO ON THE CHANNEL HAS CAUSED EROSION
- 24 DOWNSTREAM AND DEPOSITION UPSTREAM, AND IT IS VERY OBVIOUS TO
- 25 ANYONE TO STAND ABOUT 150 FEET DOWN FROM THE JUNCTION OF



- 1 MULHOLLAND AND LAKE VISTA DRIVE AND WALK TO THE WEST. YOU
- 2 STAND ON THE ROAD AND THE SLOPE STARTS AT THE ROAD. IT IS
- 3 FAILING RIGHT THERE. ANYBODY CAN SEE IT. I ASK YOU ALL TO GO
- 4 LOOK AT IT. THAT SLOPE IS GOING TO FAIL AND THE SEWER LINE IS
- 5 GOING TO BE BROKEN AND WE'RE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE HEALTH
- 6 AND SAFETY OF OUR COMMUNITY AND ALSO ALL THE COMMUNITIES
- 7 DOWNSTREAM. THE BEACH COMMUNITIES ARE GOING TO GET SEWAGE
- 8 ALSO. THIS IS THE 36-INCH MAIN FROM WEST LAKE. IT IS EXPOSED
- 9 AND IT'S GOING TO FAIL.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I'D JUST LIKE -- ARE YOU
- 12 REPRESENTING MALIBU LAKE?

13

14 CHARLES KUNDERT: YES.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULD YOU RECONCILE WHAT YOU JUST SAID WITH
- 17 A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THIS TRACT IN 1992, MY STAFF JUST
- 18 HANDED ME?

19

20 CHARLES KUNDERT: '92 YES.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JULY 18th '92, WHERE YOU SAID WE SUPPORT THE
- 23 TRACT.



1 CHARLES KUNDERT: THAT'S RIGHT, IT WAS A ONE- HOUSE THING AND

2 IT WAS NOT FILLED.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, NO THE TRACT WAS NOT A ONE-HOUSE THING,
- 5 A ONE-HOUSE THING IS NOT A TRACT, THE TRACT WAS THIS PROJECT.
- 6 A TRACT CAN ONLY BE FOR MULTIPLE DWELLINGS, SO WHY -- THIS IS
- 7 JULY '92, THIS ISN'T '91, THAT WE SUPPORT APPROVAL OF THIS
- 8 PROJECT BASED ON THE CONDITION THAT THESE ISSUES ARE
- 9 SATISFACTORILY RESOLVED AND THE ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED WERE
- 10 LANGUAGE IN THE CCNR'S THAT NONE OF THE ROADWAYS BE EXTENDED
- 11 CAN SERVE ANY FURTHER DEVELOPMENT, AND NO OTHER DEVELOPMENTS
- 12 CAN BE SERVED BY THE SERVED BY THE PROPOSED BRIDGE WHICH IS A
- 13 CONDITION I UNDERSTAND OF THE TRACT.

14

- 15 CHARLES KUNDERT: YES, OUR REASON, I THINK I WAS PART OF THAT,
- 16 I WAS ON THE BOARD AT THAT TIME, WE DID NOT -- WE SAW THE
- 17 SEWER LINE, WE LOOKED AT IT, IT HAD BEEN THERE SINCE 1965 AND
- 18 HAD NO TROUBLE. HOWEVER, IN 1995, IT WAS IN BAD TROUBLE, AND
- 19 RIGHT AFTER THE FLOOD OF FEBRUARY 1995, I AND ANOTHER BOARD
- 20 MEMBER WENT DOWN AND LOOKED AT IT AND FOUR OF THE SEVEN PIERS
- 21 HOLDING IT UP WERE UNDERMINED AND THE DARN PIPE WAS SAGGING.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, SO YOU CHANGED -- YOU HAD A CHANGE OF
- 24 HEART LATER ON BASED ON NEW INFORMATION, IS WHAT YOU'RE
- 25 TELLING US?

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

# The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

CHARLES KUNDERT: YES.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT, YES, STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.

MURRAY SUMNER: MY NAME IS MURRAY SUMNER, I'M HERE REPRESENTING

THE MALIBU LAKESIDE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION. IN THE MID 1920'S

L.A. COUNTY APPROVED A SUBDIVISION WITH ACCESS OVER A BRIDGE

AND MALIBU LAKESIDE WAS CREATED. YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU TODAY A

SIMILAR RECIPE FOR DISASTER. APPROVING A COMMUNITY WITH ONLY

CREATE A PROVEN UNSAFE CONDITION LEADING TO POSSIBLE LOSS OF

LIFE. MALIBU LAKESIDE RESIDENTS KNOW THIS CONDITION FIRSTHAND

COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT DESIGNATED HIGH HAZARD AREA CAN ONLY

ONE MEANS OF EGRESS AND INGRESS OVER A WATER COURSE IN AN L.A.

AS WE LIVE THIS LEGACY DAILY. MALIBU LAKESIDE HOMEOWNERS
ASSOCIATION STRONGLY URGES YOU TO REFRAIN FROM MAKING THIS
MISTAKE AGAIN AND OPPOSE THE RECORDING OF THESE TWO TRACTS. IF
THIS HONORABLE BOARD CHOOSES TO APPROVE THESE TRACTS, BRIDGE,

21 AND "A" STREET, WE REQUEST THE APPROVAL BE CONDITIONED. THE

22 APPLICANT'S COUNCIL STATED ON RECORD AT A PREVIOUS HEARING

23 THAT THE PROPOSED COMMUNITY WOULD BE GATED AND ACCESS TO

24 ADJACENT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT WOULD NOT BE PERMITTED THROUGH

25 PROPOSED "A" STREET INTO PERPETUITY. WE WOULD LIKE ASSURANCE



1 THAT THIS WILL BE A CONDITION OF THE APPROVAL PROCESS. THANK

2 YOU.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

- 6 COLLEEN HOLMES: YES. HELLO. MY NAME IS COLLEEN HOLMES. I
- 7 REPRESENT CORNELL PRESERVATION ORGANIZATION. I'M AT 3700 OLD
- 8 OAK ROAD, IN AGORA. THIS IS A REMINDER TO THE BOARD AND
- 9 COUNTY STAFF CONCERNING THE OAK TREE ON MULHOLLAND HIGHWAY.
- 10 ALL PERMITS HAVE TO BE IN PLACE, INCLUDING THE PERMIT FOR THE
- 11 ABUTMENTS FOR THE BRIDGE BEFORE THE OAK TREE CAN BE CUT DOWN.
- 12 THIS IS A CONDITION IMPOSED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. WE
- 13 JUST WANT TO STATE THAT FOR THE RECORD. ALSO, I WANT TO BRING
- 14 TO YOUR ATTENTION. WE FOUND OUT JUST RECENTLY FROM FRAN
- 15 PAVOLI'S OFFICE, SHE HAD ATTENDED, SOMEONE FROM HER OFFICE HAD
- 16 ATTENDED THE FEDERATION MEETING AND HAD STATED THAT A MAN BY
- 17 THE NAME OF BRYAN SWEENEY, WHO OWNS OVER 200 ACRES ADJACENT TO
- 18 THE VINTAGE PROJECT IS -- HIS OFFICE IS LOBBYING HER OFFICE IN
- 19 REGARDS TO THE PROPERTY, AND I KNOW HE'S LOBBYING SO THAT IT
- 20 GETS PICKED UP BY THE STATE, BUT WHO KNOWS WHAT THE OUTCOME
- 21 WILL BE. OUR CONCERN IS THAT POTENTIALLY THAT PROJECT BEING
- 22 ADJACENT TO VINTAGE, THEY AS WELL WILL BE USING THE BRIDGE
- 23 THAT'S BEFORE YOU TODAY. SO WE WANT YOU TO TAKE THAT IN
- 24 CONSIDERATION THAT IT IS A GROWTH-INDUCING BRIDGE. LASTLY, WE
- 25 ARE NOT ASKING TO STOP A RESPONSIBLE PROJECT, BUT WE FEEL THAT



- 1 THIS IS NOT A RESPONSIBLE PROJECT. WE ARE ASKING YOU,
- 2 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, THE COMMUNITY AND THE FUTURE PARK
- 3 VISITORS ARE ASKING YOU THAT THIS PROJECT GOES THROUGH THE
- 4 FULL SEQUA EVALUATION PROCESS, NOT JUST A PARTIAL PROCESS THAT
- 5 WE FEEL IT HAS GONE THROUGH. THANK YOU FOR ALL OF YOUR
- 6 CONSIDERATION.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. AND I THINK MR. LAMPORT THEN
- 9 REQUESTED TO SPEAK.

- 11 STANLEY LAMPORT: GOOD MORNING. STANLEY LAMPORT ON BEHALF OF
- 12 VINTAGE COMMUNITIES. I WILL BE BRIEF. IF YOU LOOK AT THE FILE
- 13 FOR THIS PROJECT, YOU WILL SEE THAT THE BRIDGE WAS ACTUALLY
- 14 CONSIDERED IN THE SEQUA PROCESS FOR THE BRIDGE AND WAS NOT
- 15 DETERMINED TO BE EXEMPT IN CONNECTION WITH THE TRACT MAP. IT
- 16 WAS INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWED. THERE'S EVIDENCE IN THAT FILE
- 17 SHOWING THAT FLOODING ISSUES WERE SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED. THE
- 18 NATIONAL PARK SERVICE WAS MADE AWARE OF THE BRIDGE, IT
- 19 SPECIFICALLY ASKED FOR THE PLANS. IT KNEW ABOUT THE BRIDGE, IT
- 20 WAS ADDRESSING AESTHETIC CONCERNS. THERE'S JUST NOTHING IN THE
- 21 FILE THAT INDICATES THAT THE BRIDGE WAS NOT CONSIDERED FOR
- 22 PURPOSES OF SEQUA. WHEN THE COUNTY ISSUES A NEGATIVE
- 23 DECLARATION, IT IS A FINDING THAT THERE IS NO SUBSTANTIAL
- 24 EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT A CONCLUSION THAT THE PROJECT WOULD HAVE A
- 25 SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT, AND TO THIS DAY, THERE



- 1 IS STILL NO EVIDENCE TO DOCUMENT THAT THE BRIDGE WOULD HAVE
- 2 ANY ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE CREEK. ALL OF THE EVIDENCE SHOWS
- 3 QUITE TO THE CONTRARY. THE LOCATION SPAN APPROVAL BACK IN 1991
- 4 WAS A CONCEPT APPROVAL TO SHOW THAT WHAT THE MINIMUM
- 5 DIMENSIONS WOULD BE TO AVOID A FLOOD ISSUE. WE'VE MET THAT
- 6 CONSISTENTLY. THE ALIGNMENT OF THE BRIDGE WAS ESTABLISHED --
- 7 FINAL ALIGNMENT OF THE BRIDGE WAS ESTABLISHED DURING THE TRACT
- 8 MAP PROCESS AND WAS SPECIFICALLY CONDITIONED AT THE REQUEST OF
- 9 THE COUNTY. THIS PROJECT IS NOT AFFECTING THE SEWER LINE,
- 10 WHICH IS 700 FEET DOWNSTREAM FROM THE BRIDGE, AND WE'VE
- 11 DEMONSTRATED TO THE COUNTY REPEATEDLY THAT THAT -- THAT THE
- 12 HYDROLOGY OF THE CREEK AND THE PROJECT HAS NO EFFECT ON THE
- 13 EXISTING SEWER LINE. AS FAR AS THE SINGLE ACCESS GOES, THAT'S
- 14 A COUNTY CONDITION, THE COUNTY CODE REQUIRES OR PERMITS UP TO
- 15 75 HOUSES ON A SINGLE ACCESS TO DEAL WITH GROWS INDUCING
- 16 IMPACTS, BACK IN 1992 THE COUNTY SPECIFICALLY CONDITIONED THE
- 17 ROADWAY CONDITIONS THAT ARE ON THE PROPERTY NOW. I JUST WANT
- 18 TO EMPHASIZE THIS IS A FINAL MAP. THIS APPLICANT HAS MET ALL
- 19 THE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL OF THE TENTATIVE MAP, AND THAT
- 20 REALLY IS THE ISSUE BEFORE THE BOARD TODAY, AND WE'RE ASKING
- 21 APPROVAL OF THE FINAL MAP. THANK YOU.

23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. YAROSLAVSKY, WOULD YOU LIKE TO HEAR

24 FROM STAFF NOW OR HOW DO YOU WANT TO DO IT?

25



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, I DON'T, UNLESS THERE'S SOMETHING NEW
- 2 I'M GOING TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS OF THE STAFF BUT I THINK WE
- 3 NEED TO MOVE ON WITH THIS. AND FIRST OF ALL, MADAM CHAIR, I
- 4 APPRECIATE THE INDULGENCE OF THE BOARD. THIS HAS BEEN A VERY
- 5 HEARTFELT CONTROVERSY AMONG PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THAT AREA.
- 6 YOU'VE HEARD IT FROM THEM TWICE NOW IN THE LAST MONTH, AND I
- 7 APPRECIATE VERY MUCH WHAT THEY'RE TRYING TO DO. AND I'VE SPENT
- 8 PERSONALLY A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TIME IN A MEETING LAST
- 9 MONTH AND MY STAFF HAS SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THIS ISSUE
- 10 TRYING TO FIND ANY WEAKNESS, ANY FLAW THAT WE COULD HANG OUR
- 11 HAT ON IN THIS FINAL MAP RECORDATION PROCESS. I DON'T THINK
- 12 THERE'S ANYTHING I CAN SAY, BECAUSE THIS IS A PROCESS ISSUE,
- 13 AND THE WAY I HANDLE THESE THINGS IS I GO BY THE BOOK, I GO BY
- 14 THE BOOK WHETHER IT'S A DEVELOPER, I GO BY THE BOOK WHETHER
- 15 IT'S A PROTESTING NEIGHBOR OR A GROUP OF NEIGHBORS OR
- 16 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, AND I'VE SURVIVED A LONG TIME PLAYING
- 17 IT BY THE BOOK. A FINAL MAP IS A MINISTERIAL ACT AS FAR AS THE
- 18 LAW IS CONCERNED, AND I WOULD ASK THE COUNTY COUNSEL TO JUST
- 19 CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT I'VE BEEN DOING THIS FOR ALMOST
- 20 THREE DECADES, AND THE FINAL MAP IS SIMPLY THE RECORDATION OF
- 21 A MAP THE SUBSTANCE OF WHICH WAS DECIDED IN THE TENTATIVE MAP
- 22 PROCESS. IS THAT CORRECT?

24 JUDITH FRIES: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YES THAT IS CORRECT.

25



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, SO A TENTATIVE MAP PROCESS GOES,
- 2 CONDITIONS ARE IMPOSED, THE THING IS HASHED OUT, AND WHEN THE
- 3 CONDITIONS ARE IMPOSED AND THE TENTATIVE TRACT IS APPROVED,
- 4 THEN THE DEVELOPER OR THE PROPERTY OWNER HAS AN AMOUNT OF TIME
- 5 IN WHICH HE HAS TO CLEAR ALL OF HIS CONDITIONS, AND ONCE HE'S
- 6 FULFILLED ALL OF THE CONDITIONS OF THE TENTATIVE MAP, THE
- 7 FINAL MAP IS AUTOMATICALLY RECORDED, EITHER RECORDED BY US, OR
- 8 IF WE DON'T ACT, IT IS AUTOMATICALLY RECORDED. IS THAT
- 9 CORRECT?

10

12

11 **JUDITH FRIES:** THAT IS CORRECT.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND THE ONLY WAY IN WHICH ANYBODY
- 14 ASSUMING ALL OF THOSE CONDITIONS ARE MET, THE ONLY WAY IN
- 15 WHICH THE FINAL MAP WON'T BE RECORDED IS IF A COURT OF LAW
- 16 FINDS OR IF WE FIND THAT THE CONDITIONS WERE NOT SOMEHOW MET
- 17 OR THAT THERE'S SOME OTHERWISE SOME FLAW IN THE PROCESS. IS
- 18 THAT CORRECT?
- 20 JUDITH FRIES: YES. IT IS THE DUTY OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER TO
- 21 DETERMINE WHETHER THE FINAL MAP COMPLIES WITH ALL OF THE
- 22 CONDITIONS OF THE TENTATIVE MAP, AND IF IT DOES, THEN IT IS
- 23 THE DUTY OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO APPROVE THE FILING OF
- 24 THE FINAL MAP.

25



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS WHAT THE
- 2 COMMUNITY HAS BEEN TRYING TO DO AND WHAT WE'VE TRIED TO -- I
- 3 WOULDN'T SAY HELP THEM DO BECAUSE WE'RE TRYING TO BE OBJECTIVE
- 4 HERE, BUT WHAT WE'VE BEEN CERTAINLY, AS I SAID LAST MONTH,
- 5 WHEN THE FOLKS WERE HERE, IF THERE'S ANY CHANCE THAT THERE WAS
- 6 SOMETHING AMISS IN THIS PROCESS THAT WE COULD HANG OUR HAT ON,
- 7 THEN WE OWE IT TO FIND OUT, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'VE BEEN
- 8 SPENDING THE LAST FOUR WEEKS TRYING -- WELL, IT'S LONGER THAN
- 9 THAT, BUT MY INTENSIVE INVOLVEMENT OVER THE LAST FOUR WEEKS
- 10 HAS BEEN AIMED AT DOING JUST THAT. AND THE NUB OF THE ISSUE
- 11 HERE IS NOT WHETHER THIS IS A GOOD PROJECT OR NOT. THIS
- 12 PROJECT STINKS. IT WOULD NOT BE APPROVED TODAY. THE COMMUNITY
- 13 PLAN IN THAT AREA WOULD NOT ALLOW THIS PROJECT TODAY. THIS
- 14 SUPERVISOR WOULD NOT APPROVE THIS PROJECT TODAY. PERIOD, OVER
- 15 AND OUT. UNFORTUNATELY, THIS PROJECT WAS APPROVED THREE YEARS
- 16 BEFORE I GOT HERE. I WASN'T A SUPERVISOR THEN. MOST OF THE
- 17 PEOPLE WHO ARE SITTING ON THIS BOARD PROBABLY WEREN'T HERE
- 18 THEN. SEVERAL OF US WEREN'T HERE THEN, BUT THE TENTATIVE MAP
- 19 WAS APPROVED BACK THEN, AND I'M STUCK AND WE'RE ALL STUCK WITH
- 20 THOSE TENTATIVE TRACT CONDITIONS. SO WHAT WE'VE TRIED TO SEE
- 21 IS, IN THAT TENTATIVE TRACK PROCESS, WHAT IS -- WHAT WAS THE
- 22 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE. AND BY THE WAY, I HAVE TO SAY EVEN IF
- 23 WE FOUND A SMOKING GUN, IF YOU WANT TO USE THAT TERM, I'M NOT
- 24 SURE WHAT WE'D BE IN A POSITION TO DO IF WE DID, BECAUSE WHAT
- 25 WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE IS RECREATE A HISTORY THAT IS, WELL,



- 1 NOW, ELEVEN OR TWELVE YEARS OLD, AND TRYING TO -- AND SOME
- 2 PEOPLE WHO AREN'T EVEN WORKING FOR THE COUNTY ANYMORE, MR.
- 3 MANASSAS, FORTUNATELY, IS STILL HERE, AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU
- 4 A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS IN A MINUTE, BUT THAT'S THE NUB OF THE
- 5 ISSUE. AND THE NUB OF THE ISSUE FOR ME IS, ON THE
- 6 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE PROCESS, FIRST OF ALL, I APPRECIATE
- 7 THE COMMENTS ABOUT THE SINGLE-FAMILY HOME AND THE BRIDGE, THE
- 8 MISSING BRIDGE, THE NOT MISSING BRIDGE. I'M NOT INTERESTED IN
- 9 THE BRIDGE FOR THE SINGLE-FAMILY HOME BECAUSE THAT BRIDGE WAS
- 10 NEVER EVALUATED UNDER SEQUA. IT DIDN'T NEED TO BE EVALUATED,
- 11 AS WAS INDICATED BY THE TESTIMONY CORRECTLY IS THAT THAT
- 12 BRIDGE -- THE ORIGINAL BRIDGE FOR THE SINGLE FAMILY HOME WAS
- 13 CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
- 14 ACT, AS ALL THESE THINGS ARE FOR SINGLE FAMILY HOMES. THE ONLY
- 15 BRIDGE THAT WAS SUBJECT TO ANY ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE WOULD
- 16 HAVE BEEN THE BRIDGE THAT SERVED THE TRACT, AND THE TRACT IS
- 17 THE ONE WITH THE MULTIPLE HOMES, THE ONE THAT WE -- THAT THE
- 18 BOARD APPROVED SOME YEARS AGO, A DECADE AGO AND THE ONE THAT
- 19 IS NOW -- THE FINAL MAP THAT WE'RE NOW RECORDING. SO THE
- 20 QUESTION THAT I HAVE OF YOU, MR. MENESES, AND A QUESTION THAT
- 21 I THINK THE RESIDENTS HAVE HAD OF US AND OF YOU, IS WHEN THIS
- 22 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE WAS MADE BACK IN 1991 OR '92, WHEN YOU
- 23 DECIDED TO ISSUE A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION ON THIS
- 24 TRACT, AND I ASSUME THAT INCLUDED AN EVALUATION OF THE BRIDGE,
- 25 WHETHER IT'S AN ON-SITE OR OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENT, LET ME ASK



- 1 YOU THIS, EVEN THOUGH IT'S AN OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENT, WAS THE
- 2 BRIDGE CONSIDERED AS PART OF YOUR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE
- 3 PROCESS?

4

5 FRANK MENESES: YES IT WAS, SUPERVISOR.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. WHEN YOU CONSIDERED THAT -- WHEN YOU
- 8 EVALUATED THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE BRIDGE, WHICH
- 9 BRIDGE WERE YOU EVALUATING?

10

- 11 FRANK MENESES: WE EVALUATED THE BRIDGE THAT WAS SHOWN ON THE
- 12 TENTATIVE TRACT MAP. WE DID NOT CONSIDER THE VERSION THAT WAS
- 13 SHOWN ON THE PLOT PLAN. IN FACT, THAT VERSION WAS NEVER EVEN
- 14 SENT TO THE AGENCIES, TO MY RECOLLECTION. WE SENT COPIES OF
- 15 THE TRACT MAP WHICH --

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHETHER YOU LOOKED AT THE OTHER MAP OR NOT,
- 18 IS YOUR TESTIMONY THAT THE BRIDGE YOU ISSUED -- RECOMMENDED AN
- 19 ISSUANCE OF MITIGATED NEGATIVE DEC ON WAS THE MAP SHOWN ON THE
- 20 TRACT?

21

22 FRANK MENESES: YES, SIR.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON THE TRACT MAP?



1 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S RIGHT.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHAT WAS THAT BRIDGE? WAS THAT THE
- 4 BRIDGE THAT WE NOW HAVE BEFORE US?

5

- 6 FRANK MENESES: IT WENT THROUGH AN EVOLUTION PROCESS, INITIALLY
- 7 IT WAS A -- I THINK IT WAS A 48-FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY, BUT THE
- 8 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS REQUESTED A 64-FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY,
- 9 BUT THE BRIDGE ITSELF PHYSICALLY WAS SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME,
- 10 IT WAS I BELIEVE A 39-FOOT WIDTH ROAD THAT WAS DEEMED
- 11 NECESSARY FOR THAT SUBDIVISION.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF THE BRIDGE HAD BEEN WITHIN THE TRACT MAP
- 14 BOUNDARIES AS OPPOSED TO AN OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENT, DO YOU THINK
- 15 YOU WOULD HAVE RECOMMENDED A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DEC AT THE
- 16 TIME?

17

- 18 FRANK MENESES: ALL ELSE BEING EQUAL, I WOULD SAY YES, THERE
- 19 WOULD'VE BEEN NO CHANGE AND WE WOULD HAVE HAD -- IF WE HAD
- 20 SUPPORT FROM THE AGENCY, THE NATIONAL PARKS SERVICE AS WE DID,
- 21 WE PROBABLY WOULD'VE DONE THE SAME THING.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IN YOUR -- IN YOUR -- AND THE LAST
- 24 QUESTION TO YOU, MR. MENESES, IT IS YOUR TESTIMONY BEYOND ANY
- 25 SHADOW OF A DOUBT IN YOUR MIND THAT WHEN YOU CLEARED THIS WITH



- 1 THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, THAT YOU WERE CLEARING
- 2 ESSENTIALLY, AND I'M GOING TO GET TO THE ISSUE OF THE
- 3 EVOLUTION IN A MINUTE WITH THE OTHER STAFF, BUT ESSENTIALLY
- 4 APPROVING THIS MAGNITUDE OF A BRIDGE IN THIS RIGHT-OF-WAY
- 5 ACROSS TRIUMPHAL CREEK. IS THAT CORRECT?

6

7 FRANK MENESES: THAT'S CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. RANDINE, THERE'S BEEN SOME ISSUES
- 10 ON THE LOCATION OF THE BRIDGE, AND WHETHER IT'S MOVED AND AS
- 11 MR. MENESES SAID THE EVOLUTION OF THE BRIDGE. IF THE BRIDGE
- 12 WERE RELOCATED TO ANY EXTENT, WHAT MIGHT BE THE IMPACT OF SUCH
- 13 A RELOCATION? WHAT WOULD BE -- WHAT WOULD YOU CONSIDER A
- 14 SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN THE LOCATION I GUESS IS MY QUESTION.

15

- 16 RANDINE RUIZ: IF THERE WERE A -- IF THE BRIDGE WERE MOVED TO A
- 17 LOCATION WHERE --

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT MIC ON? TAP IT. YEAH. OKAY. IT'S ON
- 20 NOW.

- 22 RANDINE RUIZ: IF THE BRIDGE MOVED ANY SUBSTANTIAL DISTANCE,
- 23 THEN THE SPAN AND CLEARANCE THAT HAD BEEN EVALUATED WOULD NO
- 24 LONGER APPLY AND A NEW ONE WOULD HAVE TO BE -- NEW HYDRAULIC
- 25 ANALYSIS WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE. IN THIS CASE, THE BRIDGE IS



- 1 ESSENTIALLY IN THE SAME LOCATION. IT KIND OF TWISTED SLIGHTLY,
- 2 BUT IT'S ESSENTIALLY --

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN YOU SAY TWISTED, IT MOVED -- AS WE HAVE
- 5 DISCUSSED, IN OUR OFFICE, IF YOU PUT A -- IN THE CENTERLINE OF
- 6 A STREAM IF YOU PUT A PIVOT, IT REVOLVED AROUND THAT AXIS
- 7 SLIGHTLY?

8

9 RANDINE RUIZ: SLIGHTLY.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BY HOW MUCH?

12

13 RANDINE RUIZ: UMM, APPROXIMATELY SEVEN FEET.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WAS IT IN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY?

16

17 RANDINE RUIZ: IT'S WITHIN THE SAME RIGHT-OF-WAY.

18

19 Audience: OOHs.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, I HEARD SOMEBODY SAY 40 FEET. WE
- 22 HAVE NO EVIDENCE THAT IT MOVED 40 FEET. IF YOU HAVE EVIDENCE
- 23 THAT IT MOVED 40 FEET YOU SHOW IT TO ME, WE DON'T HAVE ANY
- 24 EVIDENCE THAT IT MOVED 40 FEET, AND WE'VE BEEN THROUGH THIS A



1 LONG TIME. ALL RIGHT, DID THE BRIDGE MOVE UP OR DOWN THE

2 STREAM?

3

4 RANDINE RUIZ: NO, IT DID NOT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. ALONG A CENTRAL AXIS WITH THE CENTER
- 7 OF THE STREAM AS A PIVOT POINT, IT MOVED, YOU SAID, 7 FEET, AT
- 8 THE MAX?

9

10 RANDINE RUIZ: APPROXIMATELY.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. WERE THERE ANY OTHER CHANGES, MAJOR OR
- 13 MINOR, IN THE BRIDGE?

14

- 15 RANDINE RUIZ: THE ONLY PLAN, STRUCTURAL PLANS WE REVIEWED ARE
- 16 FOR THE BRIDGE AS APPROVED CURRENTLY. THE LOCATION SPAN AND
- 17 CLEARANCE WAS ORIGINALLY DONE FOR THE BRIDGE PROPOSED FOR THE
- 18 SINGLE FAMILY HOME, LOCATION SPAN AND CLEARANCE ESSENTIALLY
- 19 EVALUATES THE IMPACT ON THE STREAMBED, THE LOCATION OF THE
- 20 PIERS. THOSE HAVE ESSENTIALLY STAYED THE SAME AND THE ONLY
- 21 THING IS THE BRIDGE DECK IS DIFFERENT, BUT NOTHING WAS
- 22 APPROVED, NO RAILROAD CAR BRIDGE WAS APPROVED. WHAT WAS SHOWN
- 23 WAS APPROXIMATELY A 34-FOOT WIDE BRIDGE WHEN IT WAS PROPOSED
- 24 TO BE RAILROAD CARS. IT'S NOW 39 FEET WIDE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND WELL WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY,
- 2 WHICH IS WHAT?

3

4 RANDINE RUIZ: AND IT IS WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE RIGHT OF WAY IS 48 OR 64 FEET WIDE?

7

8 RANDINE RUIZ: 64 FOOT WIDE.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT, THE LAST SET OF QUESTIONS I WANT
- 11 TO ASK OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL. OR OF THE STAFF. NUMBER ONE,
- 12 THERE WAS AN ISSUE RAISED BY MISS HOLMES, AND I WANT TO MAKE
- 13 SURE THAT WE HAVE THIS BUTTONED DOWN. WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME
- 14 PAGE AS FAR AS ALL OF THE PERMITS MUST BE IN PLACE BEFORE ANY
- 15 TREE, ANY OAK TREE IS REMOVED. IS THAT CORRECT?

16

17 MR. MANASSAS: THAT'S CORRECT.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND PLEASE SAY THAT INTO THE MICROPHONE.

20

21 MR. MANASSAS: THAT IS CORRECT.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND NO OAK TREE PERMIT IS GOING TO BE ISSUED
- 24 BY PUBLIC WORKS UNTIL ALL OF THE OTHER CONDITIONS OF ALL THE -
- 25 NOT CONDITIONS, ALL THE OTHER PERMITS ARE ISSUED.



1

- 2 RANDINE RUIZ: THE OAK TREE PERMIT THAT HAS BEEN ISSUED, THE
- 3 CONDITIONS OF THAT REQUIRE THAT ALL OTHER PERMITS BE IN PLACE
- 4 PRIOR TO REMOVAL OF THE OAK TREE.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WE'RE GOING TO POLICE THAT?

7

8 RANDINE RUIZ: AND WE ARE AWARE OF THAT YES.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, ON THE ISSUE OF CAN THE BRIDGE BE USED
- 11 FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT UP THE HILL OR UP THE -- BEYOND THE
- 12 PROPERTY IN A GROWTH-INDUCING WAY, IS IT NOT THE CASE THAT THE
- 13 TRACT CONDITION OF THIS TRACT, THE TENTATIVE TRACT, IS THAT
- 14 THIS BRIDGE CANNOT BE USED FOR ANY OTHER THAN TO SERVE THIS
- 15 TRACT? IS THAT, AM I CORRECT?

16

- 17 RANDINE RUIZ: THIS TRACT CONSISTS OF TWO CUL-DE-SACS, AND
- 18 THERE CAN BE NO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT -- THE NO TAP STREETS WERE
- 19 PROPOSED TO ANY ADJACENT PROPERTY, IT WAS ACTUALLY ELIMINATED
- 20 AT THE PUBLIC HEARING.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO THAT IF THERE'S ANY OTHER
- 23 DEVELOPMENT UP IN THAT AREA, WHICH IS HIGHLY UNLIKELY,
- 24 CERTAINLY ON ANY MASSIVE SCALE, IF THERE'S ANY OTHER
- 25 DEVELOPMENT THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO FIND SOME OTHER KIND OF



- 1 ACCESS, THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO ACCESS IT THROUGH THIS
- 2 BRIDGE.

3

4 RANDINE RUIZ: THAT'S CORRECT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST TO BE CLEAR. I KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS
- 7 BUT I WANT IT TO BE ON THE RECORD AND I WANT IT TO BE PUBLIC.
- 8 CAN WE CONDITION A FINAL MAP RECORDATION? CAN WE PUT FURTHER
- 9 CONDITIONS ON THIS FINAL MAP?

10

11 RANDINE RUIZ: NO, YOU DO NOT HAVE THAT ABILITY.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT, I THINK THAT ANSWERS
- 14 ALL THE QUESTIONS. LET ME JUST CONCLUDE, MADAM CHAIR, WITH THE
- 15 FOLLOWING THING. FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO -- I WANT TO ASK
- 16 MR. LAMPORT TO COME FORWARD FOR A MINUTE. WHILE YOU'RE COMING
- 17 UP, I'LL JUST TAKE YOUR TIME, LET ME JUST SAY -- ASK YOU WHAT
- 18 I WANT TO ASK YOU. WE HAVE NO ABILITY TO CONDITION THIS, AS
- 19 YOU ARE WELL AWARE. I AM CONCERNED IF THIS THING IS GOING TO
- 20 GO FORWARD, AND UNLESS THE COMMUNITY SUCCESSFULLY CHALLENGES
- 21 YOU IN COURT, IF WE APPROVE THIS TODAY OR IF WE SEE TO THIS
- 22 RECORDATION TODAY, THAT'S PROBABLY THE ONLY OPTION THEY'LL
- 23 HAVE LEFT, AND IF THEY ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL, MY CONCERN IS THAT
- 24 THIS BRIDGE IS A MASSIVE BRIDGE IN THIS LOCATION, THERE'S NO
- 25 QUESTION ABOUT IT. I THINK YOU KNOW IT, I THINK THEY KNOW IT,



- 1 I THINK ANYBODY KNOWS IT. THE QUESTION FOR US IS, WE WANT TO
- 2 DO, IF THIS IS GOING TO GO FORWARD, WE WANT TO DO WHATEVER WE
- 3 CAN TO MITIGATE, THIS IS THE LEAST WE CAN DO, AND TRY TO DO,
- 4 IS TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT OF THE MASSIVENESS OF THIS BRIDGE
- 5 VISUALLY, FORGETTING ALL THE OTHER ISSUES, BUT VISUALLY, AND
- 6 THERE MAY BE SOME THINGS THAT CAN BE DONE WITH THAT BRIDGE AND
- 7 ITS TREATMENT AND THE PLANTS AND THINGS THAT WE COULD DO TO
- 8 MITIGATE THE MASSIVE APPEARANCE OF IT. CAN I HAVE A COMMITMENT
- 9 FROM YOU? OBVIOUSLY IT'S NOT BINDING, BUT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU
- 10 HERE IN FRONT OF GOD AND COUNTRY TO COMMIT TO WORK WITH MY
- 11 OFFICE TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES AND THAT YOUR CLIENT BE PREPARED
- 12 TO SPEND SOME AMOUNT OF MONEY TO HELP MITIGATE THE APPEARANCE
- 13 OF THIS STRUCTURE ONCE IT GETS CONSTRUCTED? CAN WE HAVE THAT
- 14 COMMITMENT?

15

- 16 STANLEY LAMPORT: OF COURSE. WE WILL OF COURSE WORK WITH YOUR
- 17 OFFICE.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU GET ME A LETTER FROM YOUR CLIENT?

- 21 STANLEY LAMPORT: YES I'VE TALKED TO MY CLIENT ABOUT IT
- 22 ALREADY. THE ONLY CONCERNS HE'S EVER HAD ARE BUDGET AND TIME,
- 23 BUT WE'VE INDICATED THAT WE WANT TO MAKE THE BRIDGE LOOK NICE
- 24 AS WELL, AND WE THINK WE CAN DO THAT AND WE'D BE HAPPY TO WORK
- 25 WITH YOUR OFFICE TO ACCOMPLISH THAT.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT IF WE CAN GET A LETTER FROM YOU IN

3 THE NEXT 48 HOURS.

4

5 STANLEY LAMPORT: I WILL TAKE CARE OF IT.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN EFFECT IT WOULD BE A GOOD THING. THE LAST
- 8 THING MADAM CHAIR AND I'M GOING TO MOVE THAT WE JUST ALLOW
- 9 THIS TO RECORD, BECAUSE THE TESTIMONY IS CLEAR, THERE'S
- 10 NOTHING I THINK THAT WOULD'VE BEEN -- COULD'VE BEEN SAID THAT
- 11 WOULD'VE SATISFIED ANYBODY. I'M NOT SURE, IF I CAN ASK THE
- 12 COUNTY COUNSEL, IF THERE HAD BEEN SOME -- AS I SAID EARLIER
- 13 SMOKING GUN, WHAT OPTIONS WOULD WE HAVE? JUST NOT RECORD?

14

- 15 RANDINE RUIZ: IF THE SMOKING GUN CONSTITUTED FRAUD, THAT WOULD
- 16 BE THE ONLY BASIS ON WHICH YOUR BODY COULD DENY APPROVAL OF
- 17 THE FINAL MAP.

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THIS HAS BEEN A -- I'D LIKE TO BELIEVE
- 20 THAT WE'VE IMPROVED OUR PROCESSES SINCE 1991/1992. I KNOW WE
- 21 HAVE. WE'RE NOT PERFECT, BUT THIS KIND OF A THING WOULD NOT BE
- 22 TOLERATED THESE DAYS, AND OUR PROCEDURES HAVE CHANGED AND
- 23 THINGS HAVE CHANGED. SO MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO
- 24 AGREE WITH THE STAFF AND WITH OUR COUNTY COUNSEL, ALL OF WHOM
- 25 HAVE MET WITH US, THEY'VE MET WITH THE COMMUNITY, THEY'VE MET



- 1 WITH US FOR EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME, AND I'M GOING TO MOVE
- 2 THAT APPROVAL OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND HOPE THAT
- 3 SOMEHOW SOMETHING'S LEARNED FROM THIS PROCESS.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY KNABE.
- 6 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I KNOW MS. MOLINA WANTS TO TAKE
- 9 AN ITEM. IF I CAN JUST TAKE ONE MORE ITEM BEFORE AND THEN I'LL
- 10 TURN IT OVER TO HER. IT SHOULD NOT TAKE A LONG TIME. AND
- 11 THAT'S THE ITEM -- I THINK IT'S ITEM 4, ON THE LAWSUIT ON
- 12 ARMONDSON.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERE ARE A COUPLE PEOPLE WHO'VE ASKED TO
- 15 SPEAK.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD RECOMMEND WE LIMIT THEM TO TWO
- 18 MINUTES EACH AND THEN WE CAN GET ON WITH OUR BUSINESS.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. NICKI CARLSEN AND DEAN FRANCOIS.

- 22 NICKI CARLSEN: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR, OTHER MEMBERS OF THE
- 23 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS NICKI CARLSEN, I'M WITH THE
- 24 LAW FIRM OF WESTON BENCHUVE, AND WE REPRESENT ARMONDSON LAND
- 25 COMPANY. AS YOU MAY KNOW, I HAVE SUBMITTED A LETTER WHICH YOU



- 1 MAY HAVE RECEIVED YESTERDAY, BUT I PROVIDED ADDITIONAL COPIES
- 2 TO YOU THIS MORNING IN CASE YOU DID NOT RECEIVE IT. ON
- 3 DECEMBER 19th, THE VENTURA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 4 CERTIFIED A SUPPLEMENTAL E.I.R. FOR THE ARMONDSON RANCH
- 5 PROJECT AND APPROVED THE PHASE A MASTER TRACT MAP, AND TODAY
- 6 YOU HAVE A MOTION WHICH WOULD AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY TO FILE
- 7 ANOTHER LAWSUIT AGAINST VENTURA COUNTY REGARDING THE ARMONDSON
- 8 RANCH PROJECT. AND I GUESS THE ONLY WAY TO SUM IT UP IS HERE
- 9 WE GO AGAIN. ABOUT 10 YEARS AGO WHEN THE ARMONDSON RANCH
- 10 PROJECT WAS FIRST APPROVED L.A. COUNTY AND A SLEW OF OTHERS
- 11 FILED NINE LAWSUITS AGAINST VENTURA COUNTY, CHALLENGING THE
- 12 ORIGINAL APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT. NONE OF THOSE LAWSUITS WAS
- 13 SUCCESSFUL. THE REASON WE THINK THEY WERE NOT SUCCESSFUL IS
- 14 BECAUSE VENTURA COUNTY TOOK CARE TO PREPARE A SOLID
- 15 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE PROJECT. NONE OF THOSE
- 16 LAWSUITS WAS SUCCESSFUL. WE THINK VENTURA COUNTY HAS TAKEN THE
- 17 SAME CARE IN PREPARING THIS SUPPLEMENTAL E.I.R. AND APPROVING
- 18 THE PHASE A MASTER TRACT MAP TODAY. WE THINK THE COUNTY IS IN
- 19 A PRETTY SEVERE BUDGET CRISIS RIGHT NOW. WE DON'T FEEL THAT
- 20 THE COUNTY SHOULD SPEND MORE TIME, MONEY, AND EFFORT FIGHTING
- 21 THE ARMONDSON RANCH PROJECT AND INSTEAD SHOULD USE THAT MONEY
- 22 FOR THE DESPERATELY NEEDED PUBLIC SERVICES IN THE COUNTY. I
- 23 HAVE DETAILED IN GREATER LENGTH IN LETTER THE ISSUES RAISED BY
- 24 THE MOTION, BUT I'M HERE IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

- 3 DEAN FRANCOIS: HI. MY NAME IS DEAN FRANCOIS. I AM A PUBLIC
- 4 WORKS COMMISSIONER IN REDONDO BEACH, A FORMER PRESERVATION
- 5 COMMISSIONER. I'VE HAD 20 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN ADMINISTERING
- 6 FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS AND A VOLUNTEER FOR
- 7 SANTA MONICA BAY KEEPER, HEAL THE BAY SIERRA CLUB, AND FIONA
- 8 WETLANDS ACTION FORCE. I FULLY SUPPORT THE ACTIONS OF THIS
- 9 COUNTY TODAY IN ATTEMPTING TO PUT A STOP TO THE ARMONDSON
- 10 RANCH PROJECT. I BELIEVE THAT IT IS GOOD THAT WE'RE FIGHTING
- 11 THE COUNTY OF VENTURA AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THAT
- 12 COUNTY AND ENSURING THAT THEY COMPLY WITH THE CALIFORNIA
- 13 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. HOWEVER, WE MUST TAKE A LOOK AT
- 14 OURSELVES AND WE MUST ENSURE THAT THIS COUNTY IS ABIDING BY
- 15 THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, SPECIFICALLY WHEN IT
- 16 COMES TO ISSUING PERMITS FOR DESTROYING OR MOVING POTENTIAL
- 17 HISTORICAL LANDMARKS IN THIS COUNTY. AND AS YOU KNOW, OLD
- 18 GLORY, THE 400-YEAR-OLD OAK TREE, IS NOW UNDER SERIOUS ATTACK
- 19 FOR BEING REMOVED, AND THAT IS A VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 20 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. SO I FULLY SUPPORT FIGHTING THE
- 21 COUNTY OF VENTURA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, BUT WE NEED TO
- 22 TAKE A LOOK AT OURSELVES, WE NEED TO GET THAT ISSUE ON A
- 23 FUTURE AGENDA ITEM HERE AT THE COUNTY SO THIS WHOLE COUNTY CAN
- 24 TAKE ACTION ON IT AND NOT JUST HAVE UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE



- 1 FIFTH SUPERVISORY DISTRICT THIS IMPACTS EVERYONE IN THE
- 2 COMPLETE COUNTY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3

4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I'LL BE VERY BRIEF. THIS COUNTY
- 7 --

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOU CAN SIT DOWN.

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I APPRECIATE THE -- MISS CARLSEN'S CONCERN
- 12 FOR THE COUNTY BUDGET, BUT SPARE ME THE CROCODILE TEARS. IF
- 13 THE ARMONDSON PROJECT WERE TRULY CONCERNED ABOUT THE COUNTY
- 14 BUDGET CRISIS, THEY'D SUSPEND THEIR PROJECT AND SPARE US THE
- 15 MILLIONS AND TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT WE'RE GOING TO
- 16 HAVE TO SPEND TO MITIGATE TRAFFIC IMPACTS CAUSED BY THIS
- 17 MASSIVE DEVELOPMENT WHOSE IMPACT IS TOTALLY IMPOSED ON LOS
- 18 ANGELES CITY AND COUNTY RESIDENTS, AND NONE OF WHICH IS
- 19 IMPOSED ON VENTURA COUNTY RESIDENTS. THIS IS A HORRIBLE
- 20 PROJECT. IT HAS NOT BEEN DILIGENTLY PURSUED AND REVIEWED BY
- 21 THE VENTURA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. ON THE CONTRARY, THE
- 22 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF VENTURA COUNTY RUSHED TO JUDGMENT ON
- 23 THIS TRYING TO BEAT THE END OF THE YEAR DEADLINE WHEN A NEW
- 24 SUPERVISOR WAS SCHEDULED TO BE SWORN IN AND MIGHT'VE CHANGED
- 25 THE BALANCE ON THE BOARD. I THINK THEY ARE EXTREMELY



- 1 VULNERABLE, AND WE SHOULD JOIN IN PROTECTING OUR INTERESTS AS
- 2 A COUNTY MUCH OF THE AREA TO BE IMPACTED AS UNINCORPORATED
- 3 COUNTY TERRITORY OUT IN THE WEST END OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY,
- 4 JOIN WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, THE CITY OF CALABASAS AND
- 5 OTHERS WHO ARE GOING TO FILE A LAWSUIT ON -- OR HAVE FILED A
- 6 LAWSUIT ON THIS MATTER. WE SHOULD BE AT THE TABLE ADVOCATING
- 7 AND PURSUING AND PROTECTING OUR OWN INTERESTS. WITH THAT,
- 8 MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD MOVE APPROVAL OF THE ITEM.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND? MOVED AND SECONDED.
- 11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD YIELD TO MS. MOLINA.

15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

17 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THE CORRECT ITEM. LET ME JUST CHECK.

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: PRIOR TO THAT TIME, COULD WE CONTINUE ITEM
- 20 NUMBER 41 FOR ONE WEEK? AT THE REQUEST OF PUBLIC WORKS. ITEM
- 21 41 WILL BE, WITHOUT OBJECTION, CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK.
- 23 SUP. MOLINA: ITEM 20.

24

22

12

14

16



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THERE'S SOME PEOPLE WHO HAVE REQUESTED TO
- 2 SPEAK. DO YOU WANT THEM TO -- CALL ON THEM FIRST, OR WOULD YOU
- 3 LIKE TO --

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THAT PROBABLY WOULD BE BEST, BECAUSE I
- 6 THINK THAT CONSUL-GENERAL AND OTHERS ARE WAITING TO SPEAK AND
- 7 CAN PROBABLY OUTLINE MORE EFFECTIVELY THIS ITEM. IT IS --
- 8 YOU'LL WANT TO PUT IT IN ITS FINAL FORM, SO IF THEY'D JOIN US,
- 9 THAT'D BE GREAT.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. JANICE MAUNIZI, MARTHA LARA AND
- 12 MIRIAM GALICIA DUARTE. DO YOU WANT TO HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE
- 13 OPPOSED FIRST OR THE?

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: NO. I WOULD LIKE -- I THINK THAT IF WE ASK, YOU
- 16 KNOW, THE CONSUL-GENERAL TO PROPERLY PRESENT THIS ISSUE
- 17 INITIALLY, I THINK WE HAVE SOMEBODY HERE FROM THE SHERIFF'S
- 18 DEPARTMENT AS WELL THAT CAN ADDRESS SOME OF THESE ISSUES.

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: WHY DON'T WE BEGIN?

- 24 MARTHA LARA: THANK YOU. THE MATRICULA CONSULAR IS A STATE OF
- 25 THE ART PHOTO I.D. WITH SAFEGUARDS TO PREVENT COUNTERFEITING.



- 1 ITS ONLY PURPOSE TO PERMIT THE BEARER TO IDENTIFY HIM OR
- 2 HERSELF. THE MEXICAN CONSULATE ISSUED A TOTAL OF 160,000
- 3 MATRICULARS IN 2002. THE MATRICULA CONSULAR IS NOW ACCEPTED BY
- 4 MORE THAN 50 CITIES AND COUNTIES THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES.
- 5 HUNDREDS OF POLICE DEPARTMENTS AND 64 BANKS. NANCY PILOSI
- 6 INTERVENED ON BEHALF OF THE MATRICULA CONSULAR SO THAT IT
- 7 COULD BE ACCEPTED TO ENTER FEDERAL BUILDINGS IN SAN FRANCISCO
- 8 ON JANUARY THE 5th. SHE WORKED WITH THE MARSHALL'S OFFICE AND
- 9 THE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION. BILL NUMBER ACR 229
- 10 PASSED BY CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY AND SENATE IN AUGUST OF 2002
- 11 URGED CITIES AND COUNTIES THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA TO ACCEPT THE
- 12 CARDS AS AN OFFICIAL FORM OF IDENTIFICATION. WHY ARE WE HERE
- 13 TO ARGUE IN FAVOR OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE MATRICULA CONSULAR
- 14 AS A PERMANENT PROGRAM? BECAUSE THE MATRICULA CONSULAR ALSO
- 15 SERVES A PROFOUNDLY HUMANITARIAN PURPOSE. IT MOVES US TO KNOW
- 16 THAT A MOTHER CAN ACCESS A LIBRARY AND OBTAIN BOOKS FOR HER
- 17 MEXICAN OR AMERICAN CHILD, A BATTERED WOMAN CAN DENOUNCE
- 18 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND A MOURNING RELATIVE CAN CLAIM THE
- 19 REMAINS OF A LOVED ONE AT THE MORGUE. ITS CONTINUED ACCEPTANCE
- 20 ALSO WOULD SPEAK HIGHLY OF YOU, AN IMMIGRANT-FRIENDLY COUNTY,
- 21 THE MOST DIVERSE IN THE UNITED STATES THAT UNDERSTANDS ITS
- 22 REALITY AND IS READY TO ACT. YOU UPHOLD THE WORDS THAT I JUST
- 23 HEARD DURING THE INVOCATION BY DR. DAKHIL WHO SPOKE OF HAVING
- 24 AN OPEN MIND AND A COMPASSIONATE HEART. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK ONE OF THE NAMES STATED FOR THE RECORD,
- 2 THIS IS CONSUL-GENERAL OF MEXICO, MARTHA LARA.

3

- 4 MARTHA LARA: YES, AMBASSADOR MARTHA LARA CONSUL-GENERAL OF
- 5 MEXICO.

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.

8

9 MARTHA LARA: THANK YOU FOR THE PLEASURE.

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: DO YOU WANT TO PROCEED? AND AGAIN, I THINK
- 12 THEY'RE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS. LET ME BEGIN BY SAYING A
- 13 COUPLE MONTHS AGO, I THINK IT'S WELL OVER SIX MONTHS, WE
- 14 APPROACHED THIS WHOLE ISSUE OF THE CONSUL-GENERAL HAD BROUGHT
- 15 TO US THE IDEA OF EXTENDING THE MATRICULA CONSULAR OR THE I.D.
- 16 THAT IS PROVIDED BY THE CONSUL-GENERAL'S OFFICE AND UTILIZING
- 17 IT WITHIN PART OF AN I.D. THAT WOULD BE RECOGNIZED BY THE
- 18 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. SINCE THE PILOT PROGRAM HAS BEEN IN
- 19 PLACE, IT HAS HAD TREMENDOUS SUCCESS. AND WHAT WE ARE ASKING
- 20 NOW IS THAT THIS BOARD NOW APPROVE AUTHORIZING THIS
- 21 IDENTIFICATION CARD BY ALL COUNTY DEPARTMENTS IN THE NORMAL
- 22 COURSE OF OPERATIONS, AND INTERACTION WITH THE PUBLIC. THERE
- 23 HAS BEEN A SURVEY THAT WAS DONE, AND A LOT OF THE DEPARTMENTS
- 24 DID PARTICIPATE, AND MOST OF THEM, THE MAJORITY OF THEM
- 25 EXPRESSED THAT CERTAINLY THIS WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO THEM IN



- 1 THE CONTINUATION OF THEIR SERVICES TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE
- 2 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THERE WERE SIX COUNTY DEPARTMENTS THAT
- 3 THEY WOULD HAVE PROBLEMS WITH THIS AS BEING THE ONLY PROOF OF
- 4 I.D. BUT AGAIN, THAT IS TO ACCESS SERVICES THAT MORE THAN
- 5 LIKELY THEY WOULD NOT BE ENTITLED TO FOR THE MOST PART AND SO
- 6 THERE WOULD BE ADDITIONAL I.D. THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED. BUT
- 7 AGAIN, IT DOES FULFILL THE GOALS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
- 8 WHEN IT DEALS WITH THE ISSUES OF PROVIDING SERVICES AND
- 9 EXCELLING IN THOSE AREAS BECAUSE IT WOULD GIVE THE OPPORTUNITY
- 10 TO PROVIDE THE PUBLIC FULL AND COMPLETE ACCESS TO ALL OF THE
- 11 INFORMATION AND THE VALUABLE SERVICES THAT THIS COUNTY DOES
- 12 PROVIDE, AND, OF COURSE, IT ALSO WILL ADD TO THE EFFECTIVENESS
- 13 OF OUR COUNTY AS AN ORGANIZATION IN TRYING TO FULFILL ITS
- 14 MANDATE AS WELL THAT WE ARE DELIVERING OUR SERVICES IN AN
- 15 EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT MANNER. SO FOR THE MOST PART, IN
- 16 SUMMARY, WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE US IS TO SOLIDIFY AND TO PUT IN
- 17 PLACE THE PERMANENT BOARD POLICY AND ACCEPTING OF THIS CARD
- 18 AND ALL OTHER CONSUL-GENERAL CARDS THAT ARE ISSUED BY ANY OF
- 19 THE CONSUL-GENERALS THAT ARE HERE IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 20 ANGELES. THIS IS A TREMENDOUS BENEFIT TO ALL OF THE RESIDENTS,
- 21 WHETHER THEY ARE VISITING WITH US OR THEY'RE HERE FOR AN
- 22 EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME IN MAKING SURE THAT THEY ARE UTILIZING
- 23 OUR SERVICES. THE BENEFITS, OF COURSE, IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR,
- 24 THE PROGRAM THAT WAS INITIATED ORIGINALLY BY WELLS FARGO HAS
- 25 NOW BEEN EXPANDED AND MOST OF THE BANKS ARE ACCEPTING IT, AND



- 1 IT HAS BEEN A VERY PROFITABLE VENTURE FOR THOSE COMPANIES,
- 2 THEY HAVE BENEFITED TREMENDOUSLY FROM THAT. SO I WILL -- I
- 3 BRING THIS TO THE BOARD. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANY
- 4 QUESTIONS. YOU HAVE PEOPLE HERE WHO ARE PREPARED TO ANSWER ANY
- 5 QUESTIONS, INCLUDING IF YOU LOOK AT THE REPORT BY THE C.A.O.,
- 6 WHICH IS FAIRLY EXTENSIVE, AS TO HOW THE PILOT RAN, OPERATED,
- 7 AND FUNCTIONED EFFECTIVELY WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF ALL COUNTY
- 8 SERVICES.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF, AND
- 11 IT'S MIRIAM DUARTE FROM WELLS FARGO AND LOUIS GRAY FROM THE
- 12 L.A.P.D. ARE THERE ANY OUESTIONS OF THEM AND OF THE
- 13 AMBASSADOR? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? AND THEN WE DO HAVE SOMEONE
- 14 WHO HAS ASKED TO SPEAK AGAINST IT, AND THEN GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL
- 15 ALSO WANTED TO COMMENT ON IT.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RELATIVE TO THE CARD, CONSUL-GENERAL, WHAT
- 18 ARE THE FEATURES THAT PROHIBIT FRAUD AND COUNTERFEIT
- 19 REPRODUCTION?

- 21 MARTHA LARA: YES, SIR. WE HAVE VISIBLE AND INVISIBLE FEATURES
- 22 IN THIS CARD, AND IT'S PRECISELY ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE
- 23 THINK IS THE MOST POSITIVE. WE USE GREEN SECURITY PAPER, WHICH
- 24 HAS A SPECIAL SECURITY PATTERN. WE HAVE AN ADVANTAGE SEAL WITH
- 25 THE MEXICAN OFFICE SEAL THAT APPEARS OVER THE BEARER'S PICTURE



- 1 AND CHANGES COLOR FROM GREEN TO BROWN WHEN SEEN WITH NATURAL
- 2 LIGHT. WE HAVE AN INFRARED BAND ON THE UPPER PART OF THE BACK
- 3 OF THE MATRICULA, AND UNDER FLUORESCENT LIGHT, YOU CAN READ
- 4 S.R.E., WHICH IS MEXICAN FOREIGN OFFICE, OR STATE DEPARTMENT,
- 5 ALL OVER THE FRONT OF THE M.C.A. I AM REQUESTING THAT YOU SEE
- 6 ONE OF THE ONES THAT WE HAVE WITH US. THEN WE HAVE THE
- 7 INVISIBLE SECURITY FEATURES, A SPECIAL DECODER IS REQUIRED TO
- 8 VIEW THE INVISIBLE SECURITY MARKS AND USING THE DECODER, YOU
- 9 CAN SEE ON THE FRONT SIDE, "MEXICO" IS IMPRINTED ON THE LEFT
- 10 SIDE NEXT TO THE CARDHOLDER'S PICTURE. THE MATRICULA CONSULAR
- OR CONSULAR I.D. CARD PRINTED AT THE BOTTOM OF THE MATRICULA,
- 12 THE WORD S.R.E. AGAIN WRITTEN THREE TIMES ON THE RIGHT SIDE.
- 13 AND USING THE DECODER FOR THE PICTURE, YOU WILL READ THE
- 14 BEARER'S NAME. USING THE DECODER FOR THE PICTURE AND TURNING
- 15 IT 90 DEGREES, YOU WILL READ THE BEARER'S DATE OF BIRTH AND
- 16 THE WORD, S.R.E. ON THE BACK, THE CARD HOLDER'S NAME AND THE
- 17 I.D. NUMBER CAN BE READ ON THE LEFT SIDE OF THE GREEN LINE. ON
- 18 THE RIGHT SIDE, YOU WILL READ THE I.D.'S EXPIRATION DATE AND
- 19 CONSULE MEX LOS ANGELES WHICH IS THE NAME OF THE ISSUING
- 20 OFFICE.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU REQUIRE A FINGERPRINT OF THE
- 23 APPLICANT?

24

25 MARTHA LARA: NO, SIR, WE DO NOT FINGERPRINT.



SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU VERIFY THE ADDRESS?

3

- 4 MARTHA LARA: YES, SIR, WE -- WELL, WE VERIFY THE -- A BIRTH
- 5 CERTIFICATE AND THE SECONDARY IDENTIFICATION. WE DO NOT GO
- 6 INTO VERIFYING THE ADDRESS BECAUSE IT IS USUALLY ON THE
- 7 IDENTIFICATIONS THAT ARE PRESENTED TO OBTAIN THIS CARD.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY DON'T YOU FINGERPRINT?** 

10

- 11 MARTHA LARA: BECAUSE THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT HAS NOT INSTRUCTED
- 12 US TO DO SO, SIR.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ARE YOU AWARE OF A CASE IN COLORADO WHERE AN
- 15 INDIVIDUAL HAD THREE DIFFERENT CARDS WITH THREE DIFFERENT
- 16 NAMES AND THE SAME PHOTOGRAPH?

- 18 MARTHA LARA: YES, SIR, I'M VERY MUCH AWARE OF THAT CASE. I
- 19 CALLED DENVER, COLORADO, YESTERDAY, AND MAY I INFORM YOU THAT
- 20 AT 10:30 THIS MORNING, I RECEIVED A CALL FROM OUR CONSUL-
- 21 GENERAL IN DENVER WHO INFORMS ME THAT THE I.N.S. DISTRICT
- 22 OFFICE HAS FINALLY TOLD US THAT THIS IS AN OLD CASE PRESENTED
- 23 SEVERAL YEARS AGO. IT IS NOT A HIGH SECURITY MATRICULA WHICH
- 24 THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT IS NOW ISSUING, AND THIS IS FROM THE
- 25 I.N.S. OFFICE. I CAN HAVE IT IN WRITING TO YOU. THEY WILL SEND



- 1 IT TO ME TODAY, SO SOMEONE USED THIS INFORMATION TO DETRACT
- 2 THE MATRICULA CONSULAR.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW DO YOU ENSURE THAT A PERSON DOES NOT
- 5 PROVIDE A FALSE NAME OR A FALSE CERTIFICATE TO OBTAIN SUCH A
- 6 CARD WITHOUT HAVING A FINGERPRINT?

7

- 8 MARTHA LARA: AS ALL CONSULAR OFFICES FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD,
- 9 INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES IN THEIR FOREIGN CONSULATES, WHAT
- 10 WE DO IS WE RECEIVE AND WE CHECK THE DOCUMENTS VERY WELL. WE
- 11 HAVE EXPERIENCED PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING IN THE CONSULATE
- 12 FOR OVER TWENTY YEARS AND THEY KNOW VERY WELL WHEN THE
- 13 DOCUMENTS ARE NOT AUTHENTIC. SO WE CHECK OUR DOCUMENTS CLEARLY
- 14 AND WE ALSO CHECK OUR SECONDARY I.D.S. BUT LET ME TELL YOU
- 15 SOMETHING, THE MATRICULA CONSULAR WOULD NOT BE USEFUL FOR
- 16 OTHER PURPOSES. RIGHT IN FRONT OF MY CONSULATE, IN MACARTHUR
- 17 PARK, YOU CAN BUY A FAKE BIRTH CERTIFICATE, AMERICAN BIRTH
- 18 CERTIFICATE, AND YOU WOULD HAVE NO NEED AT ALL TO COME TO MY
- 19 CONSULATE TO GET A MEXICAN I.D.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW CAN YOU ENSURE THAT YOU DON'T PROVIDE A
- 22 CARD TO A FUGITIVE?

- 24 MARTHA LARA: WELL, WE DON'T PROVIDE TO FUGITIVES IF THEY COME
- 25 INTO THE CONSULATE. IF YOU MEAN THAT IF WE PROVIDE ONE DAY A



- 1 MATRICULA TO SOMEONE WHO IN THE FUTURE MIGHT BREAK THE LAW,
- 2 WELL THAT CERTAINLY IS SOMETHING THAT I COULD NOT ANSWER, BUT
- 3 IF YOU'RE SPEAKING OF A FUGITIVE, HE WOULD NOT COME TO MY
- 4 OFFICE TO GET A MATRICULA, AND I WOULD GIVE IT TO HIM AND HE
- 5 WOULD THEN GRACEFULLY CROSS THE BORDER.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU DO A BACKGROUND CHECK?

8

- 9 MARTHA LARA: NO, SIR, WE DO NOT DO BACKGROUND CHECKS, BUT WE
- 10 HAVE SPOKEN AND WE HAVE HAD NO PROBLEMS WHATSOEVER WITH
- 11 L.A.P.D. AND WITH SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AND IN THE CASE AND
- 12 DURING THIS PAST SIX MONTHS THAT THEY WOULD HAVE RECEIVED OR
- 13 THEY WOULD HAVE ANY DOUBTS REGARDING ONE OF THE MATRICULA
- 14 CONSULARS, WE HAVE TOLD THEM THAT WE'D BE MORE THAN GLAD TO
- 15 LOOK INTO THE CASE, CHECK THE NUMBER, CHECK THE NAME, AND ALSO
- 16 CHECK WITH OUR ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE IN CASE THERE WERE A
- 17 DOUBT REGARDING A SPECIFIC PERSON. WE DO HAVE THE CAPABILITY
- 18 OF CHECKING WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE REGARDING THE
- 19 IDENTITY OF SOMEONE WHO MIGHT BE INVOLVED IN ONE OF THESE
- 20 CASES.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU HAVE A DATABASE THAT'S SYNCHRONIZED
- 23 THROUGHOUT ALL OF THE UNITED STATES FOR YOUR CONSULATES TO
- 24 ENSURE THAT THERE IS NOT DUPLICATE REQUESTS FOR IDENTIFICATION
- 25 CARDS?



- 2 MARTHA LARA: YES, WE DO HAVE A DATABASE WHICH IS NOW CONNECTED
- 3 TO MEXICO CITY, TO THE FOREIGN OFFICE, TO THE STATE
- 4 DEPARTMENT. WITHIN 60 DAYS, I HAVE BEEN INFORMED BY MY
- 5 GOVERNMENT THAT WE WILL HAVE CONNECTED TO ALL OF THE
- 6 CONSULATES IN THE UNITED STATES AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT,
- 7 THE MEXICAN FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS WORKING WITH THE FEDERAL
- 8 AUTHORITIES, THE STATE DEPARTMENT, AND HOMELAND SECURITY
- 9 REGARDING OUR MATRICULA CONSULAR BECAUSE WE ARE LOOKING FOR
- 10 FEDERAL APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT. MAY I ADVANCE THAT, WHEN WE
- 11 STARTED ISSUING IT IN CALIFORNIA, IN LOS ANGELES, I SPOKE
- 12 PERSONALLY WITH MR. TOM SHILTON, WHO IS THE DIRECTOR, WAS THE
- 13 DIRECTOR OF, THE DISTRICT DIRECTOR OF IMMIGRATION, AND THEY
- 14 DID NOT OPPOSE THE DOCUMENT, SO I THINK WE WILL CONTINUE TO
- 15 ADVANCE WITH THIS DOCUMENT. WE ALSO FEEL THAT IT IS IMPORTANT
- 16 AS FAR AS NATIONAL SECURITY CONCERNS ARE REGARDED BECAUSE IT
- 17 IS GOOD FOR THE UNITED STATES TO KNOW WHO IS HERE, WHAT THEIR
- 18 NAMES ARE, WHERE THEIR ADDRESSES ARE AND THEIR FACES ON THEIR
- 19 I.D.s. I THINK IT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE UNITED STATES
- 20 TO KNOW WHO'S HERE AND NOT TO IGNORE WHO IS OUT ON THE
- 21 STREETS. WE ARE ISSUING I.D.S TO OUR PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN
- 22 COMING FOR A HUNDRED YEARS TO WORK HONESTLY. THEY ARE LAW-
- 23 ABIDING CITIZENS, HUNDREDS AND THOUSANDS OF THEM, AND WE ARE
- 24 HAPPY TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THEM WITH AN I.D., WHICH SOMETIMES
- 25 THEY CANNOT OBTAIN FROM FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE STATE OF NEW YORK, THE CITY OF NEW YORK
- 3 VOTED AGAINST ACCEPTING THESE CARDS BECAUSE OF THEIR CONCERNS
- 4 OVER SECURITY.

5

- 6 MARTHA LARA: NO, SIR. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE US CHECK THAT, IF
- 7 YOU WOULD DO THAT, BECAUSE IT WAS NOT THE CITY OF NEW YORK
- 8 THAT REFUSED TO ACCEPT THE MATRICULA CONSULAR. OUR CONSUL-
- 9 GENERAL IN NEW YORK, MR. SALVORDORI TRANDERIO, PROPOSED IT TO
- 10 THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THEY DID NOT ACCEPT IT, AND I WILL
- 11 TELL YOU, PHILADELPHIA DID, BUT THE ISSUE DID NOT GO BEFORE
- 12 CITY COUNCIL, AND I AM INFORMED BY THE CONSUL-GENERAL THAT HE
- 13 IS STILL WORKING WITH THE PROPER AUTHORITIES TO HAVE THE
- 14 ACCEPTANCE PERHAPS IN THE FUTURE BY THE CITY AND COUNTY.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND ACCORDING TO THE "NEW YORK TIMES",
- 17 DECEMBER 28th, 2002, BOTH NEW YORK CITY AND NEW YORK STATE ARE
- 18 NOT ABOUT TO JOIN THE EFFORT ON THESE CARDS.

19

- 20 MARTHA LARA: YES, SIR, BUT NOT ABOUT TO JOIN DOES NOT MEAN
- 21 THAT THEY WERE PRESENTED TO CITY COUNCIL OR TO THE STATE
- 22 GOVERNMENT AND THAT THEY WERE REFUSED BY SAID GOVERNMENTS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT SAYS "NEW YORK REJECTS MEXICAN I.D. CARDS
- 2 CITING SECURITY", THE ARTICLE BY SUSAN SAX, THE NEW YORK
- 3 TIMES.

4

- 5 MARTHA LARA: YES, I HAVE READ IT, I HAVE READ IT SIR, AND I
- 6 UNDERSTAND THAT IT WAS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SO FAR. IT HAS
- 7 NOT FORMALLY BEEN PRESENTED TO THE --

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT ALSO SAYS NEW JERSEY, CONNECTICUT AND
- 10 OTHER NORTHEASTERN STATES AS WELL.

11

- 12 MARTHA LARA: I THINK THAT IS BECAUSE OUR CONSULATE GENERAL IS
- 13 RESPONSIBLE FOR NEW JERSEY AND CONNECTICUT, BUT I HAVE NO
- 14 INFORMATION THAT THOSE TWO STATES HAVE ALSO REJECTED IT, AND I
- 15 DO HAVE IT HERE, THE ENGLISH VERSION, AND IT DOES SAY "CITING
- 16 SECURITY CONCERNS, POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS SAID THEY HAD
- 17 REJECTED REQUESTS FROM THE CONSULATE TO ACCEPT THE MEXICAN
- 18 CONSULAR CARD", SO I DO HAVE HERE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND I HAVE
- 19 IT WRITTEN AND I BROUGHT IT FROM THE SAME PLACE THAT YOU DID,
- 20 SIR, SO IT'S NOT THE CITY OR THE COUNTY AUTHORITIES.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IT'S STILL NEW YORK CITY --

- 24 MARTHA LARA: IT'S STILL THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THEY'RE
- 25 STILL WORKING ON IT SIR, SO I THINK WE CANNOT SAY THAT IT'S



- 1 BEEN REJECTED FOREVER. WE STILL HAVE OUR HOPES THAT THEY WILL
- 2 UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS AN IMPORTANCE TO THESE I.D's.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER
- 5 OUESTIONS, WE WOULD ASK THE OTHER SPEAKERS TO COME FORWARD.
- 6 WERE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: I JUST HAD A QUESTION OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA, IS
- 9 THERE, IN PROPOSING TO MOVING FORWARD ON THIS, AN ANNUAL
- 10 REVIEW PROVISION IN THIS, JUST 'TIL WE GET A?

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: THERE WOULDN'T BE ANY PROBLEM WITH INCLUDING IT.
- 13 LET'S UNDERSTAND, SO THAT WE UNDERSTAND THE PROPER PREMISE OF
- 14 IT, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE TO BE RESPECTFUL OF ALL OF OUR
- 15 FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS, PARTICULARLY OUR CONSUL-GENERALS, WHICH
- 16 WE ALWAYS WELCOME HERE AND WORK COOPERATIVELY WITH, ALL THE
- 17 COUNTRIES THAT THEY REPRESENT. THIS IS AN IDENTIFICATION CARD
- 18 THAT IS ISSUED BY THEIR GOVERNMENT TO THEIR CITIZENS, NUMBER
- 19 ONE. I THINK THAT'S ESSENTIAL. IT IS BASED ON THE GUIDELINES
- 20 OF THEIR GOVERNMENT, NOT OUR GOVERNMENT. WHAT WE ARE DOING AND
- 21 WHAT THE BANKS HAVE BEEN DOING IS ACKNOWLEDGING IT AS
- 22 IDENTIFICATION CARD OF THE INDIVIDUAL, AND THAT IS WHAT WE ARE
- 23 ASKING THAT FUNCTION AND OPERATE AS WELL WITHIN COUNTY
- 24 SERVICES. IF YOU NEED TO UTILIZE -- IF YOU WANT A LIBRARY CARD
- 25 FOR YOUR CHILD TO USE THE COMPUTER AT THE LIBRARY, THIS WOULD



- 1 BE THE IDENTIFICATION CARD THAT THEY COULD USE. IF, IN FACT,
- 2 YOU NEED AN IDENTIFICATION CARD FOR ANYTHING THAT YOU MIGHT
- 3 HAVE, IN RELATION TO COUNTY SERVICES, THIS IS AN
- 4 IDENTIFICATION CARD THAT YOU WOULD USE.

5

6 SUP. KNABE: I UNDERSTAND THAT. I'M JUST ASKING ABOUT IT.

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: NO. I UNDERSTAND. I'M SPEAKING TO MR.
- 9 ANTONOVICH'S ISSUE, MR. KNABE. AND SO AGAIN I THINK WE HAVE TO
- 10 BE RESPECTFUL OF HOW IT IS UTILIZED. THERE IS NOT A PROBLEM
- 11 WITH REVIEWING, AND I THINK THAT THE DEPARTMENTS STILL HAVE TO
- 12 WORK TO INCORPORATE THIS CARD INTO THE UTILIZATION WE FOUND
- 13 THAT WE NEED TO TRAIN OUR LIBRARIANS, WE NEED TO TRAIN OUR
- 14 SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES, WE NEED TO TRAIN EVERYONE AS TO HOW TO
- 15 UTILIZE IT. IT IS NOT A IMMIGRATION CARD, IT ISN'T A CARD THAT
- 16 SAYS THAT THIS INDIVIDUAL CAN BE RUN THROUGH SOME KIND OF A
- 17 DATABASE THAT THE I.N.S. WOULD HAVE BECAUSE IT WOULDN'T BE A
- 18 PART OF ANY OF THAT. SO, AGAIN, WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT ITS
- 19 PURPOSE IS. IT'S MERELY AN IDENTIFICATION CARD THAT HAS BEEN
- 20 GIVEN BY THEIR GOVERNMENT TO IDENTIFY THAT INDIVIDUAL WHICH
- 21 WOULD FACILITATE FOR US THAT INDIVIDUAL ACCESSING SERVICES,
- 22 PROGRAMS THAT THE COUNTY PROVIDES, AND THAT'S WHAT WE WANT TO
- 23 SOLIDIFY BY THIS ACT. WE'VE SEEN IT IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND
- 24 IT IS WORKING. AND I ALSO WANT TO COMPLIMENT, PARTICULARLY THE
- 25 MEXICAN GOVERNMENT, WHO HAS STEPPED UP SO ASSERTIVELY IN THIS



- 1 MANNER TO PURSUE THIS. I THINK THAT FOR A LONG TIME, MANY OF
- 2 THESE INDIVIDUALS HAVE BEEN INVISIBLE IN THIS PROCESS. NOW
- 3 THEY HAVE THEIR OWN IDENTIFICATION CARD AND ISSUED BY THEIR
- 4 GOVERNMENT AND RECOGNIZED, AND I THINK THAT IT GIVES US AN
- 5 OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE UNDERSTANDING THE
- 6 PURPOSES AS TO WHY THIS I.D. IS PROVIDED AND HOW WE COULD MAKE
- 7 AND MAKE USE OF IT. AGAIN, IF YOU LOOK AT THE BOARD REPORT
- 8 THAT WAS PROVIDED, MOST OF THE DEPARTMENTS ARE CAPABLE OF
- 9 USING IT, I THINK THERE HAS TO BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SURE
- 10 THAT EACH OF THESE DEPARTMENTS ARE WELL TRAINED AS TO HOW THAT
- 11 CARD IS USED, AND MR. KNABE, IF YOU WOULD WANT TO INSERT THAT
- 12 THERE WOULD BE A REVIEW AS TO HOW IT'S USED BY THE DEPARTMENT,
- 13 I THINK IT'D WOULD BE A WELCOMED REPORT, BECAUSE I, TOO, WOULD
- 14 LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE SERVICES ARE -- IF THERE IS A
- 15 PROBLEM IN WHICH OUR DEPARTMENTS ARE NOT UTILIZING IT OR
- 16 THEY'VE FOUND IT, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL NOT ONLY TO US
- 17 AS A COUNTY, BUT I THINK IT WOULD ALSO BE HELPFUL TO ALL THE
- 18 CONSUL-GENERALS AS TO HOW THESE I.D. CARDS ARE BEING UTILIZED.
- 19 SO I WOULDN'T MIND INCLUDING THAT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE. IS THAT
- 20 ACCEPTABLE?

- 22 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S FINE. I JUST, AN ANNUAL REVIEW I THINK
- 23 WOULD BE FINE AS IT RELATES TO THE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS AND
- 24 ANY, YOU KNOW, THE TRAINING THAT'S GONE INTO THE PROJECT AS



- 1 WELL AS ANY POTENTIAL PROBLEMS THAT THEY'VE BEEN ABLE TO
- 2 IDENTIFY.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. AND COMMANDER, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANTED
- 5 TO ADD ANYTHING FOR THE L.A.P.D. ON THIS ISSUE.

6

- 7 LOUIS GRAY: I'M COMMANDER LOUIS GRAY, THE DIPLOMATIC LIAISON
- 8 OFFICER FOR CHIEF BRATTON. AND AS SUCH I'VE BEEN WORKING WITH
- 9 AMBASSADOR LARA AND HER STAFF FOR OVER A YEAR ON THIS ISSUE
- 10 AND I TESTIFIED BEFORE THE BOARD ABOUT SIX MONTHS AGO. AND
- 11 JUST VERY BRIEFLY, I WAS GOING TO COMMENT THAT CITY COUNCIL,
- 12 ON MAY THE 14th, DIRECTED ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS TO ACCEPT THE
- 13 MATRICULA CONSULAR AS IDENTIFICATION. AS SUCH, THE POLICE
- 14 DEPARTMENT HAS ACCEPTED IT IN THE FIELD FOR THE PAST EIGHT
- 15 MONTHS AND THERE'S BEEN ABSOLUTELY NO ISSUES OR PROBLEMS THAT
- 16 HAVE COME UP FROM THE FIELD REGARDING ACCEPTING THE CARD AND
- 17 FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, FROM THE L.A.P.D. THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE
- 18 CARD HAS BEEN A COMPLETE SUCCESS WITH NO PROBLEMS.

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: AND ALSO, OUR OWN SHERIFF IS SUPPORTING IT AS
- 21 WELL. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE FOUND, AT LEAST WHEN I WAS IN
- 22 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, IS THAT YOU HAD MANY OF THESE
- 23 INDIVIDUALS WHO, WHEN REPORTING A CRIME OR EVEN REPORTING A
- 24 FIRE, THERE WAS A REQUEST FOR AN I.D. AND THAT THIS -- THEY
- 25 DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING AT THE TIME, AND THIS WOULD GO A LONG WAY



- IN THAT REGARD TO FACILITATE THAT KIND OF REPORTING PROCESS. I
- 2 THINK WE HAVE SOMEONE HERE AS WELL FROM WELLS FARGO WHO WOULD
- 3 LIKE TO ADD SOMETHING AS WELL.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I HAVE JUST ONE QUESTION. WHO HAS THE
- 6 DECODER? IS THAT AVAILABLE TO MANY PEOPLE, OR JUST --

7

- 8 MARTHA LARA: YES. WE HAVE MADE 300 AVAILABLE TO YOUR
- 9 ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT, 300 AVAILABLE TO YOUR SHERIFF'S
- 10 DEPARTMENT, AND WE DID GIVE 500 TO THE L.A.P.D.

11

12 LOUIS GRAY: WE HAVE DECODERS AT ALL OUR STATIONS.

13

14 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I SEE.

15

- 16 MARTHA LARA: AND WE HAVE AS MANY AS YOU WOULD NEED, ANYONE WHO
- 17 WOULD WANT TO HAVE SOME.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL NOWADAYS WITH IDENTIFICATION NEEDED
- 20 SOMETIMES EVEN TO GO TO THE FAIR I MEAN IT'S HARD TO GO
- 21 ANYWHERE WITHOUT I.D. I THINK YOU HAVE TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: WELL WHAT'S INTERESTING IS THAT I WENT TO TARGET
- 24 TO RETURN SOMETHING AFTER CHRISTMAS, AND THEY WOULD NOT RETURN
- 25 IT UNLESS I SHOWED THEM MY I.D., WHICH I SAID, "WHY DO YOU



- 1 CARE?" I MEAN, HERE'S MY RECEIPT, YOU KNOW, AND SHE WOULD NOT
- 2 RETURN ANYTHING UNTIL I SHOWED MY I.D., MY DRIVER'S LICENSE OR
- 3 SOME FORM OF IDENTIFICATION. ANYWAY, I THOUGHT THAT WAS
- 4 INTERESTING, I'M SORRY.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I THINK MANY PUBLIC PLACES, YOU HAVE TO
- 7 HAVE AN I.D. NOW TO GET IN. EVEN THE RACETRACK.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: ANYWAY, I'M SORRY.

- 11 MIRIAM GALICIA DUARTE: MY NAME'S MIRIAM GALICIA DUARTE AND I
- 12 WAS ALSO HERE ABOUT SIX MONTHS AGO TO TESTIFY ON THE
- 13 ACCEPTANCE OF THE MATRICULA CONSULAR IDENTIFICATION. I'M HERE
- 14 REPRESENTING WELLS FARGO, ONE OF THE LARGEST FINANCIAL
- 15 INSTITUTIONS IN THE U.S., AND FOR WELLS FARGO, THE ACCEPTANCE
- 16 OF THE MATRICULA HAS BEEN ABSOLUTELY SUCCESSFUL FOR US. WE
- 17 HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ESTABLISH OVER 70,000 NEW ACCOUNTS COMPANY-
- 18 WIDE IN 23 STATES AND HAVE NOT HAD ANY PROBLEMS. ON THE
- 19 CONTRARY. I THINK THAT WELLS FARGO, ALONG WITH ALL THE OTHER
- 20 66 BANKS WHO NOW RECOGNIZE THIS FORM OF IDENTIFICATION HAVE
- 21 HELPED MANY INDIVIDUALS, GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO MANY OF
- 22 THESE INDIVIDUALS TO LEAVE THE COSTLY AND RISKY CASH ECONOMY
- 23 BEHIND AND NOW THEY'RE, YOU KNOW, INTEGRATING, SLOWLY
- 24 INTEGRATING INTO THE MAINSTREAM OF FINANCIAL SERVICES IN THIS



- 1 COUNTRY, SO I THINK IT'S OBVIOUSLY HAS BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL
- 2 FOR WELLS FARGO. THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THOSE ARE ALL THE SPEAKERS THAT WE HAVE
- 5 ON THIS ITEM.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. I'LL CALL JANICE MAURIZI AND
- 8 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL.

- 10 JANICE MAURIZI: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, AND MEMBERS OF THE
- 11 BOARD, THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME THIS OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS
- 12 SOME THOUGHTS THAT I HAVE NOT HEARD EXPRESSED, SOME CONCERNS
- 13 THAT I HAVE. I AM A DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND A DIRECTOR
- 14 WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND AS I HAVE FOLLOWED
- 15 THE ISSUANCE OF THESE CONSULAR I.D. CARDS, IT HAS OCCURRED TO
- 16 ME THAT THERE IS A GIANT GAP IN WHAT IT IS THAT WE ARE
- 17 RESPONDING TO. AS A PROSECUTOR, MY PRIMARY CONCERN IS PUBLIC
- 18 SAFETY, AND IT IS IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY THAT I
- 19 WOULD URGE THIS BOARD NOT TO ACCEPT THE CONSULAR I.D. CARDS.
- 20 NOW, I CAN'T GIVE YOU SPECIFIC STATISTICS, BUT BECAUSE I HAVE
- 21 NO ACCESS TO VERIFIABLE STATISTICS, BUT I SUBMIT TO YOU THAT
- 22 NEITHER CAN YOU, AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS THESE CARDS, AS
- 23 YOU KNOW, AS HAS BEEN DISCUSSED, ARE ISSUED BY THE MEXICAN
- 24 CONSULATE. THEY ARE NOT ISSUED BY ANY GOVERNMENTAL OR LAW
- 25 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. THERE IS NO VERIFIABLE CRIMINAL DATABASE.



- 1 THEY ARE NOT PRINT BASED, AS HAS BEEN POINTED OUT BY
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. SO THERE IS NO COMPARISON WITH ANY
- 3 CRIMINAL DATABASES IN THE COUNTRY OF MEXICO, THERE IS NO
- 4 COMPARISON WITH ANY CRIMINAL DATABASES IN THIS COUNTRY. WHAT
- 5 THAT MEANS IS THAT YOU MAY BE ISSUING OR THE MEXICAN CONSULATE
- 6 MAY BE ISSUING CARDS TO PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN DEPORTED ON A
- 7 NUMBER OF OCCASIONS, TO PEOPLE WHO ARE ON PROBATION OR ON
- 8 PAROLE OR ARE WANTED ON OUTSTANDING CHARGES, AND WE WOULD
- 9 NEVER KNOW BECAUSE THERE IS NO WAY TO TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE
- 10 CARDS, TO TAKE THE PRINTS ON THESE CARDS AND TO VERIFY THAT
- 11 THIS IS, IN FACT, THE PERSON THAT THEY ARE REPRESENTING
- 12 THEMSELVES TO BE. SO SINCE THERE IS NO PRINT-BASED SYSTEM,
- 13 THERE IS NO WAY TO PREVENT A PERSON FROM OBTAINING MORE THAN
- 14 ONE CARD IN A NUMBER OF ALIASES OR TO PREVENT THAT PERSON FROM
- 15 OBTAINING A CARD IN SOMEBODY ELSE'S NAME IN ORDER TO
- 16 FACILITATE ONE OF THE MOST INSIDIOUS AND FASTEST-GROWING
- 17 CRIMES IN THIS COUNTRY, AND THAT'S IDENTITY THEFT. NOW, I
- 18 UNDERSTAND THAT IT HAS BEEN ARGUED, IN FACT, INDEED, THIS
- 19 BOARD RULED THAT NO ADDITIONAL RIGHTS WOULD BE GRANTED TO
- 20 UNDOCUMENTED ALIENS, BUT I RESPECTFULLY SUGGEST THAT, IN FACT,
- 21 THEY ARE BEING GIVEN ADDITIONAL RIGHTS, THEY'RE BEING GIVEN A
- 22 FORM OF LEGITIMACY THAT IS NOT CONTEMPLATED BY THE RULES OF
- 23 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION. IF THEY ARE HERE LAWFULLY,
- 24 THEN THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET PROPER I.D. FROM AMERICAN
- 25 GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITIES. NOW, THE MOST BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL



- 1 RIGHT FOR ANY -- OR CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY FOR ANY GOVERNMENT IS
- 2 TO PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENSE. IF OUR GOVERNMENT CAN'T
- 3 KEEP US SAFE, THEN ALL OF THE SOCIAL PROGRAMS IN THE WORLD
- 4 AREN'T GOING TO DO US ANY GOOD. I KNOW THAT THIS BOARD IS VERY
- 5 FAMILIAR WITH THE MURDER OF DEPUTY DAVID MARCH ON APRIL 29th,
- 6 2002. IN FACT, THIS BOARD ISSUED A RESOLUTION AND SENT A FIVE-
- 7 SIGNATURE LETTER TO PRESIDENT BUSH, TO ATTORNEY GENERAL
- 8 ASHCROFT, TO SECRETARY OF STATE POWELL AND TO EACH MEMBER OF
- 9 THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS ON JULY 23rd, 2002, DEMANDING THAT
- 10 OUR OWN GOVERNMENT DO SOMETHING TO ENSURE THAT SUCH FUGITIVES
- 11 ARE NOT GIVEN SAFE HAVEN IN MEXICO AND TO DEMAND THAT MEXICO
- 12 EXTRADITE SUSPECTS FACING A LIFE SENTENCE OR THE DEATH PENALTY
- 13 IN THIS COUNTRY, HOW CAN WE JUSTIFY IGNORING OUR OWN
- 14 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION PROCESSES AND GRANT SPECIAL
- 15 STATUS TO UNDOCUMENTED PERSONS WHILE AT THE SAME TIME MEXICO
- 16 IS CREATING THIS SAFE HAVEN FOR OUR OWN FUGITIVES. WHAT IS TO
- 17 STOP A TERRORIST FROM COMING INTO THIS COUNTRY THROUGH MEXICO,
- 18 OPENING UP A BANK ACCOUNT AND LAUNDERING AL-QAEDA MONEY? NOW
- 19 THAT MAY SOUND A LITTLE BIT FAR-FETCHED, AND I CAN IMAGINE
- 20 SOME OF YOU CRINGING AS I SUGGEST THAT, BUT IN A WORLD WHERE
- 21 PASSENGER AIRLINES ARE USED AS MISSILES AND OUR MILITARY IS
- 22 MOBILIZING EVEN AS I SPEAK, AND OUR COUNTRY IS PUT ON THE
- 23 HIGHEST ALERT BECAUSE TERRORISTS MAY HAVE SLIPPED INTO OUR
- 24 COUNTRY, IT IS NOT AS FAR-FETCHED OR EXTREME AS I SUGGEST. IN
- 25 FACT, IN THE SPRING OF 1998, A 12-YEAR-OLD LAHABRA BOY WAS



- 1 BUTCHERED IT DEATH WITH A MEAT CLEAVER. HE WAS DISMEMBERED, HE
- 2 WAS ENCASED IN CONCRETE BY AN EGYPTIAN NATIONAL, MR. GOBRIEL,
- 3 WHO FLED EGYPT AFTER HAVING BEEN ACCUSED OF MOLESTING HIS
- 4 SEVEN-YEAR-OLD NEPHEW AND STABBING HIM REPEATEDLY. MR. GOBRIEL
- 5 ENTERED THIS COUNTRY ILLEGALLY FROM MEXICO. DEPUTY DAVID MARCH
- 6 IS NOT THE ONLY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER WHO HAS BEEN KILLED BY
- 7 AN UNDOCUMENTED ALIEN. ON OCTOBER 9th OF 1990, LOS ANGELES
- 8 POLICE OFFICER RUSSELL CUSTER WAS MURDERED BY AN ILLEGAL
- 9 ALIEN. ON FEBRUARY 11th, 1991 A LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT
- 10 TINA GERBRATH WAS MURDERED BY AN ILLEGAL ALIEN. ON OCTOBER
- 7th, 1999, WASHINGTON STATE TROOPER JAMES ERICK SAUNDERS, JR.
- 12 WAS GUNNED DOWN BY AN ILLEGAL ALIEN. ON OCTOBER 29th, 1999,
- 13 SERGEANT RICKIE TIMBROOK OF WINCHESTER, VIRGINIA, WAS SHOT AND
- 14 KILLED BY AN ILLEGAL ALIEN. ALSO, I ASK YOU TO RECALL ANGEL
- 15 RESENDIZ, THE SO-CALLED RAILWAY KILLER. HE MURDERED TWELVE
- 16 INNOCENT AMERICANS WHILE SLIPPING BACK AND FORTH CONSTANTLY
- 17 ACROSS OUR BORDERS. HOW CAN WE ALLOW ANOTHER COUNTRY TO
- 18 DETERMINE WHICH UNDOCUMENTED PERSONS ARE TO BE GIVEN THIS
- 19 SPECIAL FORM OF I.D. WITHOUT COMPLIANCE WITH OUR OWN CLEARLY-
- 20 ESTABLISHED PROTOCOLS, AND WHY WOULD WE, WITH MEXICO, A
- 21 COUNTRY WHO'S GIVEN SAFE HAVEN TO OUR MURDERERS? A GOVERNMENT,
- 22 A RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT, I SUGGEST, SIMPLY CAN'T IGNORE THESE
- 23 FACTS AND CAN'T BYPASS THE PROCESS THAT HAS BEEN SETTING UP BY
- 24 THE I.N.S. AND TAKE THE CHANCE THAT EVEN ONE MORE AMERICAN BE
- 25 VICTIMIZED. THAT'S THE PERSPECTIVE THAT I HAVE NOT HEARD IN



- 1 THIS ARGUMENT AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT IS THE REASON THAT SUCH
- 2 AGENCIES AS THE NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT HAVE VERY SERIOUS
- 3 AND JUSTIFIABLE CONCERNS. THANK YOU.

4

5 SPEAKER: GOOD MORNING.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULD I JUST ASK A QUESTION BEFORE SHE GO
- 8 ON? IS NEW YORK CITY, HAVE THEY IMPLEMENTED THE -- OR ACCEPTED
- 9 THE MATRICULA CONSULAR IN NEW YORK CITY?

10

- 11 JANICE MAURIZI: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE POLICE
- 12 DEPARTMENT OF NEW YORK HAS NOT ACCEPTED THEM AND THEREFORE THE
- 13 CITY COUNCIL HAS TAKEN NO ACTION. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH MAY
- 14 HAVE MORE INFORMATION ON THAT.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST WANTED A CLARIFICATION.

17

18 JANICE MAURIZI: I BELIEVE THAT'S THE CASE.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: I THINK THE OTHER ISSUE'S THE CARDS ARE ISSUED
- 21 HERE RIGHT, IS THAT CORRECT, IN THE CONSULAR OFFICE?

22

23 JANICE MAURIZI: YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU KNOW, AND IF I CAN JUST TAKE A SECOND, I
- 2 THINK WHAT YOU'VE HAD TO SAY IS VERY COMPELLING AND VERY
- 3 POWERFUL, BUT ALL OF THE CASES THAT YOU'VE JUST OUTLINED, I
- 4 DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYBODY NEEDS TO BE CONVINCED THAT WE HAVE
- 5 A PROBLEM WITH UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRATION IN THIS COUNTRY, NOT
- 6 JUST FROM MEXICO, BUT GENERALLY, AND ALL OF THE -- ALL OF THE
- 7 INCIDENTS YOU JUST OUTLINED HAPPENED WITHOUT THE MATRICULA
- 8 CONSULAR. AND THE IMPRESSION YOU GAVE, AND THAT'S WHY I ASKED
- 9 MR. KNABE, BECAUSE MY IMPRESSION WAS THAT THE CARD IS ISSUED
- 10 HERE, NOT IN MEXICO. IS THAT CORRECT?

11

- 12 JANICE MAURIZI: I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, THE CARD IS ISSUED
- 13 HERE BY THE CONSUL.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO SOMEBODY WHO WANTS TO LAUNDER AL-QAEDA
- 16 MONEY, YOU KNOW, MAY BE WITH WELLS FARGO, THIS CARD WILL
- 17 ENABLE THEM TO DO THAT, BUT THERE HAVE BEEN PEOPLE LAUNDERING
- 18 AL-QAEDA MONEY HERE WITH PHONY U.S. PASSPORTS, WITH PHONY U.S.
- 19 CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S LICENSES, BUT WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
- 20 FRAUDULENT I.D.S OF OUR OWN HERE, AND THE IMPRESSION YOU LEFT
- 21 WAS THAT IF THAT THE CARD WOULD ENABLE SOMEBODY TO GET INTO
- 22 THIS COUNTRY ILLEGALLY.



- 1 JANICE MAURIZI: SIR, THE IMPRESSION THAT I INTENDED TO LEAVE
- 2 WAS THE SUGGESTION THAT OUR COUNTRY IS DEFERRING TO A FOREIGN
- 3 GOVERNMENT THE ISSUANCE OF IDENTIFICATION.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH, I THINK, I THINK THAT'S CLEAR AND I
- 6 THINK THAT'S A LEGITIMATE ISSUE. AND I MEAN IT'S A LEGITIMATE
- 7 ISSUE. I CAN'T IMAGINE ANY OTHER COUNTRY DOING THIS, BUT NOT
- 8 EVERY OTHER COUNTRY HAS THE SAME CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WE HAVE. I
- 9 MEAN, I DON'T THINK -- DOES MEXICO ALLOW THE U.S. CONSULATE TO
- 10 ISSUE -- THEY DO? IN MEXICO? WHAT KIND OF CARDS DOES THE U.S.
- 11 CONSULATE ISSUE?

12

13 SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ].

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OF COURSE THEY CAN ISSUE PASSPORTS TO
- 16 AMERICAN CITIZENS, BUT DO THEY, CAN THEY ISSUE I.D. CARDS TO
- 17 AMERICANS WHO ARE IN MEXICO ILLEGALLY, IF THEY'RE?

18

19 SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ]

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT MY QUESTION IS, DOES THE MEXICAN
- 22 GOVERNMENT RECOGNIZE SOME KIND OF IDENTIFICATION CARD ISSUED
- 23 BY THE -- I'M NOT AWARE THAT THE AMERICAN CONSULATE OR EMBASSY
- 24 ISSUES AN I.D. CARD ALONG THESE LINES.



1 SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ]

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, ANYWAY WE'RE GETTING OFF THE SUBJECT A
- 4 LITTLE BIT. MY POINT TO YOU WAS THAT NOT THE ISSUE -- NOT THE
- 5 GENERIC ISSUE OF WHETHER WE SHOULD ALLOW A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT
- 6 TO ISSUE I.D. CARDS WITHIN OUR BORDER, SOVEREIGN BORDERS.
- 7 THAT'S A LEGITIMATE ISSUE AND IT'S TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT, BUT
- 8 FROM A LAW ENFORCEMENT POINT OF VIEW, YOU WERE SUGGESTING AND
- 9 IMPLYING, I THOUGHT, THAT THE ISSUANCE OF THIS CARD WOULD
- 10 ENABLE PEOPLE TO SNEAK INTO THIS COUNTRY AND DO DAMAGE TO THIS
- 11 COUNTRY, TERRORISTS, WHEN, IN FACT, THE CARD, THEY'D HAVE TO
- 12 GET HERE FIRST IN ORDER TO GET THE CARD, BECAUSE THE CARD IS
- 13 ISSUED HERE IN THE UNITED STATES, HERE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY,
- 14 BY THEIR CONSULATE. ONCE THEY'RE HERE, I -- I JUST HAVE A
- 15 SNEAKING FEELING THAT IF I WAS GOING TO HIJACK A PLANE AND FLY
- 16 IT INTO THE WORLD TRADE CENTER, THAT THE LESS PEOPLE KNEW WHO
- 17 I WAS, THE BETTER, I PROBABLY WOULDN'T GO TO MY CONSULATE AND
- 18 TRY TO GET SOME KIND OF I.D. CARD. I'D PROBABLY GO DOWNTOWN
- 19 SOMEWHERE HERE AND PAY SOMEBODY 50 BUCKS AND GET AN ILLEGAL
- 20 PASSPORT OR AN ILLEGAL CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S LICENSE. I DON'T
- 21 THINK A MATRICULA CONSULAR IS EXACTLY THE I.D. THAT I -- THE
- 22 I.D. OF PREFERENCE THAT I AS A TERRORIST WOULD WANT TO HAVE
- 23 HERE IN THE UNITED STATES TO PULL OFF SOME STUNT.



- 1 JANICE MAURIZI: AND I WOULD AGREE WITH YOU, I DON'T THINK THAT
- 2 THE CARD ITSELF IS GOING TO BE THE MECHANISM THAT A TERRORIST
- 3 IS GOING TO USE. THE GOVERNMENT OF MEXICO, OF COURSE, IS FREE
- 4 TO ISSUE IDENTIFICATIONS TO ANYBODY THAT THEY DETERMINE IS --
- 5 IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO ISSUE THOSE I.D.s. THE ISSUE, THE
- 6 GREATER ISSUE IS WHY IS OUR GOVERNMENT RECOGNIZING THEM AS
- 7 LEGITIMATE FORMS OF I.D. WHEN WE HAVE HAD NO CONTROLS OVER THE
- 8 ISSUANCE AND NO BACKGROUND CHECKS, NO CRIMINAL CHECKS. SO
- 9 THAT'S THE ISSUE --

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES WELL I THINK THAT'S A LEGITIMATE ISSUE,
- 12 AND MAYBE WHEN THE PUBLIC HEARING PORTION IS OVER, I'D LIKE
- 13 THE AMBASSADOR TO COME BACK TO THE CENTER TABLE HERE AND
- 14 ADDRESS THAT ISSUE OF -- IN EXCHANGE FOR THIS, IS THERE A WAY
- 15 WE COULD CHECK, DO A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK OR HAVE THEM DO
- 16 A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK TO OUR SATISFACTION TO KNOW THAT
- 17 THESE CARDS, THAT WE'RE AT LEAST FERRETING OUT SERIOUS
- 18 CRIMINALS. I CAN'T IMAGINE THAT THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT WOULD
- 19 NOT WANT TO COOPERATE WITH US IN THAT REGARD, AND IF THEY DO
- 20 HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT, I'D LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
- 21 RATIONALE FOR SUCH A RETICENCE WOULD BE.

- 23 JANICE MAURIZI: JUST VERY QUICKLY, ONE ISSUE IS THAT THE
- 24 COUNTRY OF MEXICO DOES NOT HAVE THE CRIMINAL DATABASES IN



- 1 EXISTENCE THAT WE HAVE HERE. WE CAN'T PROVE MEXICAN PRIOR
- 2 CONVICTIONS, SO THAT'S PART OF THE PROBLEM.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL THEN YOU SHOULD'VE SAID THAT IN THE
- 5 FIRST PLACE, AND 'CAUSE YOU MADE IT SOUND LIKE THEY HAD THE
- 6 OPTION AND THEY'VE CHOSEN NOT TO, AND NOW YOU'RE SAYING THEY
- 7 CAN'T BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THE DATABASES, THAT'S A
- 8 DIFFERENT STORY.

9

- 10 JANICE MAURIZI: I APOLOGIZE IF I MISLED YOU ON THAT POINT.
- 11 THOSE DATABASES AS WE KNOW THEM AND AS WE ACCEPT THEM HERE
- 12 DON'T EXIST IN MEXICO, SO THEY'RE NOT COMPARED TO EITHER.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF
- 15 MEXICO DOESN'T KNOW WHO THEIR WANTED MURDERERS ARE?

16

- 17 JANICE MAURIZI: THEY DON'T HAVE THE SAME CRIMINAL RECORD
- 18 DATABASES THAT WE RECOGNIZE.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT DO THEY WHO THEIR-DO THEY KNOW WHO THEIR
- 21 WANTED MURDERERS ARE? DO THEY HAVE ANY KIND OF A DATABASE AT
- 22 ALL?

- 24 JANICE MAURIZI: I THINK THAT THEIR DATABASES ARE
- 25 INDIVIDUALIZED TO DIFFERENT STATES, DIFFERENT CITIES. AS FAR



- 1 AS I KNOW, THERE IS NO CENTRALIZED AND NATIONAL DATABASE,
- 2 AGAIN, COMPARATIVE TO WHAT WE HAVE.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT'S YOUR POINT?

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: WE HAVE THE SAME PROBLEM HERE.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL HANG ON. WHAT'S YOUR POINT?

9

- 10 JANICE MAURIZI: MY POINT, ONCE AGAIN, IS THAT THE MEXICAN
- 11 GOVERNMENT CAN ISSUE THESE IDENTITIES TO ANYBODY THAT THEY
- 12 WANT, BUT WE, AS A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, SHOULD NOT BE
- 13 RECOGNIZING THEM, WE SHOULDN'T BE ELEVATING THOSE PEOPLE WITH
- 14 THOSE I.D.S TO A CERTAIN LEGITIMACY THAT OUR OWN GOVERNMENT,
- 15 THROUGH THE IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION HASN'T SEEN FIT TO
- 16 GIVE.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MANY PEOPLE DO YOU -- DOES THE DISTRICT
- 19 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BELIEVE HAVE -- ARE WANTED ON SERIOUS FELONY
- 20 CHARGES, WHO HAVE FLED FROM LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOUTH OF THE
- 21 BORDER AND ARE NOW HOLED UP SOMEWHERE IN MEXICO?

22

- 23 JANICE MAURIZI: WE BELIEVE THERE ARE ABOUT 60 OF THOSE
- 24 FUGITIVES.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 60.

2

3 JANICE MAURIZI: YES, FROM LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO, IF THE -- FROM LOS ANGELES COUNTY, SO
- 6 THE WORST-CASE SCENARIO FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 7 PERSPECTIVE IS THAT IF ALL 60 OF THESE PEOPLE WERE TO GET OVER
- 8 THE BORDER, AND GET THEY'RE LITTLE MATRICULA CONSULAR CARD,
- 9 AND THAT 60 PEOPLE WOULD BE GAMING THE SYSTEM. HOW MANY PEOPLE
- 10 ARE WE TALKING ABOUT WHO MIGHT -- HOW MANY CARDS HAVE YOU
- 11 ISSUED SO FAR?

12

13 **SPEAKER:** [ Inaudible ].

14

15 JANICE MAURIZI: IF I COULD JUST RESPOND TO THAT --

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU SEE ANY VALUE AT ALL IN THE CITY, IN
- 18 THE COUNTY HAVING SOME FORM OF IDENTIFICATION LIKE THIS SO
- 19 THAT THEY CAN ADDRESS SOME OF THE HUMAN ISSUES, THE HUMAN
- 20 SERVICE ISSUES THAT LEGITIMATELY COME UP IN THESE COMMUNITIES?

- 22 JANICE MAURIZI: I THINK THAT THERE IS ALREADY A MECHANISM IN
- 23 PLACE THROUGH IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION TO ISSUE I.D.s TO
- 24 THOSE WHO ARE PROPERLY HERE. BUT BACK TO YOUR PREVIOUS POINT,
- 25 BECAUSE THESE CARDS ARE NOT PRINT-BASED, THERE IS NOTHING TO



- 1 STOP ONE OF OUR 60 FUGITIVES OR ANYBODY ELSE FROM COMING BACK
- 2 IN HERE PRESENTING IDENTIFICATION IN SOME OTHER NAME AND
- 3 COMING INTO THIS COUNTRY. THAT THAT IS ONE OF THE MOST SERIOUS
- 4 ISSUES, THAT WHEN THEY'RE NOT PRINT-BASED, WE REALLY NEVER
- 5 KNOW WHO IS BEING ISSUED THESE I.D.s.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE MATRICULA CONSULAR DOES NOT ENABLE
- 8 SOMEBODY TO GET INTO THE COUNTRY, DOES IT?

9

10 JANICE MAURIZI: NO, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT DOES NOT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT YOU JUST SAID THAT. YOU KEEP SAYING
- 13 THINGS, I DON'T WANT TO PUT YOU ON THE SPOT, BUT YOU KEEP
- 14 SAYING THINGS AND I THINK YOU'RE KIND OF OVERREACHING IN
- 15 TRYING TO MAKE YOUR ARGUMENT, BUT YOU SHOULD KIND OF STICK TO
- 16 THE FACTS. THE CARD CANNOT BE USED TO GET INTO THE COUNTRY.
- 17 THE I.N.S. DOESN'T RECOGNIZE IT, DOES IT? IF SOMEBODY --
- 18 SOMEBODY WHO'S NOT HERE LEGALLY COMES INTO L.A.X. AND TRIES TO
- 19 CLEAR IMMIGRATION AT THE TOM BRADLEY TERMINAL AND PRESENTS A
- 20 MATRICULA CONSULAR, WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN TO THEM? THEY'RE
- 21 GOING TO GET PULLED OUT OF LINE, AREN'T THEY? OKAY.

22

- 23 JANICE MAURIZI: I DON'T HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE WITH THAT. I WOULD
- 24 IMAGINE YOU'RE RIGHT, CERTAINLY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THE I.N.S. DOES NOT RECOGNIZE THE
- 2 CARD, DOES IT?

3

4 JANICE MAURIZI: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, EITHER. SO OKAY
- 7 ANYWAY.

8

9 JANICE MAURIZI: THANK YOU.

- 11 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, GOOD MORNING. I AM
- 12 VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE KIND OF ACCEPTANCE OF AN I.D. CARD
- 13 WITHOUT PRINT, FINGERPRINTING, AND I THINK THAT'S A VERY
- 14 IMPORTANT ISSUE. ONE OF YOUR STATEMENTS SAYS, YOU KNOW, WE
- 15 WOULD ACCEPT YOU THE SAME WAY WE ACCEPT OTHER CONSULARY CARD.
- 16 WELL, YOU KNOW, I AM FROM FRANCE AND I CAME HERE AS AN
- 17 IMMIGRANT. IN ORDER TO GET A FRENCH CONSULARY CARD, YOU MUST
- 18 PROVE THAT YOU HAVE ENTERED THE UNITED STATES LEGALLY, YOU ARE
- 19 HERE LEGALLY, YOU HAVE A PASSPORT AND SO ON, AND SO YOU ARE
- 20 FINGERPRINTED. SO YOU ARE COMPARING APPLE AND ORANGES HERE. AS
- 21 A CONSULARY CARD DO NOT HAVE THE SAME WEIGHT. YOU CANNOT GET A
- 22 FRENCH OR GERMAN OR ITALIAN CONSULARY CARD IF YOU HAVE NOT
- 23 ENTERED THIS COUNTRY LEGALLY. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, YOU
- 24 KNOW, TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. AND THE MAIN ISSUE I WANT TO POINT
- 25 OUT IS THAT, WITHOUT FINGERPRINTING, IT'S ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO

15

17

19

### The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 IDENTIFY, YOU KNOW, WHO IS HERE AND GET A CARD. YOU KNOW, YOU
- 2 HAVE THOUSANDS OF FLORA'S, YOU HAVE THOUSANDS OF MOLINA, I
- 3 MEAN EVERYTHING, HOW ARE YOU GOING TO DECIDE WHICH ONE IS THE
- 4 ONE WHO IS APPLYING FOR THE CARDS. SO I WILL HIGHLY RECOMMEND
- 5 THAT BEFORE YOU APPROVE A BLANKET STATEMENT TO USE THAT
- 6 CONSULARY I.D. CARD HERE YOU LOOK AT ALL THE CONSEQUENCES TO
- 7 MAKE THEM ACCEPTANCE. AND MAYBE, YOU KNOW, AS MR. YAROSLAVSKY
- 8 SAID, YOU DON'T NEED THAT CARD TO ENTER THE U.S., BUT YOU
- 9 COULD HAVE PEOPLE WHO ENTER THE U.S. ILLEGALLY, AND, YOU KNOW,
- 10 WHEN YOU DRIVE TO MEXICO AND WORRY SO, YOU KNOW, WHATEVER, IT
- 11 IS EASY TO COME THROUGH AND YOU ARE NOT CHECKED. YOU CAN HAVE
- 12 PEOPLE COME, APPLY FOR A CONSULARY I.D. CARD AND, YOU KNOW,
- 13 BECOME LEGITIMATE HERE. SO I THINK YOU NEED TO LOOK AT THOSE
- 14 ISSUES BEFORE YOU SAID OKAY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I HAVE QUESTIONS FOR THE C.A.O., THE SHERIFF.

- 20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: COULD WE ASK THE AMBASSADOR TO COME BACK
- 21 UP? YOU KNOW, WHILE THE D.A. IS HERE ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I'D
- 22 LIKE TO ASK THE AMBASSADOR IS HOW DIFFICULT IT WOULD BE TO --
- 23 AND I SUPPOSE THIS HAS TO BE DONE NATIONALLY TO HAVE
- 24 FINGERPRINTS ON THE CARD, TO HAVE FINGERPRINTS ON THE CARD,



- 1 WOULD THAT TAKE A LOT OF WORK -- IT WOULD TAKE A NATIONAL
- 2 DETERMINATION. RIGHT?

3

- 4 MARTHA LARA: WELL, AS I MENTIONED DURING MY FIRST
- 5 INTERVENTION, MY GOVERNMENT IS WORKING WITH THE STATE
- 6 DEPARTMENT OF THE UNITED STATES AND OUR OFFICIALS WILL BE
- 7 MEETING WITH HOMELAND SECURITY. SO I DON'T KNOW HOW THIS IS
- 8 GOING TO EVOLVE, BUT IF WE EVER HAVE AN INSTRUCTION FROM OUR
- 9 GOVERNMENT TO INCLUDE FINGERPRINTS ON THE MATRICULAS, WE WOULD
- 10 CERTAINLY DO SO, BUT IT IS BEING TREATED WITHIN THE FEDERAL
- 11 LEVELS BETWEEN BOTH COUNTRIES. AND I DO WANT TO MENTION THAT
- 12 I'M AT A LANGUAGE DISADVANTAGE IF WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO VERY
- 13 TECHNICAL --

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU'RE DOING VERY, VERY WELL, AMBASSADOR. [
- 16 Light Laughter ]

17

18 MARTHA LARA: BUT I STILL HAVE A DISADVANTAGE THERE.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SAYING THAT YOU'RE AT A LANGUAGE
- 21 DISADVANTAGE AFTER LISTENING TO YOU IS NOT A CREDIBLE
- 22 ARGUMENT. [ Light Laughter ].

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION?



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DID. I'M INTERESTED IN THE ISSUE OF THE
- 2 CRIMINAL IDENTIFICATION. THERE MUST BE A WAY THAT THE MEXICAN
- 3 GOVERNMENT CAN IDENTIFY THROUGH FROM SOME MASTER LIST PEOPLE
- 4 WHO ARE FUGITIVES OR WHO ARE WANTED FOR SERIOUS -- WHAT WE
- 5 WOULD CALL SERIOUS FELONIOUS CRIMES. IS THERE SUCH A MASTER
- 6 LIST WITH THE MEXICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT?

8 MARTHA LARA: YES THERE'S THE ATTORNEY, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S

9 OFFICE HAS --

7

10

12

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE MEXICAN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE?

13 MARTHA LARA: MEXICAN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, AND I WILL

- 14 REMIND THOSE HERE THAT WE HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MEXICAN
- 15 ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE IN LOS ANGELES AND WHO WORKS VERY,
- 16 VERY CLOSELY AND IS HIGHLY REGARDED WITH THE LAW ENFORCEMENT
- 17 AUTHORITIES HERE. SO WE HAVE ALWAYS OFFERED THAT IN THE
- 18 MEANTIME, IF ANY PERSON'S NAME COMES UP THAT THEY WOULD WANT
- 19 TO HAVE CHECKED, THIS WOULD BE EITHER L.A.P.D. OR THE
- 20 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WE CAN CHECK THROUGH THE ATTORNEY
- 21 GENERAL'S OFFICE TO FIND OUT IF, IN MEXICO, HE IS WANTED FOR
- 22 SOMETHING.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL WHEN YOU ISSUE THE CARD HERE, DO YOU
- 2 CHECK TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE PERSON SEEKING THE CARD IS A
- 3 WANTED CRIMINAL IN YOUR COUNTRY?

4

5 MARTHA LARA: NO, SIR, NO, SIR, WE DO NOT.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY WOULDN'T YOU DO THAT?

8

- 9 MARTHA LARA: BECAUSE IT IS NOT WITHIN WHAT THE INSTRUCTIONS
- 10 FROM OUR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAVE BEEN SENT.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL --

13

14 MARTHA LARA: SO SINCE WE ISSUE --

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, REGARDLESS OF WHAT
- 17 YOUR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS INSTRUCTED YOU, THOSE INSTRUCTIONS
- 18 COULD BE MODIFIED.

19

20 MARTHA LARA: YES, YES, ABSOLUTELY.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY WOULDN'T YOU AND YOUR GOVERNMENT DEVELOP
- 23 A PROCESS THROUGH WHICH, BEFORE THE ISSUANCE OF ONE OF THESE
- 24 CARDS IS MADE, THAT YOU WOULD RUN A CHECK ON WHATEVER DATABASE
- 25 YOU HAVE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THAT INDIVIDUAL IS WANTED FOR A



- 1 CRIME EITHER IN YOUR COUNTRY, I WOULD IMAGINE YOU'D BE
- 2 INTERESTED IF THERE WAS A BANK ROBBER IN MEXICO CITY WHO HAS
- 3 FOUND HIS WAY TO LOS ANGELES AND NOW COMES TO YOUR CONSULATE
- 4 AND WANTS TO GET A CARD, YOU'D WANT TO KNOW IT, WOULD YOU NOT?

6 MARTHA LARA: ABSOLUTELY WE WOULD WANT TO KNOW IT, YES SIR.

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND SIMILARLY, WE'D LIKE TO KNOW IF THERE'S
- 9 SOMEBODY WHO'S HERE WHO COMES TO YOUR CONSULATE WHO IS WANTED
- 10 FOR MURDERING A DEPUTY SHERIFF OR A POLICE OFFICER --
- 12 MARTHA LARA: HE WOULD NOT BE COMING, HE WOULD NOT BE COMING TO
- OUR CONSULATE SIR, HE WOULD BE A FUGITIVE AND HE WOULD BE --
- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PROBABLY NOT, I AGREE WITH THAT, BUT ON THE
- 16 --

5

7

11

14

17

20

- 18 MARTHA LARA: THEY WOULD BE LOOKING FOR HIM, THE AUTHORITIES
- 19 AND THEY HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO CATCH HIM.
- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT IF HE BELIEVES THAT HE CAN COME TO YOUR
- 22 CONSULATE AND GET A CARD WITHOUT BEING CHECKED THEN HE'S MORE
- 23 LIKELY TO GET THAT CARD.



- 1 MARTHA LARA: WE'RE WORKING ON A BONAFIDE BASIS. WE FEEL THAT
- 2 THE MAJORITY OF OUR PEOPLE ARE LAW-ABIDING, AND SO FAR WE HAVE
- 3 NOT BEEN REQUESTED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, MEXICAN FEDERAL
- 4 GOVERNMENT, TO DO THIS TYPE OF A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK.
- 5 HOWEVER, AS CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN BOTH COUNTRIES ADVANCE AND
- 6 THIS EVOLVES, I CANNOT HAVE ANY IDEA OF WHAT IT WILL FINALLY
- 7 TURN INTO--

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY WELL I WOULD SUGGEST, MADAM CHAIR, THAT
- 10 -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE NATURE OF THE ACTION THAT WE'RE GOING
- 11 TO TAKE TODAY IS. I CERTAINLY WANT TO SUPPORT THE EFFORT TO
- 12 KEEP THIS GOING ON A RENEWED PILOT BASIS, IF THAT'S WHAT WE'RE
- 13 GOING TO CALL IT, OR HOWEVER YOU WANT TO PHRASE IT, BUT I
- 14 WOULD LIKE IT AT THE NEXT JUNCTURE, WHETHER IT'S SIX MONTHS OR
- 15 A YEAR, WHATEVER THAT JUNCTURE IS, THAT WE ASK THE AMBASSADOR
- 16 TO CONSULT WITH HER GOVERNMENT ABOUT SOME METHODOLOGY THROUGH
- 17 WHICH A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK ON SERIOUS CRIMES THROUGH
- 18 THEIR DATABASE AND WE COULD ASSIST, I'M SURE THE DISTRICT
- 19 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO ASSIST, SO THAT
- 20 -- I UNDERSTAND YOU DON'T HAVE INSTRUCTIONS NOW IN THAT
- 21 REGARD, BUT BETWEEN NOW AND THE NEXT TIME WE REVIEW THIS, THAT
- 22 PERHAPS YOU CAN GET SOME KIND OF A SYSTEM SET UP, AND WOULD
- 23 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BE WILLING TO WORK WITH THE
- 24 AMBASSADOR AND THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT IN THIS REGARD TO TRY TO
- 25 HELP THEM?



1

- 2 JANICE MAURIZI: ABSOLUTELY, AND IF I JUST COULD FOR ONE
- 3 MINUTE, IN ORDER TO BE TRULY EFFECTIVE, THE CRIMINAL
- 4 BACKGROUND CHECK HAS TO BE PRINT-BASED. PEOPLE CAN COME IN AND
- 5 PRESENT FALSE I.D. IT'S ONLY THE PRINT BASIS THAT'S GOING TO
- 6 BE VERIFIABLE AND I WOULD SUGGEST.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY PRINT BASED?

9

10 JANICE MAURIZI: THAT THERE IS A FINGERPRINT.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH, A FINGERPRINT, OKAY.

13

- 14 JANICE MAURIZI: AND THE FINGERPRINT IS COMPARED WITH THE
- 15 FINGERPRINTS OF EVERYBODY ELSE IN THEIR SYSTEM. I THINK TO BE
- 16 COMPLETE AND TO ENSURE PUBLIC SAFETY, THAT FINGERPRINT MUST BE
- 17 COMPARED WITH BOTH THE CRIMINAL DATABASES IN MEXICO AND IN OUR
- 18 COUNTRY AND WITH THE I.N.S. BEFORE THE CARDS ARE ISSUED, AND
- 19 THAT'S SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE ACCOMPLISHED.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS SHOULD NOT BE DIFFICULT, BECAUSE, AS
- 22 MS. MOLINA AND I BOTH KNOW, WE WERE BOTH OBSERVERS IN THE
- 23 ELECTION A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO IN MEXICO, EVERY REGISITERED
- 24 VOTER IN MEXICO HAS HIS FINGER- PRINT ON HIS VOTER



- 1 REGISTRATION CARD AND HIS PICTURE, SO I WOULD IMAGINE THAT YOU
- 2 HAVE, I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S COMPUTERIZED.

3

- 4 MARTHA LARA: YES SIR WE WILL HAVE, THE INFORMATION THAT I HAVE
- 5 FROM MY GOVERNMENT IS THAT WITHIN -- I CAN GIVE YOU THE
- 6 PRECISE TIME IF I CHECK THIS, WE WILL HAVE THE CONSULATE'S
- 7 DATABASE FOR MATRICULAS CONNECTED TO THE FEDERAL ELECTORAL
- 8 INSTITUTE DATABASE, WHICH IS THE LARGEST IN MEXICO. OVER 80%
- 9 OF THE MEXICANS ARE IN THAT DATABASE, AND WE WILL BE CONNECTED
- 10 TO IT IN OTHER -- IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO MAKE THESE TYPES OF
- 11 CHECKS IN THE FUTURE. I DO NOT THINK IT IS MORE THAN A SIX
- 12 MONTHS' PERIOD AWAY, SO WE WILL BE CONNECTED TO THAT WITHIN
- 13 ABOUT SIX MONTHS.

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. WELL, MADAM CHAIR, I'M
- 16 GOING TO STOP, BUT I DO THINK THAT WHATEVER IS APPROVED TODAY,
- 17 THAT WE SHOULD, AT THE NEXT THRESHOLD, WHATEVER THAT'S GOING
- 18 TO BE, SIX OR TWELVE MONTHS, THAT WE ASK THAT THERE BE A
- 19 REPORT BACK FROM THE AMBASSADOR AND OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT
- 20 PERSONNEL, ASK THEM TO COMMUNICATE IN THE INTERIM, IN THE
- 21 INTERVENING PERIOD SO THAT WE CAN TRY TO DEVELOP A SYSTEM OF
- 22 CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS BEFORE THE CARD IS ISSUED, IF THAT
- 23 CAN BE DONE IN A LOGICAL AND EFFECTIVE WAY. IT MAY NOT BE
- 24 POSSIBLE, BUT IF IT IS POSSIBLE, IT OUGHT TO BE DONE AND WE
- 25 OUGHT TO GET A REPORT ON THAT.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR? WELL, I'M NOT SURE BECAUSE I'M NOT

3 SURE EXACTLY WHAT IT MEANS. I WANT US TO UNDERSTAND CLEARLY

4 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE. WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS, WE

5 HAVE A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT WHO HAS COME TO US AND SAID WE HAVE

6 ISSUED THESE I.D. CARDS. THESE I.D. CARDS ARE WHAT THEY HAVE

7 ISSUED -- AGAIN, WE CAN'T DICTATE TO A GOVERNMENT -- WE CAN'T

8 EVEN DICTATE TO OUR OWN GOVERNMENT AS TO HOW TO DEAL WITH

9 ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION OR MIGRATION. OUR CONCERN AND OUR INTEREST

10 HERE, AND THAT'S WHY I'M JUST STATING THESE CONCERNS, I THINK

11 WE SHOULD DO ALL THAT WE CAN TO HAVE BOTH GOVERNMENTS FUNCTION

12 WITH ONE ANOTHER TO CATCH ALL CRIMINALS THAT CROSS THESE

13 BORDERS, WHETHER THEY COME THROUGH MEXICAN BORDERS, CANADIAN

14 BORDERS, OR EUROPEAN BORDERS, WHATEVER, WE SHOULD DO THAT, AND

15 I THINK IF YOU'RE IMPOSING A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK FOR

16 EVERY I.D. THAT IS ISSUED BY EVERY FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, I JUST

17 WANT US TO -- HOW ARE WE OPERATING ON THIS? IS THIS JUST

18 EXCLUSIVELY 'CAUSE NOW THIS GOVERNMENT HAS COME TO US AND

19 SAID, THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE HERE, WE ALL KNOW THE STATISTICS

20 AND THE NUMBERS OF MANY OF THE PEOPLE HERE THAT ARE MEXICAN

21 NATIONALS. ARE THEY ENTITLED TO USE OUR LIBRARIES? ARE THEY

22 ENTITLED TO ENROLL THEIR CHILDREN IN A LITTLE LEAGUE? ARE THEY

23 ENTITLED TO RETURN PRODUCTS AT TARGET? WHAT THEY NEED IS AN

24 I.D. CARD, AND THAT'S THE SIMPLICITY OF THIS ISSUE. ALL WE ARE

25 SAYING IS THAT WE HAVE BUSINESSES LIKE BANKS WHO ARE ACCEPTING



- 1 THIS AS AN IDENTIFICATION CARD. ALL IT STATES IS THAT THIS IS
- 2 THE INDIVIDUAL WHO PRESENTS THEMSELVES AND THIS IS THE
- 3 IDENTIFICATION THAT THEY HAVE USED WHICH WOULD FACILITATE FOR
- 4 THE BANK, FOR THE LIBRARY, OR ANY COUNTY TO NOW IMPLEMENT IN
- 5 ITS DATABASE, WE HAVE THIS INDIVIDUAL UTILIZING THESE
- 6 SERVICES. I DON'T THINK IT SAYS ANYTHING ELSE OTHER THAN THAT,
- 7 AND THAT'S WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT. I THINK THE DISTRICT
- 8 ATTORNEY LOADED THIS THING UP WITH EVERYTHING THEY COULD FIND,
- 9 AND I THINK IT'S UNFORTUNATE IN THAT REGARD. THERE IS NO DOUBT
- 10 THAT THERE IS AN EGYPTIAN WHO CAME THROUGH THE MEXICAN BORDER
- 11 WHO KILLED SOMEBODY AND ENCASED HIM IN CEMENT, FOR THE HIGH
- 12 DRAMA THAT YOU WANT, I WILL REPEAT IT FOR YOU, BUT THE REALITY
- 13 IS, IS THERE ARE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF MEXICAN NATIONALS IN
- 14 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WHO, BY THE WAY, NOT ONLY PAY STATE
- 15 TAXES, SALES TAXES, PROPERTY TAXES, AS THEY'RE ENTITLED TO OWN
- 16 LAND AS WELL, AND WHO UTILIZE SERVICES EVERY DAY. WE WANT TO
- 17 FACILITATE THE PROCESS OF IDENTIFICATION. THE L.A.P.D., THE
- 18 SHERIFF, UNDERSTANDS CLEARLY, WHEN THEY PULL OVER SOMEONE AND
- 19 THEY'VE RUN A RED LIGHT, THAT PERSON MUST GET A TICKET, A
- 20 CITATION. IF THEY HAVE NO IDENTIFICATION, THEY HAVE TO TAKE
- 21 THEM TO THE LOCAL POLICE STATION AND HOLD THEM UP OR DO
- 22 WHATEVER THEY HAVE TO DO IN ORDER TO GET THEM CITED. IF YOU
- 23 HAVE AN I.D. CARD AND YOU HAVE AN ADDRESS THAT'S ASSOCIATE,
- 24 YOU CAN GIVE THEM THAT CITATION. AGAIN, WHETHER THEY'RE GOING
- 25 TO SHOW UP IS NO DIFFERENT WHETHER I'M GOING TO SHOW UP AS TO



- 1 WHETHER THEY'VE GIVEN ME A CITATION. SO WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND
- 2 THE SIMPLICITY OF THE USE HERE. SO I DON'T WANT TO CONFUSE IT
- 3 ALL. I THINK THAT WHAT WE HAVE SEEN IF YOU LOOK AT THE REPORT
- 4 THAT THE C.A.O. HAS REPORTED ON THE PILOT PROGRAM THAT WAS
- 5 DONE, WE HAD A VERY, VERY COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH OUR
- 6 COUNTY DEPARTMENTS IN THE UTILIZATION OF THIS IDENTIFICATION
- 7 CARD. THERE WERE SOME CONCERNS IN WHICH YOU WOULD HAVE
- 8 D.P.S.S. THAT COULD NOT USE THIS I.D. CARD AND SAY, OH, YES,
- 9 YOU'RE QUALIFIED FOR FOOD STAMPS. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO ACCEPT
- 10 THAT, AND THAT IS NOT ITS PURPOSE HERE AT ALL, BUT FOR THOSE
- 11 SERVICES, JOINING THE LITTLE LEAGUE, YOU KNOW, GETTING A
- 12 LIBRARY CARD, REPORTING A CRIME, REPORTING A FIRE, ON THOSE
- 13 INSTANCES THIS IS AN ACCEPTABLE IDENTIFICATION CARD THAT MAY
- 14 BE UTILIZED, SO I WANT US TO BE CAREFUL. MR. KNABE ASKED THAT
- 15 WE DO A REVIEW AFTER A YEAR. I THINK THAT'S VERY EFFECTIVE. I
- 16 THINK IT WORKS BOTH WAYS, NOT ONLY FOR US, BUT I ALSO THINK
- 17 FOR THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT AS TO HOW THIS CARD HAS BEEN
- 18 UTILIZED AND WHETHER IN FACT IT'S FUNCTIONAL OR NOT FUNCTIONAL
- 19 AND WHAT COULD BE BETTER. I THINK WHAT MR. YAROSLAVSKY HAS
- 20 ASKED, AGAIN, A REVIEW AFTER ONE YEAR ON ALL ASPECTS OF IT
- 21 WOULD BE WORTHWHILE AS WELL. I'M JUST NOT SURE WHAT WE'RE
- 22 LOADING ON HERE WITH SOMETHING AS SIMPLE AS IDENTIFICATION
- 23 CARD. OUR OWN IDENTIFICATION CARD RIGHT NOW, WHEN WE GO AND
- 24 GET A DRIVER'S LICENSE, DOES NOT REQUIRE A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND
- 25 CHECK.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT REQUIRES SOME CHECKS.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: IT REQUIRES YOUR BAPTISMAL, I MEAN YOUR BIRTH
- 5 CERTIFICATE.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, AND IF YOU HAVE ANY OUTSTANDING TICKET
- 8 VIOLATIONS, THEY NAIL YOU, SO THERE ARE SOME CHECKS THAT ARE
- 9 MADE. LOOK, I'M NOT TRYING TO LOAD ANYTHING ON. AS PART OF THE
- 10 REVIEW PROCESS, I JUST WANT THIS ISSUE ADDRESSED, BECAUSE IT
- 11 MAY BE POSSIBLE, IT'S EASILY ADDRESSED. IF IT'S NOT, WE OUGHT
- 12 TO KNOW THAT TOO.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: WELL AND AGAIN I THINK THAT THAT IS SOMETHING
- 15 THAT CAN BE ADDRESSED WITH THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT, THE
- 16 DISTRICT ATTORNEY, THE SHERIFF, ANY OF THEM, I THINK THAT THE
- 17 GOVERNMENT IS WILLING TO COOPERATE IN TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT
- 18 THE SITUATION IS, BUT IF WE'RE CREATING A DICTATE THAT ALL
- 19 CONSUL-GENERALS MUST NOW PROVIDE A BACKGROUND CHECK OF ALL OF
- 20 ITS EMPLOYEES -- OF ALL OF ITS PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE, THAT IS,
- 21 YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW THAT WE ARE DOING THAT.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I DON'T THINK -- WE'RE NOT IN A
- 24 POSITION AS YOU SAID TO DICTATE ANYTHING. WHAT WE ARE IN A
- 25 POSITION TO DO IS TO ASK THEM TO CONSIDER --



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: COOPERATIVELY I THINK THAT'S WHAT THE AMBASSADOR

3 HAS OFFERED.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE AMBASSADOR HAS INDICATED A
- 6 WILLINGNESS TO LOOK AT THIS, SO IT'S WORTH LOOKING AT.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. I THINK IT IS. AND SO THE REVIEW, AFTER
- 9 ONE YEAR, I THINK WILL BE HELPFUL, AS I SAID, NOT ONLY TO US,
- 10 BUT ALSO TO THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT AS WELL, AND I CERTAINLY
- 11 WOULD LIKE TO AMEND, AS WE IMPLEMENT THIS, THAT WE WOULD HAVE
- 12 A ONE-YEAR REVIEW OR REPORT THAT WOULD COME BACK TO US, AND I
- 13 THINK THAT'S SOMETHING YOU PROBABLY COULD CARRY OUT, RIGHT
- 14 DAVID?

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: MADAM CHAIR, JUST ONE FOLLOW-UP THING. I WOULD
- 17 THINK, THOUGH, THAT IN THE MEANTIME OF THE YEAR REVIEW, AS THE
- 18 AMBASSADOR INDICATED, THEIR ONE DATABASE IS ON-LINE WITHIN A
- 19 PERIOD OF TIME WHETHER IT BE THREE MONTHS, SIX MONTHS, THAT WE
- 20 GET THAT INFORMATION AS WELL SO THAT WE CAN CONTINUALLY UPDATE
- 21 IT AS TO WHAT THEY'RE DOING TO IMPROVE THE SECURITY OF.

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: THERE'S NO DOUBT, I'M SURE SHE'D BE MORE THAN
- 24 HAPPY TO SHARE THAT INFORMATION OR UPDATES WITH US.



1 MARTHA LARA: YES OF COURSE.

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, SO MOVED AND SECONDED AS
- 4 AMENDED, WITHOUT --

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY, YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE A
- 7 LOOPHOLE THAT YOU CAN DRIVE A TRAIN, TRUCK, AUTOMOBILE
- 8 THROUGH. YOU DON'T HAVE VERIFICATION BY YOUR FINGERPRINTS, YOU
- 9 ARE RECOGNIZING THOSE THAT ARE HERE WHO HAVE NOT GONE THROUGH
- 10 A LEGAL PROCESS, AND THAT'S PANDORA'S BOX. THERE HAS TO BE A
- 11 CONTROL. IF MEXICO WOULD ISSUE HER CITIZENS A PASSPORT, THEY
- 12 WOULD HAVE AN IDENTIFICATION. AS FAR AS I KNOW, THEY DON'T
- 13 HAVE A PASSPORT, THEY DON'T HAVE A VISA FROM THE UNITED STATES
- 14 GOVERNMENT, AND YOU'RE HAVING A GROUP OF PEOPLE, NO CRIMINAL
- 15 BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION, NO FINGERPRINT VERIFICATION, BEING
- 16 GIVEN A PSEUDO IDENTIFICATION CARD THAT'S GOING TO ENTITLE
- 17 THEM TO SERVICES THAT ARE ONLY ENTITLED FOR THOSE WHO ARE HERE
- 18 WHO'VE GONE THROUGH A LEGAL PROCESS --

19

20 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: THAT'S NOT TRUE

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A LEGAL PROCESS
- 23 OF LAW, THEN WE HAVE TO ENSURE THAT THE FEDERAL PROCESS, THE
- 24 INTEGRITY OF THE PROCESS IS GOING TO BE ADHERED TO, AND RIGHT
- 25 NOW, THIS PROPOSAL DOES NOT DO THAT. IN LIGHT OF THE SERIOUS



- 1 PROBLEMS WE'VE HAD NOW IN SECURITY, IT'S REALLY DROPPING OUR
- 2 DEFENSES BY ALLOWING THESE TYPES OF CARDS TO BE USED TO ACCESS
- 3 A FORM OF LEGALITY.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MR. ANTONOVICH, YOU KNOW, MOST PEOPLE DON'T
- 6 WANT TO CARRY THEIR PASSPORT AROUND WITH THEM EVERY DAY, ALL
- 7 DAY, I MEAN IF YOU HAVE ONE --

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY DON'T HAVE A PASSPORT, THAT'S THE POINT.

10

- 11 MARTHA LARA: I'M SORRY SIR, HOW CAN YOU SAY THAT THEY DON'T
- 12 HAVE A PASSPORT? MANY OF OUR PEOPLE DO.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEY CAN SHOW THEIR PASSPORT WHEN THEY
- 15 WERE STOPPED BY A POLICE OFFICER.

- 17 MARTHA LARA: SIR, THEY ARE, ACCORDING TO MEXICAN LAW, THEY CAN
- 18 OBTAIN A PASSPORT ALMOST WITH THE SAME DOCUMENTS THAT THEY
- 19 OBTAIN A MATRICULA CONSULAR, AND WE HAVE ONE OF THE HIGHEST
- 20 ISSUANCE OF MEXICAN PASSPORTS PER DAY IN THE CONSULATE. MANY
- 21 OF OUR MEXICAN PEOPLE LIVING HERE HAVE MEXICAN PASSPORTS, BUT
- 22 AS SUPERVISOR BURKE SAYS, NO ONE CARRIES THEIR PASSPORT AROUND
- 23 BECAUSE THIS IS ANOTHER TYPE OF COUNTRY. PERHAPS BACK IN THE
- 24 U.S.S.R., EVERYONE WOULD HAVE HAD THEIR PASSPORT WITH THEM,
- 25 BUT NOT HERE, YOU DON'T HAVE TO CARRY YOUR PASSPORTS. BUT



- 1 MEXICAN CITIZENS LIVING HERE CAN OBTAIN AND DO OBTAIN, AND
- 2 UNFORTUNATELY, I DIDN'T BRING TODAY THE STATISTICS, HOW MANY
- 3 PASSPORTS WE ISSUED LAST YEAR, BUT WE ISSUE A VERY HIGH NUMBER
- 4 OF PASSPORTS TO OUR OWN PEOPLE EVERY SINGLE DAY.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHENEVER YOU TRAVEL IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY, YOU
- 7 KEEP YOUR AMERICAN PASSPORT WITH YOU IF YOU'RE AN AMERICAN.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I DON'T.

10

11 MARTHA LARA: IN MEXICO AMERICANS DO NOT TAKE A A PASSPORT SIR.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M JUST SAYING ON THE PASSPORT THE AMERICAN
- 14 PASSPORT, IT TELLS YOU YOU KEEP THIS WITH YOU WHEN YOU TRAVEL
- 15 ABROAD, AND THAT -- THERE'S NO PROBLEM, BUT IF YOU'RE HERE
- 16 ILLEGALLY --

17

- 18 MARTHA LARA: MEXICO DOES NOT REQUIRE A PASSPORT FOR THE U.S.
- 19 CITIZENS TO COME INTO THE COUNTRY, SO THEREFORE THEY DO NOT GO
- 20 WITH PASSPORTS, AND THE HUNDREDS AND THOUSANDS OF TOURISTS GO
- 21 IN WITHOUT PASSPORTS INTO MY COUNTRY, AMERICANS, SO THEY DON'T
- 22 CARRY THEIR PASSPORT EVERY DAY WHEN THEY ARE IN MY COUNTRY,
- 23 THEY DON'T EVEN NEED IT TO COME INTO THE COUNTRY.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF WE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE COMING HERE
- 2 WITHOUT IDENTIFICATION, THEY ARE ABLE TO COME HERE LEGALLY BY
- 3 GOING THROUGH THE FEDERAL PROCESS OF GETTING A VISA TO TRAVEL
- 4 IN THIS COUNTRY. IF YOU WANT TO COME IN ILLEGALLY, THEN YOU
- 5 HAVE TO SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES. YOU ARE BYPASSING A LAW, A
- 6 FEDERAL LAW, AND WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IS A FAIR PROCESS
- 7 WHERE WE ADHERE TO THE LAW AND WE ENSURE WE HAVE
- 8 COMMUNICATION, TRANSPORTATION, INTERCOURSE BETWEEN THE VARIOUS
- 9 COUNTRIES, BUT IT'S DONE IN A LEGAL MANNER AND WE DON'T WINK
- 10 AN EYE AND ALLOW THOSE WHO COME HERE ILLEGALLY TO THINK THAT
- 11 THEY NOW HAVE THE ABILITY TO HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS AS THOSE WHO
- 12 HAVE LEGALLY COME HERE.

14 MARTHA LARA: I DON'T THINK WE'RE TALKING ABOUT EQUAL RIGHTS,

- 15 SIR. I THINK WE'RE TALKING OF ONLY HAVING AN I.D. WHICH CAN
- 16 SAY, "THIS IS MY FACE, THIS IS MY NAME, AND THIS IS WHERE I
- 17 LIVE, " AND THIS HAS BECOME SOMETHING VERY IMPORTANT SINCE
- 18 SEPTEMBER OF LAST YEAR. PEOPLE CANNOT EVEN ACCESS A BUILDING
- 19 IF THEY DON'T HAVE AN I.D. THEY CANNOT ENTER IN A FEDERAL
- 20 BUILDING, THEY CANNOT ENTER PRIVATE BUILDING PREMISES IF THEY
- 21 DON'T HAVE AN I.D.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF THEY HAVE A PASSPORT --

24

22



- 1 MARTHA LARA: THEY CAN DO VERY LITTLE THINGS WITHOUT AN I.D.
- 2 AND I WOULD RETAKE --

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF THEY HAVE A PASSPORT? IF THEY HAVE A
- 5 PASSPORT?

6

- 7 MARTHA LARA: YES SIR, IF THEY HAVE A PASSPORT THEY CAN, YES
- 8 WELL THEN WE CAN TELL OUR PEOPLE TO GET A PASSPORT, BUT IS IT
- 9 NOT --

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF THEY HAVE A PASSPORT YOU SAID.

12

13 MARTHA LARA: OH YES MANY OF OUR PEOPLE DO.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL THEY CAN USE THEIR PASSPORTS.

- 17 MARTHA LARA: YES BUT WE ARE OFFERING SIR AS A FEDERAL
- 18 GOVERNMENT WITHIN OUR SOVEREIGN CAPACITY BECAUSE WE CAN ISSUE
- 19 WHATEVER DOCUMENTS WE FEEL THAT OUR PEOPLE NEED, WE CAN ISSUE
- 20 TO THEM. WE ISSUE THEIR PASSPORTS, WHICH THEY OBTAIN BECAUSE
- 21 THEY ARE EXPENSIVE, ONLY WHEN THEY'RE GOING TO GO SOMETIMES TO
- 22 IMMIGRATION TO DO SOME OF THEIR REGULARIZATION, BUT THE I.D.,
- 23 THE MEXICAN I.D. SERVES AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR A PASSPORT WHEN
- 24 THEY GO BACK TO MEXICO, NOT WHEN THEY COME HERE. THEY CANNOT



- 1 USE IT. BUT WHEN THEY GO BACK TO MEXICO, IT IS A SUBSTITUTE OF
- 2 A MEXICAN PASSPORT, SIR.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT A PASSPORT AUTOMATICALLY GOES THROUGH A
- 5 CRIMINAL CHECK EVERY TIME ONE PASSES A BORDER, SO PASSPORTS
- 6 ARE ALSO ISSUED WITH A FINGERPRINT.

7

8 MARTHA LARA: YES, IN ALL THE WORLD.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WITH
- 11 SECURITY ISSUES.

12

- 13 MARTHA LARA: YES, SIR, BUT THIS IS A DIFFERENT DOCUMENT, THIS
- 14 IS AN I.D., AND THOSE ARE THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT'S
- 15 INSTRUCTIONS, AND THEY HAVE A DIFFERENT PURPOSE. IT'S ONLY
- 16 PROVIDING SOMEONE A FACE AND A NAME AND AN ADDRESS TO GO ALONG
- 17 WITH IT.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHICH CAN BE MISUSED, AND THAT'S ALL I --.

20

21 MARTHA LARA: EVERYTHING CAN BE MISUSED.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ABSOLUTELY.



- 1 MARTHA LARA: AND AS I SAY THINGS CAN BE BOUGHT HERE WITHOUT
- 2 THE NECESSITY OF GETTING AN I.D. ALL OF THE DOCUMENTS ARE ON
- 3 SALE IN MacARTHUR PARK.

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER? IT'S
- 6 MOVED BY MOLINA, SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH --

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. [ Laughter ].

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY,
- 11 SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY AS AMENDED. WE'LL CALL THE ROLL ON IT.

12

13 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: YEA.

16

17 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEA

20

21 **CLERK VARONA-LUKENS:** SUPERVISOR KNABE.

22

23 SUP. KNABE: YEA.

24

25 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

1

2

13

14

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.



3 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: AND SUPERVISOR BURKE. 4 5 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YEA. THE MEASURE IS PASSED. THANK YOU. I 6 WILL GO BACK TO SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I HAD A COUPLE OF 9 OTHER ITEMS. ITEM NUMBER TWO, I WAS HOLDING IN ORDER TO MAKE 10 THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENT. DURING THE LAST LEGISLATIVE SESSION 11 AB2777, WAS PASSED AND SIGNED INTO LAW, THE BILL AMENDS THE 12

- 15 DOMESTIC PARTNERS OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES THE SAME SURVIVOR
- 16 BENEFITS RECEIVED BY THE SPOUSES OF ELIGIBLE COUNTY EMPLOYEES

OF SUPERVISORS, INCLUDING LOS ANGELES COUNTY, TO EXTEND TO

COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT LAW OF 1937 PERMITS CERTAIN BOARDS

- 17 WHO DIE EITHER BEFORE OR AFTER RETIREMENT FROM COUNTY SERVICE.
- 18 BOTH THIS BOARD AND THE BOARD OF RETIREMENT OF LACERA ACTIVELY
- 19 SUPPORTED THE PASSAGE OF AB 2777. THIS BILL DOES NOT REQUIRE
- 20 THAT THIS BENEFIT BE EXTENDED. IT ALLOWS THE BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS TO DO SO AT ITS OPTION. IN ORDER TO MAKE THIS
- 22 DECISION, THE BOARD WILL REQUIRE INFORMATION CONCERNING THE
- 23 COST IMPLICATIONS. THE C.A.O. HAS CONSULTED WITH THE CITY OF
- 24 LOS ANGELES WHO'S RETIREMENT SYSTEM EXTENDED SURVIVOR BENEFITS
- 25 TO DOMESTIC PARTNERS IN 1996 AND IS REPLYING THAT BASED ON THE



- 1 CITY'S EXPERIENCE LACERA'S COST TO IMPLEMENT AB2777 SHOULD BE
- 2 MINIMAL. NONETHELESS BEFORE CONSIDERING THIS MATTER THE BOARD
- 3 SHOULD CAUSE A THOROUGH ACTUARIAL ANALYSIS TO BE CONDUCTED,
- 4 AND THAT AB 2777 TOOK EFFECT ON JANUARY 1st OF THIS YEAR, IT
- 5 WOULD BE APPROPRIATE AT THIS TIME FOR THE BOARD TO REQUEST
- 6 THAT LACERA CAUSE THIS STUDY TO BE PERFORMED. SUCH A STUDY IS
- 7 ESTIMATED TO COST APPROXIMATELY \$6,000 AND SHOULD REQUIRE ONLY
- 8 A FEW WEEKS' TIME. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION LOCAL
- 9 660 HAS ALSO EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS
- 10 STUDY. UNDER LACERA POLICY IF A REQUEST IS RECEIVED FROM BOTH
- 11 THE COUNTY AND AN EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATION, LACERA WILL BEAR THE
- 12 COST OF PREPARING AN ACTUARIAL REPORT. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT
- 13 THE C.A.O. BE INSTRUCTED TO REQUEST LACERA TO CONDUCT THE
- 14 APPROPRIATE ACTUARIAL STUDY OF THE COST OF IMPLEMENTING AB
- 15 2777 TO PROVIDE THE RESULTS WITHIN 60 DAYS TO THE BOARD OF
- 16 SUPERVISORS SO THAT IT MAY MAKE A DECISION ON IMPLEMENTING THE
- 17 PROVISIONS OF THIS BILL, THAT'S AMENDMENT ITEM 2.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IS THIS A REPORT OR IS THIS ACTUALLY
- 20 SOMETHING THAT HAS TO --

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S ON THE AGENDA.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO IT'S, MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY
- 2 KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION ALL RIGHT, LET'S HAVE A --
- 3 ANTONOVICH ABSTAINS. AND SO IT'S PASSED 4-TO-1, 4-TO-0.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 4-TO-0 WITH ONE ABSTENTION RIGHT. I WANT TO
- 6 READ IN A MOTION. I DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN ACT ON IT TODAY OR
- 7 NEXT WEEK. MR. JANSSEN, THIS IS ON THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET. DO
- 8 YOU WANT TO TRY TO ACT ON IT TODAY? ALL RIGHT.

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM A-3 IS ON THE AGENDA LATER ON, WE CAN DO
- 11 IT NOW OR DO IT LATER.

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T WE DO IT NOW AND LET ME JUST
- 14 INTRODUCE IT, WE CAN DISCUSS IT LATER. THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET
- 15 ANNOUNCED LAST WEEK PROPOSES THE PERMANENT ELIMINATION OF
- 16 STATE FUNDS THAT BACKFILL LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGETS FOR THE
- 17 LOSS OF REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE STATE'S REDUCTION IN THE
- 18 GENERAL GOVERNMENT PORTION OF THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEE. THIS
- 19 CUT, WHICH WOULD TAKE EFFECT NEXT MONTH, WOULD RESULT IN A
- 20 LOSS OF REVENUES TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TOTALLING 1.3 BILLION
- 21 DOLLARS IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR AND 2.9 BILLION DOLLARS IN
- 22 THE FISCAL YEAR OF 2003/4. IF APPROVED BY THE LEGISLATURE THE
- 23 GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL WOULD RESULT IN A LOSS TO LOS ANGELES
- 24 COUNTY OF \$191 MILLION IN THE CURRENT BUDGET YEAR, WHICH IS
- 25 MORE THAN HALF AND \$472 MILLION IN '03/'04. ON AN ANNUALIZED



- 1 BASIS, THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL WOULD REDUCE THE COUNTY'S
- 2 DISCRETIONARY REVENUE BY OVER 30%. THOSE REVENUES HELP TO
- 3 FINANCE LOCAL SERVICES SUCH AS PARKS, HEALTHCARE, AND PUBLIC
- 4 PROTECTION, PUBLIC SAFETY, AS WELL AS THE LOCAL MATCH REQUIRED
- 5 FOR STATE AND FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES ADMINISTERED BY
- 6 THE COUNTY. IT WOULD UNAVOIDABLY RESULT IN A MAJOR LOSS OF
- 7 FUNDS TO THE COUNTY'S PUBLIC PROTECTION AGENCIES, PRIMARILY
- 8 THE SHERIFF, PROBATION AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, AS WELL AS
- 9 FIRE, LIFE GUARDS AND THE CORONER WOULD RECEIVE APPROXIMATELY
- 10 ONE-THIRD OF THE COUNTY'S DISCRETIONARY FUNDS. BECAUSE OF THE
- 11 LIMITS PLACED UPON THE AUTHORITY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO RAISE
- 12 REVENUE BY PROPOSITION 13 AND PROPOSITION 218, IT WOULD BE
- 13 IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE COUNTY TO REPLACE SUCH A MAJOR LOSS OF
- 14 STATE FUNDS. THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL BREAKS A PROMISE MADE BY
- 15 HIS PREDECESSOR IN THE LEGISLATURE IN 1988 TO HOLD LOCAL
- 16 GOVERNMENTS HARMLESS FOR THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEE RATE
- 17 REDUCTIONS AND IT IGNORES THE COMPROMISE AGREED TO THEN TO
- 18 RAISE THE V.L.F. IF AT ANY TIME IN THE FUTURE AND THE STATE
- 19 COULD NOT AFFORD TO BACK THEIR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR THEIR
- 20 LOSS. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT
- 21 THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE TO SEND A LETTER TO THE
- 22 GOVERNOR AND THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY LEGISLATE DELEGATION
- 23 URGING THEM TO ONE REJECT THE GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL TO REDUCE
- 24 THE V.L.F. BACKFILL AND HONOR THE COMMITMENT TO HOLD LOCAL
- 25 GOVERNMENTS HARMLESS FROM THE STATE MANDATED CUTS IN THIS



- 1 LOCAL REVENUE SOURCE. OR, TWO, PROMPTLY AMEND SECTION 10754
- 2 THE REVENUE TAXATION CODE TO CLARIFY ANY UNCERTAINTIES AND
- 3 AMBIGUITIES REGARDING THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD TRIGGER AN
- 4 INCREASE IN THE V.L.F. RATE IF THE STATE FUNDING FOR THE
- 5 BACKFILL IS REDUCED.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY NUMBER TWO? I MEAN, WHAT
- 8 DO YOU MEAN, IF THEY'RE GOING TO NOT GIVE YOU THE MONEY --

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. JANSSEN CAN ANSWER THAT?

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR, THE LAW
- 13 RELATING TO VEHICLE LICENSE FEES HAS BEEN AMENDED A NUMBER OF
- 14 TIMES SINCE 1998 TO A POINT WHERE IT IS RATHER CONFUSING ABOUT
- 15 WHAT THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IS INTENDED TO MEAN, AND THAT'S ONE
- 16 OF THE ARGUMENTS IN SACRAMENTO RIGHT NOW ABOUT THE MAJORITY
- 17 TWO-THIRDS VOTE REQUIREMENT. SO THAT NEEDS TO BE CLEARED UP
- 18 LONG-TERM.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WOULDN'T THEY HAVE HAD THE ALLEGED COUNSEL
- 21 OPINION ON THAT LEGISLATION AND ALSO THEY WOULD HAVE THE
- 22 COMMENTS MADE BY THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATORS WHEN THAT
- 23 LEGISLATION WAS SIGNED THAT THERE WAS -- THIS MONEY WAS TO
- 24 REMAIN WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENT, THERE WAS A FINAL FIRM
- 25 COMMITMENT?

24

25

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, AND I UNDERSTAND --2 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW, WHAT MORE DO THEY NEED? 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, I AGREE. I AGREE WITH YOU, WHAT MORE DO 6 7 THEY NEED, BUT THERE IS CLEARLY SOME --8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THAT'S SAYING TELL US AGAIN THAT THIS WAS 9 TO REMAIN WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENT. 10 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I UNDERSTAND THAT THE ALLEGED COUNSEL HAS 12 OPINED. I HAVEN'T SEEN IT. WE'RE TRYING TO GET AHOLD OF IT, 13 THAT IT TAKES A MAJORITY VOTE, BUT I THINK THE DOCUMENT THAT 14 15 YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT EXISTS, OKAY. 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. 17 18 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. 20 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK, WHY COULDN'T WE VOTE TODAY ON 22 THAT BECAUSE OF CIRCUMSTANCES --23

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE ARE GOING TO, IT'S PART OF A-3 YEAH.



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: A-3 IS BEFORE YOU SO YOU CAN.

2

3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ARE YOU CALLING UP A-3 AT THIS TIME?

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH I GUESS THAT'S WHAT I'M DOING HERE.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY, IT'S -- MADAM CHAIR

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: OH, YOU'RE GOING TO REPORT ON IT IS THAT?

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MADAM CHAIR, YEAH, I WOULD LIKE AT THIS TIME
- 12 IF I COULD TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE GOVERNOR'S
- 13 BUDGET AND PARTICULARLY THE ISSUE THAT HAS JUST BEEN RAISED.
- 14 WE WILL HAVE A MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS PURSUANT TO SUPERVISOR
- 15 YAROSLAVSKY'S RECOMMENDATION NEXT WEEK OR THE WEEK AFTER. THE
- 16 GOVERNOR, AS EVERYONE KNOWS, RELEASED HIS BUDGET. HE HAS
- 17 PEGGED THE STATE'S SHORTFALL AT \$34.6 BILLION. THAT OBVIOUSLY
- 18 IS TO BE VERIFIED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYSTS. THERE'S SOME
- 19 DISPUTE ABOUT WHETHER THE PROBLEM IS THAT SIGNIFICANT OR NOT,
- 20 BUT BE THAT AS IT MAY, IT IS AN ENORMOUS SHORTFALL THAT THE
- 21 STATE IS DEALING WITH. IT IMPACTS THE COUNTY IN THREE WAYS
- 22 THAT ARE VERY CRITICAL, AND I WANT TO JUST BRIEFLY GO THROUGH
- 23 THEM. VEHICLE LICENSE FEES, REALIGNMENT PROPOSAL OF THE
- 24 GOVERNOR AND REIMBURSEMENT FOR SP-90 MANDATED PROGRAMS. THE
- 25 VEHICLE LICENSE FEE ISSUE, AND IT IS A COMPLICATED ISSUE, AS



- 1 I'VE LEARNED OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS, TRYING TO EXPLAIN
- 2 TO PEOPLE WHAT IT MEANS, WHAT THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEE BACKFILL
- 3 IS AND WHAT IT MEANS, AND IF I COULD TAKE JUST A MINUTE, IF I
- 4 CAN AND I WILL TRY TO EXPLAIN IT IN ENGLISH, THE VEHICLE
- 5 LICENSE FEES ARE A CONSTITUTIONALLY-PROTECTED LOCAL GOVERNMENT
- 6 REVENUE. THEY CANNOT BE USED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. IN
- 7 1998, GOVERNOR WILSON AND THE LEGISLATURE AT THAT TIME,
- 8 BECAUSE THE STATE HAD A SURPLUS, AND BECAUSE THERE WAS
- 9 PRESSURE TO REDUCE THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEE, DECIDED TO REDUCE
- 10 OUR LOCAL REVENUE IN A SERIES OF INCREMENTS BEGINNING WITH
- 11 25%. THEY REDUCED IT IN 1998 AT 25% AND SAID IT WOULD BE
- 12 FURTHER REDUCED AS STATE REVENUES ALLOWED. AND CURRENTLY, 67%
- 13 OF THAT FEE HAS BEEN REDUCED FOR THE INDIVIDUALS, FOR US, THAT
- 14 PAY OUR LICENSE FEE, WE ONLY PAY 34% OF WHAT WE PAID IN 1998.
- 15 AND WHEN YOU RECEIVE YOUR BILL, IT TELLS YOU HOW MUCH YOU
- 16 WOULD HAVE OWED BUT FOR THE FACT THAT IT WAS REDUCED. NOW,
- 17 WHAT HAPPENED TO ALL THAT REVENUE? \$4 BILLION WORTH OF SAVINGS
- 18 HAVE GONE TO PEOPLE WHO OWN VEHICLES OVER -- ANNUALLY. THE
- 19 LEGISLATURE AND THE GOVERNOR, IN 1998, ASSURED LOCAL
- 20 GOVERNMENT AS THEY REDUCED OUR REVENUE THAT THEY WOULD
- 21 BACKFILL THAT LOSS WITH STATE GENERAL FUND, AND THAT'S WHAT
- 22 THEY HAVE BEEN DOING. THIS YEAR, WE, THE STATE, HAS PLANNED TO
- 23 PAY LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, CITIES AND COUNTIES, \$4 BILLION OUT OF
- 24 THE STATE BUDGET TO MAKE UP FOR THE LOSS OF THE VEHICLE
- 25 LICENSE FEE. THE BILL ALSO SAID THAT SHOULD THE STATE NOT HAVE



- 1 THE REVENUES TO CONTINUE TO PAY LOCAL GOVERNMENT OUT OF THE
- 2 GENERAL FUND, THE FEE WOULD GO BACK UP, AND THAT IS WHAT
- 3 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WAS TALKING ABOUT, THE FEE WAS TO GO
- 4 BACK UP WHEN THE STATE DID NOT HAVE THE REVENUES TO BACKFILL
- 5 LOCAL GOVERNMENT. THE GOVERNOR IN HIS PROPOSAL, IN HIS BUDGET,
- 6 IS PROPOSING TO CUT THAT MONEY GOING TO CITIES AND COUNTIES BY
- 7 \$2.9 BILLION STATEWIDE. THERE IS A PORTION FOR REALIGNED
- 8 PROGRAMS HE'S NOT TOUCHING, BUT 2.9 BILLION STATEWIDE, HE IS
- 9 PROPOSING TO CUT TO HELP BALANCE THE STATE BUDGET. FOR LOS
- 10 ANGELES COUNTY, AS INDICATED IN THE MOTION, WE WILL LOSE \$472
- 11 MILLION A YEAR, NEXT YEAR, IN '03/'04. AND THE PROPOSAL IS TO
- 12 TAKE EFFECT IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR. THAT WOULD BE AN
- 13 ADDITIONAL \$191-MILLION REDUCTION, THE LOSS OF VEHICLE LICENSE
- 14 FEES. WHEN THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE MADE THE PRESENTATION ON
- 15 FRIDAY, HE INDICATED THAT COUNTIES OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO ABSORB
- 16 THIS BECAUSE IT ONLY AMOUNTED TO 5.5% OF THEIR REVENUES, AND I
- 17 THINK YOU SAID CITIES THAT AMOUNTED TO ONLY 4% OF THEIR
- 18 REVENUES. THE PROBLEM WITH THE COUNTY BUDGET, HOWEVER, IS THAT
- 19 ALL BUT 91% OF THE BUDGET IS LOCKED UP BY MAINTENANCE OF
- 20 EFFORT REQUIREMENTS, SPECIFIC FUNDING REQUIREMENTS,
- 21 CATEGORICAL FUNDING, MATCHING COSTS, ET CETERA, AND THE ONLY
- 22 MONEY YOU HAVE AVAILABLE TO HANDLE A CUT OF THIS MAGNITUDE IS
- 23 \$1.5 BILLION, OR 9% OF YOUR TOTAL BUDGET. AND THIS IS A CHART
- 24 THAT WE HAVE PREPARED EVERY YEAR WHEN WE PRODUCE A BUDGET THAT
- 25 INDICATES WHERE THE MONEY IS, HOW IT'S SPENT IN THE COUNTY,



- 1 AND WHY THERE IS SO LITTLE FLEXIBILITY IN THE BUDGET AND WHY
- 2 THE 5.5% FIGURE IS A MEANINGLESS FIGURE WHEN IT COMES TO
- 3 HAVING TO DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM. NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THIS
- 4 CHART AND YOU REMOVE THE ONE-TIME REVENUES, BECAUSE THEY'RE
- 5 NOT GOING TO DO ANY GOOD TO SOLVE THE LONG-TERM PROBLEM, AND
- 6 YOU REMOVE THE GENERAL FUND THAT GOES TO THE HEALTH
- 7 DEPARTMENT, AND THAT'S A POLICY DECISION OF THE BOARD IF WE
- 8 ARE TO MAKE THESE CUTS, BUT I PRESUME AT LEAST NOW THAT WE'RE
- 9 NOT GOING TO RECOMMEND FURTHER CUTTING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT,
- 10 54% OF ALL OF THIS MONEY HAS TO BE CUT. 54% HAS TO BE CUT. SO
- 11 THE REDUCTION IN THIS PORTION OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, FOR
- 12 EXAMPLE, WOULD BE \$154 MILLION. THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, \$34
- 13 MILLION. PROBATION CAMPS, \$54 MILLION. C.A.O.'S OFFICE, \$10
- 14 MILLION. HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION, ONE MILLION. PARKS, 34
- 15 MILLION. REGIONAL PLANNING, 4.2. THIS IS JUST BY WAY OF
- 16 INDICATING THE MAGNITUDE OF THE IMPACT OF THE REDUCTION OF
- 17 VEHICLE LICENSE FEES ON THE DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS THAT YOU
- 18 HAVE. YOU OBVIOUSLY CAN DECIDE TO TAKE THE REDUCTION ALL OUT
- 19 OF ONE AREA OR MULTIPLE AREAS, AND WE'RE GOING TO BE WORKING
- 20 WITH DEPARTMENTS TO IDENTIFY THE POSSIBILITIES, BUT YOU ARE
- 21 LOOKING AT OVERALL A 54% REDUCTION IN REVENUES THAT WE'RE
- 22 RECEIVING AS A RESULT OF THE VEHICLE LICENSE FEE REDUCTION. WE
- 23 BELIEVE THAT THE LEGISLATURE CAN REINSTATE THE FEE BY A
- 24 MAJORITY VOTE. THAT IS WHAT THEY PROMISED IN 1998. THEY
- 25 ASSURED LOCAL GOVERNMENT THAT THAT IS WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE



- 1 STATE REVENUES FELL, AND STATE REVENUES HAVE CLEARLY FALLEN,
- 2 AND THE MOTION IS ASKING THE BOARD TO TAKE A POSITION, ASKING
- 3 THE LEGISLATURE TO TAKE THAT ACTION, BECAUSE THE GOVERNOR HAS
- 4 NOT PROPOSED IT IN HIS BUDGET. THAT IS FAR AND AWAY THE MOST
- 5 IMPORTANT ISSUE FACING LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN THE NEW GOVERNOR'S
- 6 BUDGET, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT C.S.A.C.'S POSITION HAS BEEN
- 7 THEY WILL NOT DISCUSS REALIGNMENT WHATSOEVER UNTIL THE VEHICLE
- 8 LICENSE FEE ISSUE IS OFF THE TABLE. THE SECOND ISSUE IS
- 9 REALIGNMENT. IN 1991, GOVERNOR WILSON WHEN HE HAD A BUDGET
- 10 DEFICIT PROPOSED TO REALIGN A NUMBER OF STATE PROGRAMS TO
- 11 COUNTIES, AND THEY'RE PROGRAMS THAT WE SHARE, WE ADMINISTER,
- 12 THEY FUND, ET CETERA. IT HAS BEEN A REASONABLY SUCCESSFUL
- 13 TRANSFER OF RESPONSIBILITY, REASONABLY SUCCESSFUL. THE
- 14 GOVERNOR IS PROPOSING AN \$8.3 BILLION TRANSFER OF
- 15 RESPONSIBILITY IN THE BUDGET. THE CONCEPT IS THEY TRANSFER TO
- 16 US THE COSTS OF 30 DIFFERENT PROGRAMS IN VARYING AMOUNTS AND
- 17 THEY TRANSFER ADDITIONAL REVENUES OR NEW REVENUES TO FUND
- 18 THEM. THAT'S WHAT THEY DID IN 1991. SO THE GOVERNOR IS
- 19 PROPOSING TO RAISE CIGARETTE TAX, INCOME TAX, AND SALES TAX,
- 20 \$8.3 BILLION OFF BUDGET, AND THE REASON THAT HE'S PROPOSING
- 21 THAT IS THAT OTHERWISE, 55% OF ALL NEW TAX REVENUE WOULD HAVE
- 22 TO GO TO SCHOOLS, AND SO IT IS A DEFINITE BENEFIT TO THE STATE
- 23 TO REALIGN PROGRAMS AND RAISE THE REVENUES AND GIVE THEM
- 24 DIRECTLY TO COUNTIES. CONCEPTUALLY, IT MAKES SENSE, AS LONG AS
- 25 CERTAIN CRITERIA ARE MET HOWEVER: FLEXIBILITY HAS TO COME WITH



- 1 THE PROGRAM, THE REVENUES OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO MATCH THE
- 2 EXPENDITURES, THE STATE OVERSIGHT NEEDS TO BE THROUGH
- 3 PERFORMANCE MEASURES RATHER THAN REGULATIONS, ET CETERA. NOW
- 4 THERE ARE A COUPLE OF CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE, AND AS I SAID,
- 5 THERE ARE OVER 30 PROGRAMS THAT HE IS PROPOSING TO REALIGN.
- 6 THERE ARE A HANDFUL THAT CONSTITUTE THE MAGNITUDE OF THE
- 7 EXPENDITURE, AND THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT WE HAVE THE MOST
- 8 CONCERNS ABOUT. AND LET ME TALK ABOUT THE PROGRAMS FIRST AND
- 9 THEN THE REVENUES. REALIGNMENT IS NOT GOING TO WORK IF THE
- 10 COST OF THESE PROGRAMS EXCEED THE REVENUES THAT ARE
- 11 TRANSFERRED. HE IS PROPOSING TO TRANSFER 100% OF THE COST OF
- 12 IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES TO COUNTIES. 100%. THE CURRENT
- 13 RATIO IS 65% STATE, 35% COUNTY, FROM THE STATE PORTION. THAT
- 14 WOULD BE, OBVIOUSLY, MATCHED FOR A PERIOD OF TIME BY THIS NEW
- 15 REVENUE. HOWEVER, I.H.S.S. HAS BEEN GROWING APPROXIMATELY 15%
- 16 A YEAR AND CASELOAD HAS RISEN 12% A YEAR. THERE IS NO REVENUE
- 17 STREAM IN CALIFORNIA THAT HISTORICALLY HAS RISEN THAT, LET
- 18 ALONE THE CURRENT ECONOMIC SITUATION. ANOTHER PROGRAM HE'S
- 19 PROPOSING TO HAVE COUNTIES PICK UP 15% OF THE COST OF Medi-Cal
- 20 ADMINISTRATION. IT'S NOW A HUNDRED PERCENT FUNDED AND THAT'S
- 21 ABOUT 1.4, 1.6 BILLION DOLLARS. Medi-Cal, SINCE 1990, HAS
- 22 GROWN 157%, OR 15% A YEAR. AGAIN, THE CONCERN IS, OBVIOUSLY,
- 23 DURING ECONOMIC -- DURING TIMES OF ECONOMIC TROUBLE, THAT'S
- 24 WHEN OUR CASELOADS GROW. THAT'S WHEN THE REVENUES DROP. SO YOU
- 25 HAVE AN AUTOMATIC MISMATCH. AND IT, IN FACT, IS ONE OF THE



- 1 PROBLEMS THE STATE IS FACING RIGHT NOW. THEIR REVENUES ARE NOT
- 2 MATCHING THE EXPENDITURES IN Medi-Cal AND IN HOME SUPPORTIVE
- 3 SERVICES, ET CETERA. THE THIRD AREA THAT CONSTITUTES THEN 62%
- 4 OF THE TOTAL COST IS NURSING HOME, LONG-TERM CARE. THE
- 5 GOVERNOR IS PROPOSING THE COUNTIES PICK UP 15% OF THE COST OF
- 6 NURSING HOMES. NURSING HOME COSTS HAVE NOT GROWN DRAMATICALLY
- 7 BECAUSE THERE HAVE NOT BEEN FACILITIES AVAILABLE. BUT THE
- 8 DIFFICULTY OF THE PROBLEM WITH THE NURSING HOME PROPOSAL AS
- 9 STATED IN THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET ITSELF, AND IT SAYS ON THIS
- 10 REALIGNED PROGRAM, THE STATE WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 11 ADMINISTERING SERVICES WHILE COUNTIES WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 12 THE COSTS. OVERSIGHT FUNCTIONS, SUCH AS LICENSING AND
- 13 COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION WOULD REMAIN UNDER STATE CONTROL. THIS
- 14 PROPOSAL WOULD NOT RESULT IN REDUCED ELIGIBILITY. SO ON THE
- 15 SURFACE, IT VIOLATES THE PRINCIPLES OF REALIGNMENT, IT DOESN'T
- 16 TRANSFER TO US ANY ABILITY TO CONTROL THE EXPENDITURES, THE
- 17 PROGRAM, THE COSTS, ET CETERA. WITH RESPECT TO THE THREE
- 18 REVENUES, INCOME TAX HAS CAUSED THE STATE SERIOUS PROBLEM IN
- 19 THE LAST TWO OR THREE YEARS. IT IS VERY VOLATILE. SALES TAX
- 20 DECLINES, WE'RE HAVING TROUBLE NOW WITH PROP 172 AND
- 21 REALIGNMENT SALES TAX OURSELVES, A 50 TO 60-MILLION-DOLLAR
- 22 SHORTFALL. AND AS WE KNOW, CIGARETTE TAX REVENUES HAVE BEEN
- 23 DECLINING IN PROP 10, IN PROP 99, AND WE WOULD EXPECT THAT
- 24 THEY WOULD DROP AS WELL, SO THERE'S A CONCERN ON BOTH SIDES,
- 25 THE REVENUE SIDE AND THE COST SIDE. THE CONCEPT OF



- 1 REALIGNMENT, I WANT TO REINFORCE, THAT THE CONCEPT OF
- 2 REALIGNMENT IS A GOOD ONE, IT HELPS THE STATE SOLVE A PROBLEM
- 3 WITHOUT HAVING TO ADD ADDITIONAL -- NOT THAT I HAVE ANYTHING
- 4 AGAINST EDUCATION, BUT THEY HAVE THEIR OWN LOBBYISTS AND
- 5 PEOPLE TO ARGUE. IT ALLOWS THEM TO SOLVE THE STATE'S SERIOUS
- 6 PROBLEM MUCH MORE EFFICIENTLY AS LONG AS THE PROGRAMS AND THE
- 7 REVENUES MAKE SENSE, AND I THINK THAT C.S.A.C.'S POSITION IS
- 8 GOING TO BE, AND WHAT I RECOMMEND IS THAT WE WORK WITH THEM TO
- 9 IDENTIFY THOSE PROGRAMS THAT DO MAKE SENSE TO REALIGN, AND
- 10 MANY OF THE OTHER 30 PROGRAMS DO MAKE A LOT OF SENSE ON THE
- 11 SURFACE. SOME OF THE OTHER PROGRAMS, DRUG COURTS, STATE
- 12 OPERATIONS, CHILDREN'S SYSTEM OF CARE, FOSTER CARE GRANTS,
- 13 CHILD WELFARE SERVICES, KIN GAP, CHILD CARE, RURAL HEALTH,
- 14 Calworks Administration, some of the cuts that we were Looking
- 15 AT AND MAY REVISE IN ADMINISTRATION ARE NOW PART OF REALIGNED
- 16 PROGRAMS, AND IT'S A WAY TO PROTECT THE PROGRAMS, PROTECT THE
- 17 SERVICES, AND PROVIDE THE REVENUES THAT ARE AVAILABLE. NEW
- 18 REVENUES THAT WOULD SUPPORT THE PROGRAMS. THIS IS -- ANY
- 19 REALIGNMENT PROGRAM IS GOING TO TAKE MONTHS, ABSOLUTELY MONTHS
- 20 TO IMPLEMENT BECAUSE EVERY ONE OF THOSE PROGRAMS IS DIFFERENT,
- 21 HAS THEIR OWN STATUTORY AUTHORIZATION, RULES AND REGULATIONS,
- 22 ET CETERA. THE THIRD MAJOR AREA, AND IT'S FAR -- IN TERMS OF
- 23 THE MAGNITUDE, FAR LESS SIGNIFICANT THAN THE FIRST TWO. LAST
- 24 YEAR, THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATURE IN THE CURRENT YEAR
- 25 DECIDED NOT TO REIMBURSE LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR SB90 OR MANDATED



- 1 PROGRAMS, THAT WE ARE PROVIDING ON THEIR BEHALF, AND FOR LOS
- 2 ANGELES' CURRENT YEAR, IT'S IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$40 MILLION
- 3 THAT THEY DID NOT GIVE US, BUT WE STILL ARE PROVIDING THE
- 4 PROGRAM BECAUSE THE LAW REQUIRES US TO DO SO. AND JUST A
- 5 COUPLE OF EXAMPLES, IN CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND MENTAL HEALTH,
- 6 SERIOUSLY EMOTIONALLY DISTURBED PUPILS, \$8.2 MILLION, IN
- 7 MENTAL HEALTH, HANDICAPPED STUDENTS, \$14.8 MILLION. REGISTRAR
- 8 RECORDER, ABSENTEE BALLOTS, \$2.3 MILLION. SHERIFF, POLICE
- 9 OFFICER BILL OF RIGHTS, \$4.6 MILLION. SEXUALLY VIOLENT
- 10 PREDATORS, \$3.4 MILLION. THESE ARE STATE MONEYS WE PREVIOUSLY
- 11 RECEIVED TO FUND PROGRAMS THAT THEY'VE PREVIOUSLY MANDATED.
- 12 THE MANDATE HAS NOT GONE AWAY, THEY HAVE TAKEN THE REVENUE,
- 13 AND THEY HAVE PROMISED TO REPAY THE COUNTY WITH INTEREST AT
- 14 SOME FUTURE DATE. THEY'RE NOW PROPOSING FOR THE SECOND YEAR
- 15 NOT TO REIMBURSE LOCAL GOVERNMENT, AND THIS IS NOT JUST
- 16 COUNTIES, BUT SCHOOLS AND CITIES AS WELL, AN EQUAL AMOUNT, AND
- 17 IT AMOUNTS TO A LOW-INTEREST LOAN, ESSENTIALLY, FROM THE
- 18 COUNTY TO THE STATE WITH NO --

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO GUARANTEE OF RETURN.

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO GUARANTEE YES, OF ANY FUTURE REIMBURSEMENT,
- 23 AND AS LONG AS WE PROVIDE THOSE PROGRAMS, IT'S COSTING US \$40
- 24 MILLION A YEAR.

25

19



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IF -- ARE THEY ELIMINATING THE MANDATE?

2

3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, THEY ARE NOT.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THEY'RE ELIMINATING THE MONEY, BUT NOT THE
- 6 MANDATE --

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHICH MAKES THE COUNTY TAXPAYERS LIABLE FOR
- 11 NOT PROVIDING THE SERVICE.

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THE STATE HAS NO -- IS WASHING THEIR
- 16 HANDS BUT NOT PROVIDING US WITH THE REVENUE SO WHY DON'T THEY
- 17 JUST ELIMINATE THE MANDATE AND THEN ALLOW CITIES AND COUNTIES
- 18 AND THE STATE TO MAKE THOSE DECISIONS TO FIT THEIR NEEDS.

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. THEY NEED TO DO ONE OR THE OTHER.
- 21 EITHER THEY NEED TO PROVIDE THE REVENUE OR THEY NEED TO
- 22 ELIMINATE THE PROGRAMS.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND PARTICULARLY WITH INHOME HEALTH
- 25 SERVICES. PART OF THE INCREASES IN THAT AREA ARE TIED TO THE



- 1 BUDGET OF THE STATE, AND ANY -- AND THE INCREASE OR DECREASE
- 2 IN THAT BUDGET, SO IT WOULD SEEM TO ME VERY DIFFICULT. THAT'S
- 3 AN EXTREME MANDATE. NOT ONLY IS IT A MANDATE, AND I RECOGNIZE
- 4 IT WAS PART OF REALIGNMENT, BUT ONLY REALIGNMENT FOR A PORTION
- 5 OF IT, BUT THE STATE HAS TAKEN UPON ITSELF TO ESTABLISH THE
- 6 RATE OF REIMBURSEMENT BASED UPON CERTAIN DATES TO REEVALUATE
- 7 BASED UPON THEIR BUDGET. THIS IS TOTALLY INCONSISTENT TO THEN
- 8 TALK ABOUT TRANSFERRING THAT MANDATE ALONG WITH ALL THE
- 9 DETAILS THAT ARE TIED TO THEIR BUDGET, SO THAT'S A REAL
- 10 INCONSISTENCY, AND I DON'T SEE HOW THEY CAN DO THAT.

- 12 SUP. KNABE: I THINK MORE IMPORTANTLY, I THINK IT SHOWS THE
- 13 VULNERABLEABILITY WE HAVE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL. I MEAN CLEARLY,
- 14 WHEN THAT WHOLE IDEAL OF I.H.S.S. AND EVERYTHING THAT WENT
- 15 FORWARD AND THE UNIONIZATION AND THAT WHOLE PROCESS WAS GOING
- 16 TO BE A STATE RESPONSIBILITY, WE LITERALLY WENT FROM 0% TO 3%
- 17 UP TO 35 AND NOW WE'RE AT A HUNDRED PERCENT AND CLEARLY, I
- 18 MEAN THESE ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS, I MEAN THAT'S -- TO ME,
- 19 THAT'S NOT REALIGNMENT, THAT'S JUST BACKING OUT OF THE DEAL,
- 20 AND I THINK THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT WE, YOU KNOW,
- 21 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH. I MEAN, EVERYONE KNOWS THE
- 22 CAMERAS WERE DOWN HERE AND, YOU KNOW, THEY FILL THE ROOM AND
- 23 THE STATE, YOU KNOW, GOT TIRED OF BUSSING UP THERE SO THEY'RE
- 24 JUST PUSHING, THE IRONY THAT I FIND IN MOST REALIGNMENT ARE
- 25 OBVIOUSLY THE HOT BUTTON ISSUES THAT, YOU KNOW, DRAMATICALLY

7

9

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 IMPACT THE LIVES OF PEOPLE AND THEN TRYING TO FORCE US TO BE
- 2 IN THAT POSITION TO DO THOSE THINGS WITHOUT THE PROPER DOLLARS
- 3 AND THEN TRYING TO MAKE US BE THE BAD GUYS. I DON'T SEE ANY
- 4 OTHER WAY TO DESCRIBE IT. I MEAN, CLEARLY, THE REALIGNMENT IS
- 5 JUST NO MORE THAN TRYING TO MAKE THIS COUNTY AND ALL COUNTIES
- 6 OR ALL LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO BE THE BAD GUYS.

8 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I THINK IT'S A SAFE STATEMENT

- 11 TO MAKE THAT THIS IS THE MOST HOSTILE BUDGET EVER PROPOSED AS
- 12 IT RELATES TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN THE HISTORY OF THE STATE OF
- 13 CALIFORNIA. THIS IS REALLY QUITE A DIABOLICAL SCHEME. IT
- 14 WASN'T JUST AN ACCIDENT, IT WAS JUST NOT AN ACCOUNTING TRICK,
- 15 BUT I THINK AS DAVID HAS ELUDED TO, WHAT THEY'VE DONE IS
- 16 SHIFTED ALL OF THE FASTEST-GROWING COST PROGRAMS, FISCAL
- 17 RESPONSIBILITY TO COUNTIES AND WHATEVER REVENUE SOURCES
- 18 THEY'VE GIVEN US ARE THE ONES THAT HAVE THE LEAST AMOUNT OF
- 19 ELASTICITY. THAT IS TO SAY THE REVENUE SOURCES THAT HAVE GIVEN
- 20 THE STATE THE BIGGEST AMOUNT OF TROUBLE, THAT HAVE GOTTEN THEM
- 21 INTO THIS MESS IS THE ONES THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO RELY
- 22 ON, WHILE THE GROWTH PROGRAMS THAT ARE, YOU KNOW, GROWING
- 23 EXPONENTIALLY, I.H.S.S. BEING JUST ONE OF THEM, MENTAL HEALTH,
- 24 AND NURSING HOMES, MEDICARE, Medi-Cal, THESE PROGRAMS ARE
- 25 GROWING EXPONENTIALLY WHILE THE REVENUE SOURCES THAT THEY ARE,



- 1 QUOTE, UNQUOTE, GIVING US TO DEAL WITH THEM ARE THE ONES THAT
- 2 ARE VERY ANEMIC, AT BEST. THIS IS A MASSIVE COST SHIFT TO
- 3 LOCAL GOVERNMENT. I DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 4 HANDLE IT. I THINK IT'S PRETTY CLEAR THAT, AT SOME POINT, I
- 5 MEAN I ACTUALLY DON'T KNOW HOW YOU PROVIDE THESE MANDATED
- 6 SERVICES WITHOUT THE REQUISITE AMOUNT OF MONEY, AND I THINK
- 7 IT'S GOING TO PROVOKE, IF IT KEEPS GOING THE WAY IT'S GOING,
- 8 IT'S GOING TO PROVOKE SOME KIND OF A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS,
- 9 'CAUSE I DON'T THINK -- THERE ARE GOING TO BE SOME SERVICES WE
- 10 JUST ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE THE MONEY TO PROVIDE, AND WE MAY
- 11 NOT FULFILL OUR OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE LAW BECAUSE WE HAVE NOT
- 12 BEEN GIVEN THE MONEY WITH WHICH TO DO THAT, AND WE HAVE NO WAY
- 13 OF RAISING THOSE FUNDS UNDER TODAY'S LAWS. IT'S JUST GOING TO
- 14 BE THAT SIMPLE. AND MAYBE ULTIMATELY THIS CRISIS IS GOING TO
- 15 HAVE TO BE PLAYED OUT IN THE STATE SUPREME COURT.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND I JUST -- THE DIABOLICAL PART ABOUT
- 18 THIS IS THAT THE AREAS THAT THEY ARE SENDING TO US ARE THOSE
- 19 THAT BECOME GREATER WHEN YOU HAVE LESS MONEY IN A RECESSION.

20

21 SUP. KNABE: THEY'RE ALL CASELOAD DRIVEN DURING THE DOWNTIMES.

- 23 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND THE REVENUES ARE THOSE THAT GO DOWN
- 24 DURING A RECESSION, WHICH MEANS THAT IT'S JUST, THE WHOLE
- 25 THING IS VERY DIABOLICAL BECAUSE IT PUTS YOU IN A POSITION OF



- 1 WHEN THE TIME WHEN YOU HAVE LESS MONEY FROM SALES TAX,
- 2 CIGARETTE TAX BECAUSE IT'S A RECESSION, IS THE TIME WHEN YOU
- 3 HAVE MORE PEOPLE WHO ARE DEPENDENT ON SOME OF THESE OTHER
- 4 SERVICES, CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND ALL OF THOSE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT I JUST -- I WANT TO JUST FINISH MY ONE
- 7 THOUGHT AND THEN I'M DONE.

8

9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I DIDN'T MEAN TO BREAK IN.

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S ALL RIGHT, BECAUSE YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY
- 12 RIGHT, YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT ABOUT THAT ASPECT OF IT. MY
- 13 POINT IS, I DON'T SEE, I DON'T SEE A WAY OUT. I REALLY SEE NO
- 14 WAY OUT IF THIS THING GOES, AND BY THE WAY, I THINK EVEN WITH
- 15 THE V.L.F., EVEN IF THE V.L.F. ISSUE IS RESOLVED, I DON'T
- 16 THINK THAT TAKES US OUT OF THE WOODS EITHER, BECAUSE EVEN WITH
- 17 V.L.F., WE'RE GOING TO BE IN THE NET SENSE BEHIND THE 8-BALL
- 18 COMPARED TO WHERE WE STARTED THE YEAR. I JUST WANT TO PUT
- 19 EVERYBODY UP IN SACRAMENTO, AND I THINK WE SHOULD DO THAT AND
- 20 I THINK THIS IS ONE OF THE WAYS WE CAN START TO DO THAT ON
- 21 NOTICE, THAT JUST BECAUSE THE LEGISLATURE AND THE GOVERNORS
- 22 SAY YOU MUST DO THIS DOESN'T MEAN IT'S GOING TO BE DONE. THERE
- 23 IS A POINT IN A DEMOCRACY, YOU HAVE TO BE -- DEMOCRACY DEPENDS
- 24 ON THE POSSIBLE. IF YOU SET UP IMPOSSIBLE THRESHOLDS TO BE
- 25 MET, THEN THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS UNRAVELS. AND I THINK WHAT



- 1 THE STATE IS NOW DOING IS IT'S MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR US TO
- 2 FULFILL OUR OBLIGATIONS, IT'S MAKING IT CERTAIN THAT WE WILL
- 3 VIOLATE THE LAW, THAT WE WILL BE FORCED TO VIOLATE THE LAW
- 4 BECAUSE WE DON'T PRINT MONEY IN THE BASEMENT OF THE HALL OF
- 5 ADMINISTRATION. IT'S JUST THAT SIMPLE. WE JUST CAN'T TAKE THIS
- 6 HIT. IT MAY FOR THE TIME BEING GET THE GOVERNOR OFF THE HOOK
- 7 AND, YOU KNOW, ENABLE HIM TO GO OUT AND DO WHATEVER HE'S GOING
- 8 TO DO WHILE EVERYBODY REELS, IT MAY GET THE LEGISLATURE OFF
- 9 THE HOOK WHILE WE ALL REEL, AND CITIES AND COUNTIES ALL OVER
- 10 CALIFORNIA, BUT THAT'S ONLY TEMPORARY, AND IT'S ELUSORY FOR
- 11 THEM TO THINK THAT THEY CAN GET AWAY WITH THIS, BECAUSE AT THE
- 12 END OF THE DAY, YOU CAN'T PUT THREE GALLONS OF WATER IN A TWO-
- 13 GALLON FLASK, NO MATTER HOW MUCH YOU TRY, THIS IS NOT AN
- 14 ACCOUNTING ISSUE, THIS IS A PHYSICS ISSUE. YOU CAN'T SPEND \$18
- 15 BILLION WHEN YOU ONLY HAVE \$16 BILLION TO SPEND AS A COUNTY
- 16 HERE IN LOS ANGELES, PERIOD, OVER AND OUT.

17

18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, HAVING BEEN HERE WHEN IT WAS DONE THE LAST
- 21 TIME, AS DIABOLICAL AND HOW IT DOESN'T WORK, THEY WILL DO IT,
- 22 AND THEY ARE GOING TO DO IT, AND THE REALITY IS IS THAT
- 23 SENDING A LETTER TO THE GOVERNOR SAYING DON'T DO IT IS NOT
- 24 GOING TO STOP IT. WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO ROLL UP OUR SLEEVES
- 25 AND RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO



- 1 FIGHT LIKE HELL ON THIS ISSUE. I GOT MY FIRST PHONE CALL THIS
- 2 MORNING. THEY WERE BRIEFED YESTERDAY. AND I AM CONCERNED THAT
- 3 WE DO NOT HAVE AN ARMY OF PEOPLE UP THERE RIGHT NOW WALKING
- 4 THE HALLWAYS AS THEY ARE DISCUSSING IT IN CAUCUS, THEY'RE IN
- 5 CAUCUS RIGHT NOW, AND WE HAVE NOT BEEN PART OF THE DISCUSSION
- 6 OR THE DEBATE. WE ARE WAITING FOR ORIENTATION IN WHICH WE'RE
- 7 GOING TO GO UP THERE AND THEY'RE GOING TO COME HERE. WE NEED
- 8 AN ARMY OF LOBBYISTS LIKE YOU CAN'T BELIEVE THAT ARE GOING TO
- 9 BE ARMING THEMSELVES AND TELL EVERY SINGLE LEGISLATOR WHAT
- 10 IT'S GOING TO MEAN FOR THEIR COMMUNITIES HERE. THEY DON'T HAVE
- 11 THAT. THEY DIDN'T HAVE IT BEFORE WHEN I WENT OUT THERE, WE GOT
- 12 LAUGHED OUT OF THAT ROOM. MISS BURKE I THINK YOU WERE WITH ME,
- 13 RIGHT, AT THE TIME, WHERE THEY SENT US OUT, AND OUR BEST
- 14 FRIENDS, TERRY FRIEDMAN, BURT MARGOLAN, YOU KNOW, BOUGHT INTO
- 15 THE WHOLE SCHEME. THEY VOTED FOR IT, AT THAT TIME. WE DID NOT
- 16 HAVE ANY KIND OF AN ALTERNATIVE AT ALL. WE WERE NOT PLAYERS IN
- 17 THAT SITUATION AT ALL, OTHER THAN SAYING "DON'T DO IT TO US,
- 18 DON'T DO IT TO US, DON'T DO IT TO US, BUT THE REALITY IS, WE
- 19 WEREN'T FIGHTING UP THERE. WE CAN FIGHT HERE ALL WE WANT, BUT
- 20 IT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING IF YOU'RE NOT UP THERE EVERY SINGLE
- 21 DAY, AND I'VE BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT IT, I'VE TALKED TO DAVID
- 22 ABOUT IT, AND I DON'T KNOW WHEN WE'RE GOING TO GET MOVING ON
- 23 IT. RIGHT NOW, I'VE ASKED FOR A BRIEFING FOR THAT LEGISLATOR
- 24 THAT CALLED ME BECAUSE HE DOES NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT IT WILL
- 25 MEAN FOR HIM AND HE'S BUYING INTO THE BACKFILL. DON'T WORRY



- 1 ABOUT IT 'CAUSE WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU THE MONEY, BUT I SAID,
- 2 "YOU CAN'T BUY INTO THAT." I SAID, "WE HAVE BEEN STUNG THIS
- 3 WAY TOO MANY TIMES", I SAID "THAT'S WHY WE'RE IN THE HEALTH
- 4 CRISIS THAT WE'RE IN." WE GET THE MANDATE WITH NO DOLLARS. WE
- 5 HAVE THE LARGEST AMOUNT OF PEOPLE THAT ARE UNINSURED AND THAT
- 6 WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH. THAT IS WHY WE'RE CLOSING THE CLINICS IN
- 7 YOUR COMMUNITY. THAT'S WHY WE'RE GOING TO CLOSE A HOSPITAL IN
- 8 YOUR COMMUNITY. THEY NEED TO KNOW THAT. WE'VE GOT TO BE
- 9 PREPARED, DAVID. I MEAN, AND IT CAN'T BE THE BIG PICTURE. THEY
- 10 HAVE TO KNOW IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS, THEY NEED TO KNOW, AS I
- 11 TOLD HIM, THE FIRST ONES TO GET CUT WHEN YOU CUT US AND YOU DO
- 12 THIS IS GOING TO BE THE LIBRARIES AND THE PARKS IN THE
- 13 UNINCORPORATED AREA, 'CAUSE EVEN WHEN THE CUTS COME ALL THE
- 14 WAY DOWN HERE, THAT'S THE FIRST PLACE YOU GO. IT'S OUR ONLY
- 15 DISCRETIONARY MONEY, SO LET'S GO AFTER THE LIBRARIES AND THE
- 16 PARKS THAT PRACTICALLY HAVE NOTHING TODAY. SO, ZEV, YOUR
- 17 MOTION IS FINE, BUT IF YOU DON'T START PUTTING TOGETHER A
- 18 LEGISLATIVE STRATEGY THAT IS ARMED WITH LOBBYISTS THAT ARE
- 19 GOING TO BE UP THERE EVERY SINGLE DAY WALKING THE HALLWAYS,
- 20 CATCHING THESE MEMBERS AT EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THESE HEARINGS,
- 21 OUR FOLKS SIT IN AN OFFICE UP THERE, THEY DON'T EVEN GO TO THE
- 22 LEGISLATURE UNLESS SOMEBODY CALLS THEM. I MEAN I'M SERIOUS.
- 23 FOR THIS IS WE'RE IN A BATTLE AND IF WE'RE GOING TO THINK THAT
- 24 THE -- WHAT IS IT, THE COUNTY FOLKS, I MEAN, THEY DON'T FIGHT
- 25 FOR OUR NUMBERS. L.A. COUNTY HAS TO STAND ALONE. OUR NUMBERS



- 1 ARE SO BIG, THAT WHEN YOU GO UP THERE AND YOU ARE TALKING AND
- 2 A DELEGATION IS TALKING ABOUT HOW BIG OUR NUMBERS ARE, WE ARE
- 3 ONE-THIRD OF THE STATE'S POPULATION, AND CONSEQUENTLY, THAT'S
- 4 HOW BIG OUR FINANCIAL NUMBERS ARE. YOU CAN'T PROVE THAT.
- 5 YOU'VE GOT TO ARM THESE LEGISLATORS, AND THEY'RE NOT GOING TO
- 6 BE ARMED WITH IT. YOU HAVE THE GOVERNOR'S PEOPLE CONVINCING
- 7 THEM EVERY SINGLE DAY WHAT A GOOD STRATEGY THIS IS. YOU'RE
- 8 GOING TO HAVE LEADERS, AND UNFORTUNATELY, BECAUSE IF THEY CAN
- 9 GET THEIR BEST PROGRAM FUNDED AND THEY CAN MAKE THIS SPECIAL
- 10 DEAL FOR THEIR POOL AND THEIR PARK, THEY'LL BUY OFF ON
- 11 SOMETHING THAT'S REALLY BAD FOR US. IF THEY CAN GET THEIR BILL
- 12 SIGNED, THEY'LL SELL US OUT. I WAS THERE THE LAST TIME. I HAVE
- 13 SERVED THERE AS A MEMBER, YVONNE HAS SERVED THERE AS A MEMBER,
- 14 MIKE HAS SERVED THERE AS A MEMBER. ANYTHING CAN HAPPEN,
- 15 INCLUDING THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL. SO DIABOLICAL CAN HAPPEN AS
- 16 WELL, YVONNE, AND SO CONSEQUENTLY, WE NEED TO BE PREPARED TO
- 17 FIGHT. I DON'T THINK WE'RE PREPARED. I'VE TALKED TO DAVID
- 18 ABOUT THIS. I JUST DON'T THINK WE HAVE PEOPLE WALKING AROUND
- 19 EVERY SINGLE DAY. I MEAN, C.S.A.C. DOES, BUT THAT'S THE
- 20 GENERIC, THAT'S THE BIG ISSUE. OUR DELEGATION IS HUGE. IT'S
- 21 HUGE, AND THERE IS NO REASON THAT EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THOSE
- 22 MEMBERS SHOULD KNOW EXACTLY WHAT IT MEANS TO THEIR DISTRICT,
- 23 AND WE DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GET
- 24 IT IN THE NEXT THREE MONTHS. THEY'RE NOT GOING TO KNOW EXACTLY
- 25 UNLESS WE ARE PREPARED TO OUTLINE IT FOR THEM. WE HAVE TO BE



- 1 THERE EVERY SINGLE DAY, THOSE HEARINGS, AND THAT IS THE ONE
- 2 ISSUE. I AM, RIGHT NOW, TRYING TO SET UP A MEETING OR A
- 3 HEARING IN SACRAMENTO ON THE HEALTHCARE ISSUE, BECAUSE I WANT
- 4 THOSE LEGISLATORS TO QUERY ME, TO QUESTION ME. I WANT TO BE UP
- 5 FRONT AND LET THEM KNOW WHAT OUR SITUATION IS. I'D LIKE TO BE
- 6 THERE ON THIS BUDGET DISCUSSION. I DON'T WANT C.S.A.C.
- 7 REPRESENTING ME. WHY DON'T I GO UP THERE AS A COUNTY
- 8 SUPERVISOR AND TELL THEM THE KIND OF IMPACT THAT IT'S GOING TO
- 9 HAVE. LOOK THEM EYEBALL TO EYEBALL AND LET THEM KNOW WHAT IT'S
- 10 GOING TO BE SO THEY CAN'T WALK AWAY AND THEN THE LAST MOMENT
- 11 WE RUN UP THERE ON THE DAY THEY'RE MAKING DECISIONS AND
- 12 THEY'RE GOING TO BE BOUGHT OFF BY DIFFERENT -- IT REOUIRES A
- 13 MAJOR STRATEGY AND I THINK THAT WE NEED TO HAVE DAVID COME
- 14 BACK TO US, AND AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW, YOU KNOW, YOU DON'T WANT
- 15 TO TELL THEM WHAT YOUR STRATEGY IS, BUT WE NEED A PLAN, THAT
- 16 IS GOING TO BE ARMED WITH LOBBYISTS, WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING
- 17 TO BE INFORMED, PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO KNOW EVERY SINGLE
- 18 MINUTE OF THE DAY. THIS IS A SITUATION WHERE THE ONLY WAY THAT
- 19 WE'RE GOING TO GET AN EDGE IS BY LETTING THEM KNOW CLEARLY THE
- 20 IMPACT THAT IT'S GOING TO HAVE ON THEIR DISTRICTS AND THEIR
- 21 COMMUNITIES. NOW THEY CAN CHOOSE TO CONTINUE TO PASS ON THE
- 22 RESPONSIBILITY AND NOT THE DOLLARS, WHICH IN THE END, THEY MAY
- 23 DO BECAUSE THEIR DEFICIT IS SO GREAT, BUT THE WORST PART ABOUT
- 24 IT WHAT I KNOW NOW AND WHAT I KNEW BACK THEN IS THAT WE DIDN'T
- 25 FIGHT SQUARELY ENOUGH WITH THEM, AND WE WERE DISMISSED PRETTY



- 1 REGULARLY. AND IF YOU LET C.S.A.C. DO IT FOR YOU, WELL THEY
- 2 WILL ALSO ABANDON US AT THE END, BECAUSE IN THE END IT IS
- 3 EASIER TO PROTECT WHAT, TWO-THIRDS OR THREE-QUARTERS OF ALL
- 4 THE COUNTIES. AND YEAH, WELL L.A. LET IT SINK OR SWIM ON ITS
- 5 OWN, AND OTHER COUNTIES THAT ARE LARGE OR THAT HAVE LOTS OF
- 6 MONEY LIKE SAN FRANCISCO OR OTHERS THEY GET PROTECTED BY
- 7 SOMETIMES ONE LEGISLATOR OR TWO LEGISLATORS. YOU KNOW THE KIND
- 8 OF POSITION OF POWER THEY'RE IN. WE ARE IN A POSITION OF POWER
- 9 BY THE NUMBER OF OUR DELEGATION. WE WILL BE IN A POSITION OF
- 10 POWER IF WE CAN ARM THEM WITH ALL OF THE INFORMATION. THEY
- 11 STARTED THEIR DISCUSSIONS YESTERDAY, THEY'RE IN CAUCUS TODAY,
- 12 AT LEAST ON THE DEMOCRATIC SIDE. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THE
- 13 REPUBLICAN SIDE. AND I DO KNOW THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF
- 14 FRESHMEN MEMBERS UP THERE THAT DON'T HAVE THIS INFORMATION.
- 15 THEY DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND HOW V.L.F. WORKS. AND I DON'T MEAN
- 16 TO BE DISRESPECTFUL TO THEM. THEY'RE LEARNING ALONG THE WAY
- 17 IT'S A VERY, VERY TRICKY FORMULA, AND THEY'RE BEING MISLED
- 18 RIGHT NOW BY WHAT THEY'RE BEING TOLD, DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT,
- 19 'CAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE A DEDICATED STREAM OF REVENUE. A
- 20 DEDICATED STREAM. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THEY HAVE TO REMEMBER
- 21 IS THAT THE MANDATE ALWAYS STAYS IN LAW, THE BUDGET IS DONE
- 22 EVERY YEAR. AND THAT CAN BE CHANGED AT ANY MOMENT AS WELL. SO
- 23 IN LAW, THEY CANNOT DEDICATE THE MONEY ANYWAY. THEY CAN ONLY
- 24 DEDICATE IN LAW THE MANDATE. THEY CAN PUT IN THEIR BUDGET
- 25 EVERY YEAR THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE DEDICATED TO IT, BUT FOR



- 1 THE MOST PART THEY CAN TAKE IT OUT AT ANY TIME. SO THERE ARE A
- 2 LOT OF ISSUES. AND SO DAVID AND ZEV, IT IS ONE THING TO TELL
- 3 THE GOVERNOR WE DON'T LIKE IT, HOPEFULLY HE KNOWS THAT WE
- 4 DIDN'T LIKE IT FROM DAY ONE, AND I THINK WE SHOULD SEND THAT
- 5 LETTER, BUT WE'VE GOT TO COME UP WITH A STRATEGY BECAUSE WE
- 6 CANNOT -- I'M REALLY CONCERNED WE DON'T HAVE THE STRATEGY ON
- 7 THE HEALTHCARE ISSUE. WE ARE IN A SPECIAL SESSION ON THE
- 8 HEALTHCARE ISSUE, AND WE NEED TO GO UP THERE AND MAKE OUR
- 9 DEMANDS CLEAR ABOUT THAT, THEY'RE GOING TO THROW THE BOOK AT
- 10 US, THEY'RE ALREADY ANGRY, AND BELIEVE ME I AM SHOCKED AND
- 11 SURPRISED, AS MUCH AS I'VE TAKEN MY SHOW ON THE ROAD ABOUT
- 12 WHAT WE'RE CLOSING, I HAVE A LETTER HERE ALREADY TELLING ME
- 13 ABOUT THEY DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW WE COULD BE CLOSING CLINICS
- 14 WHEN WE HAVE ALL THIS MONEY. AND I WOULD LOVE -- WE NEED TO
- 15 ARM OURSELVES AND WE NEED TO DO IT WELL, AND WE'RE NOT DOING
- 16 IT SO FAR. SO I WOULD ONLY ADD AND I WOULD AMEND THE MOTION TO
- 17 INCLUDE THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE A CLEAR STRATEGY TEAM THAT IS
- 18 GOING TO OPERATE ON THIS BUDGET. AND THIS IS NOT -- THIS IS
- 19 SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE HEALTHCARE ISSUE ON THE SPECIALS,
- 20 JUST ON THIS BUDGET ALONE THAT IS GOING TO HAVE NOT ONLY A SET
- 21 OF LOBBYISTS THAT ARE GOING TO BE WELL ARMED AND PREPARED, BUT
- 22 THEY ARE GOING TO PROBABLY DIVIDE UP OUR DELEGATION AND HAVE
- 23 RESPONSIBILITIES THAT GO DIRECTLY AS TO WHAT MEMBER THEY'RE
- 24 RESPONSIBLE FOR, SO THEY HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT IT MEANS
- 25 FOR THEIR PARK, WHAT IT MEANS FOR THEIR LIBRARY, WHAT IT MEANS



- 1 FOR ALL OF THEIR PROGRAMS IN THIS AREA THAT THEY'RE GOING TO
- 2 HAVE A STAFF PERSON UP THERE WHO IS GIVING THEM THAT
- 3 INFORMATION, 'CAUSE THEY NEED TO KNOW THAT. OTHERWISE, WE'RE
- 4 GOING TO GET SOLD DOWN THE RIVER AS WE WERE THE LAST TIME BY
- 5 THE GOVERNOR AND THEY DO IT TO US AGAIN, AND IT'S A BIG
- 6 PROBLEM, SO THEY WOULD DO ANYTHING. SO I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT
- 7 WE AMEND IT TO INCLUDE THAT.

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I ALSO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO GET
- 10 SOME OF THE ADVOCATES WHO THEY CANNOT GAIN ANYTHING FROM THIS
- 11 BUDGET. I HAVE TO BELIEVE THAT SOME OF THE ADVOCATES FOR SOME
- 12 OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE GOING TO BE CONVINCED THEY'RE MUCH
- 13 BETTER OFF STAYING WITH THE STATE THAN COMING HERE WHERE THE
- 14 REVENUE SOURCE IS GOING TO BE VERY QUESTIONABLE. AND THE
- 15 LEGISLATORS, FROM WHAT I'VE GATHERED AND WHAT I SEE IS THAT WE
- 16 HAVE SOME WHO ARE VERY IDENTIFIED WITH COUNTIES, BUT THEY'RE
- 17 OVERWHELMED WITH THIS \$35 BILLION. THEY DON'T KNOW HOW TO DEAL
- 18 WITH IT. AND WHO WOULD? I MEAN, THERE'S NEVER BEEN THIS KIND
- 19 OF A DEFICIT. THERE'S NEVER BEEN THIS KIND OF AN INCREASE IN
- 20 THE STATE BUDGET THAT ANYONE HAS HAD TO TRY TO RETRENCH FROM.
- 21 BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THEY RESPOND TO ARE SOME OF THESE
- 22 LOBBYING GROUPS AND ADVOCACYCY GROUPS, INCLUDING ORGANIZED
- 23 LABOR, AND I WOULD SAY TO OUR FRIENDS IN LABOR, YOUR EMPLOYEES
- 24 ARE NOT GOING TO COME OUT BETTER PUTTING ALL OF THIS
- 25 RESPONSIBILITY ON THE COUNTIES. YOU'RE MUCH BETTER TO DEAL



- 1 WITH THE STATE AND WITH THE GOVERNOR AS FAR AS SOME OF THOSE
- 2 ISSUES, AND PARTICULARLY SOME OF THOSE WHERE THE GOVERNOR'S
- 3 BEEN VERY FAVORABLE IN TERMS OF GRANTING INCREASES AND ALL OF
- 4 THOSE THINGS. SO I HOPE THAT WE CAN GET ADVOCATES AND
- 5 ORGANIZED LABOR TO JOIN WITH US TO SAY IT'S NOT IN THE BEST
- 6 INTERESTS OF THEIR EMPLOYEES OR OF THE PEOPLE THAT THEY SERVE
- 7 AND THE CONSUMERS TO SHIFT THE BURDEN TO THE COUNTY. THEY'RE
- 8 GOING TO BE MUCH BETTER BECAUSE THE STATE IS GOING TO HAVE, IN
- 9 FUTURE YEARS, THE MONEY, AND THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 10 TRANSFER IT BACK, BUT WHEN YOU GET INCOME TAX GOING BACK UP
- 11 AND CAPITAL GAINS GOING BACK UP, THE STATE IS GOING TO HAVE A
- 12 CHANCE TO RECOVER SOME OF ITS FINANCIAL STABILITY. L.A. COUNTY
- 13 DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO RECOVER THAT WAY. SO SOME OF
- 14 THESE GROUPS ARE MUCH BETTER TO STAY WITH THE STATE, AND I
- 15 HOPE THEY JOIN US UP THERE IN SACRAMENTO LOBBYING TO
- 16 RECONSIDER THIS APPROACH TO THE REALIGNMENT AND PARTICULARLY
- 17 INTO THE SHIFTING OF SOME OF THIS MANDATE.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST, GLORIA IS ABSOLUTELY CORRECT.
- 20 AND WE NEED A STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN YESTERDAY SO THAT THOSE
- 21 LEGISLATORS KNOW THE SPECIFIC ISSUES AND THE FINANCIAL
- 22 IMPLICATIONS FOR THIS COUNTY. SECONDLY, THE DEDICATED REVENUE
- 23 STREAM IS REALLY A BUNCH OF NONSENSE BECAUSE WE HAD A
- 24 DEDICATED REVENUE STREAM CALLED PROPOSITION 172, AND WHILE
- 25 THEY MENTIONED IT WAS GOING TO RESOLVE ALL OF PUBLIC SAFETY



- 1 AND THEY SHOWED THE PARAMEDICS AND THE SHERIFF AND THE POLICE
- 2 OFFICERS RESPONDING TO EMERGENCIES AS IF THERE WOULD BE NO
- 3 MORE PROBLEMS WITH THEIR BUDGETS, WE KNOW WHAT HAPPENED, AND
- 4 WE HEAR IT EVERY BUDGET TIME, THAT THERE WERE LOOPHOLES IN
- 5 THAT PROPOSAL, AND THE STATE HAS TO BE REALISTIC AND ELIMINATE
- 6 MANDATES IF THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GIVE THE MONEY, BUT TO FOOL
- 7 THE PUBLIC WITH SOME TYPE OF REVENUE STREAM WHICH REALLY IS
- 8 NOT A REVENUE STREAM, IS WRONG. THE PAST FOUR YEARS, UNLIKE
- 9 OTHER STATES IN THIS UNION, SPENDING SKYROCKETED FASTER AT THE
- 10 STATE LEVEL THAN POPULATION AND INFLATION COMBINED, AND AS A
- 11 RESULT OF THAT, WE HAVE STATES LIKE COLORADO, WHO HAD SIMILAR
- 12 PROBLEMS, HAVE A SURPLUS TODAY IN DEALING WITH THEIR CRISIS,
- 13 WHEREAS WE HAVE A 28-TO-35-BILLION-DOLLAR DEFICIT. AND SO
- 14 WE'RE PAYING THE SINS OF THE SPENDING POLICIES OF THE PAST
- 15 FOUR YEARS AND THOSE SWEETHEART CONTRACTS THAT WERE GIVEN
- 16 DIRECTLY ARE ROBBING EVERY CITY AND COUNTY'S LIBRARY AND OTHER
- 17 PUBLIC SAFETY WHICH IS GOING TO BE JEOPARDIZED BECAUSE OF THE
- 18 GENERAL FUND SOURCE OF REVENUE THAT SUPPORTS THEIR ACTIVITIES.
- 19 WE'RE BEING JEOPARDIZED, AND IT HAS TO BE RESOLVED BY AN
- 20 EFFECTIVE LEGISLATIVE EFFORT AND THE COUNTY HAS TO HAVE OUR
- 21 OWN LEGISLATIVE AIDS IN SACRAMENTO WITH THE INFORMATION AS TO
- 22 THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE CUTS THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU DIDN'T ADD SENDING BACK ALL THE MONEY
- 2 WHEN WE HAD SOME MONEY, IN SURPLUS IN CALIFORNIA, GIVING BACK
- 3 \$33 IN MONEY --

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ALL RIGHT, AND THE STATE GIVES BACK TO
- 6 WASHINGTON MONEY THAT SHOULD'VE REMAINED IN CALIFORNIA FOR
- 7 VITAL SERVICES WHICH THEY HAD A RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE IN
- 8 THOSE PROGRAMS. SO YOU'RE RIGHT.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER? DO
- 11 YOU HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE WE VOTED ON THE MOTION?

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE HAVEN'T VOTED YET NO.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK WE NEED IT. IT'S AMENDED.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY IT'S MOVED AND SECONDED WITHOUT
- 20 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. AS AMENDED.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE ONE OTHER ITEM, MADAM CHAIR, THAT I
- 23 WAS HOLDING.

24

25 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU WERE HOLDING THE ONE ON THE FLOODING.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S A EMINENT DOMAIN ISSUE. 64. PUBLIC
- 3 HEARING.

4

- 5 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ALL
- 6 THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY ON ITEM 64 TO STAND AND RAISE THEIR
- 7 RIGHT HAND AND BE SWORN IN. IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE
- 8 BEFORE THIS BOARD, DO YOU SOLEMNLY SWEAR TO TELL THE TRUTH,
- 9 THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD?
- 10 THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I HAVE A CARD FOR RICHARD SCOTT. HOW DO YOU
- 13 WISH TO LET'S CALL RICHARD SCOTT UP FOR, HE'S OPPOSING IT.

14

- 15 RICHARD WEISS: MADAM CHAIR, THERE'S A BRIEF STAFF PRESENTATION
- 16 WITH JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YES, OKAY COULD WE HAVE THE STAFF
- 19 PRESENTATION, AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM RICHARD SCOTT.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE: OKAY, WE'LL GO AHEAD AND HAVE THE STAFF
- 22 PRESENTATION. WHO'D LIKE TO GO FIRST?

- 24 CARLOS RAYO: MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY NAME IS
- 25 CARLOS RAYO WITH THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE. WITH ME



- 1 TODAY IS DEPUTY CHIEF HAWKINSON FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, AND
- 2 MASOUD OF THE COUNTY WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THE
- 3 FOLLOWING IS A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT. THE
- 4 CONSOLIDATED FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT IS CURRENTLY IN THE
- 5 PROCESS OF CONSTRUCTING A NEW FACILITY TO SERVE AS THE
- 6 LOCATION OF FIRE STATION 72 ON DECKER CANYON ROAD IN THE
- 7 UNINCORPORATED TERRITORY OF MALIBU. THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 8 WORKS IS MANAGING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. AND WHEN
- 9 COMPLETED, THE NEW STATION WOULD PROVIDE FIRE PROTECTION,
- 10 RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE FOR AN APPROXIMATELY 22
- 11 SQUARE-MILE AREA. SEWERS ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN THIS AREA OF THE
- 12 COUNTY. AND THEREFORE, THE NEW FACILITY HAS BEEN DESIGNED WITH
- 13 A PRIVATE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM, INCLUDING A SEPTIC TANK AND
- 14 LEECH FIELD SYSTEM. IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE FIRE
- 15 STATION 72 SITE CANNOT ACCOMMODATE AN ADEQUATE LEECH FIELD.
- 16 THEREFORE THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 17 PUBLIC WORKS AND THE FIRE CHIEF ARE PROPOSING TO ACQUIRE AN
- 18 EASEMENT OVER A PORTION OF AN ADJACENT PROPERTY TO CONSTRUCT
- 19 SERVICE AND MAINTAIN THE LEECH FIELD. BASED UPON THE
- 20 INFORMATION DEVELOPED IN THE COURSE OF PLANNING AND DESIGNING
- 21 THIS PROJECT, WE HAVE CONCLUDED THAT, ONE, THE PUBLIC INTEREST
- 22 IN NECESSITATING AND REQUIRE THIS PROJECT. TWO, THE PROJECT
- 23 HAS BEEN PLANNED AND LOCATED IN THE MANNER THAT WILL BE MOST
- 24 COMPATIBLE WITH THE GREATEST PUBLIC GOOD AND THE LEAST PRIVATE
- 25 INJURY, AND, THREE, THE PROPERTY SOUGHT TO BE ACQUIRED IS



- 1 NECESSARY FOR THIS PROJECT. WE HAVE OBTAINED AN APPRAISAL OF
- 2 THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF THE PROPERTY SOUGHT TO BE ACQUIRED
- 3 AND HAVE MADE AN OFFER TO THE OWNER OF RECORD TO ACQUIRE THE
- 4 PROPERTY FOR THE FULL AMOUNT OF THE APPRAISED -- FOR THE
- 5 APPRAISAL. AND THIS OFFER HAS BEEN REJECTED. WE THEREFORE
- 6 RECOMMEND THAT YOUR BOARD ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF NECESSITY FOR
- 7 THIS PROJECT AND AUTHORIZE COUNTY COUNSEL TO INSTITUTE EMINENT
- 8 DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS TO ACQUIRE THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THE
- 9 RESOLUTION.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: ANYONE ELSE FROM STAFF WISH TO QUESTION AT THIS
- 12 POINT? OKAY.

- 14 RICHARD SCOTT: MY NAME'S RICHARD SCOTT, SUPERVISOR. I
- 15 REPRESENT THE OWNER NINA BOMAR, OF THE PROPERTY THAT'S
- 16 PROPOSED TO BE ACQUIRED OVER WHICH THE EASEMENT IS TO BE
- 17 ACQUIRED. I WOULD LIKE TO JUST MAKE A RECORD. WE'VE REJECTED
- 18 THE OFFER. WE'VE PROVIDED THE DEPARTMENT WITH AN APPRAISAL
- 19 FROM OUR APPRAISER, WHICH IS SUBSTANTIALLY GREATER THAN THAT,
- 20 SO WE ARE STILL NEGOTIATING A POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OF THAT, BUT
- 21 FOR THE RECORD, THIS CONSTRUCTION OF THE FIRE STATION
- 22 CONTIGUOUS TO MY CLIENT'S PROPERTY WAS DONE OVER A PERIOD OF
- 23 SEVERAL YEARS. THE PROPERTY -- THE CONSTRUCTION FAILED DUE TO
- 24 A SLIDE. THERE WAS FILL PUT IN TO RESOLVE THAT. WE DON'T
- 25 BELIEVE -- WE HAVE AN EXPERT WITNESS WHO HAS INDICATED THAT A



- 1 ALTERNATIVE SEPTIC SYSTEM CAN BE INSTALLED ON THAT SITE WITH
- 2 THE -- THE COUNTY GENERALLY DOES NOT APPROVE ALTERNATE SEPTIC
- 3 SYSTEMS BUT IT DOES IN MALIBU LAKE BECAUSE OF THE UNIQUE
- 4 CIRCUMSTANCES THERE. THEREFORE WE DON'T BELIEVE THERE'S A
- 5 NECESSITY FOR THIS TAKING AND THAT'S THE ESSENCE OF THE
- 6 TESTIMONY.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE: ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF MR. SCOTT? OKAY. ANY
- 9 RESPONSE FROM STAFF OUR COUNSEL?

10

- 11 CARLOS RAYO: SUPERVISOR KNABE, THE ISSUE OF THE APPROPRIATE
- 12 AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION IS REALLY NOT THE FOCUS OF THIS
- 13 HEARING. THAT WILL BE DETERMINED DURING THE COURSE OF THE
- 14 EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS. THE FOCUS OF THIS HEARING IS TO
- 15 DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE CRITERIA TO SUPPORT A RESOLUTION
- 16 OF NECESSITY HAVE BEEN MADE BY THE COUNTY. IT IS MY
- 17 UNDERSTANDING THAT THE COUNTY'S CONSULTANT DID EVALUATE
- 18 ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS TO THE SEWAGE PROBLEM AND DETERMINED
- 19 THAT THE PROPOSAL IS THE ONLY FEASIBLE AND PREFERRED MANNER
- 20 AND, IN OUR OPINION, THE BOARD WOULD BE WITHIN ITS LEGAL
- 21 RIGHTS TO GO FORWARD AND ADOPT THE RESOLUTION OF NECESSITY
- 22 TODAY.

- 24 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? WE MAY HAVE TO TABLE
- 25 THIS ITEM -- OR WE SHOULD TABLE THIS ITEM. IT'S A 4-VOTE ITEM.



- 1 DO YOU WANT TO, EITHER ONE OF OUR COLLEAGUES ARE IN EARSHOT
- 2 HERE?

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TAKE A 60-SECOND RECESS.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT, I'LL MOVE APPROVAL OF THE STAFF
- 7 RECOMMENDATION.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: IT'S BEEN MOVED. IS THERE A SECOND? BEEN MOVED AND
- 10 SECONDED. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? DO YOU NEED A ROLL CALL ON
- 11 THIS ON THE 4-VOTE, OR JUST HEARING NO OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED.

12

13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED.

14

15 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. YOU
- 18 ALREADY HAD YOUR -- DO YOU HAVE YOUR SPECIALS?

19

20 SUP. KNABE: I HAVE MY ADJOURNMENTS?

21

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU HAVE YOUR ADJOURNMENTS?

- 24 SUP. KNABE: YEAH PLEASE. MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AS
- 25 I MENTIONED EARLIER TOO, I DID WANT TO JOIN WITH MR.



- 1 YAROSLAVSKY IN BILL GRIER'S ADJOURNMENT. HE WAS A DEAR FRIEND,
- 2 HE AND HIS WIFE, BILLY AND HE WILL BE DEEPLY MISSED. ALSO THAT
- 3 WE ADJOURN TODAY IN MEMORY OF ROY FERRAN. ROY IS VERY ACTIVE
- 4 IN LION'S CLUB. HE'S A PAST GOVERNOR IN DISTRICT 403, VERY
- 5 INVOLVED IN THE HARBOR CITY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND A MEMBER
- 6 OF SAINT MATTHEW LUTHERAN CHURCH IN HARBOR CITY. HE'S SURVIVED
- 7 BY HIS DAUGHTER, DEBBIE, SON DOUG, TEN GRANDCHILDREN AND ONE
- 8 GREAT-GRANDDAUGHTER, TWO BROTHERS AND ONE SISTER. AND ALSO
- 9 THAT WE ADJOURN TODAY IN MEMORY OF MR. MISS MORALIA UREMA,
- 10 SHE WAS A WORLD CHAMPION GYMNAST AND FEATURE PERFORMER WHO
- 11 HELPED LEAD THE CIRQUE DU SOLEIL TO INTERNATIONAL PROMINENCE.
- 12 SHE PASSED AWAY PEACEFULLY AT HER HERMOSA BEACH RESIDENCE.
- 13 SHE'S SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, HER DAUGHTER, AND LOVING
- 14 FAMILY. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS. SO ORDERED. LET ME SEE
- 15 HERE. I DON'T BELIEVE I HELD ANYTHING. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. FOR NEXT WEEK, CAROUSEL RANCH WHICH IS
- 18 A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION HELPING CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES
- 19 DEVELOP MOTOR SKILLS AND SELF-ESTEEM THROUGH EQUESTRIAN
- 20 THERAPY, AS OF DECEMBER 31st HAS LOST THEIR LEASE ON A RANCH.
- 21 THE OWNERS NOW ARE ATTEMPTING TO FIND A STABLE FOR THEIR EIGHT
- 22 HORSES WHICH THEY PROVIDE THE THERAPEUTIC RIDING LESSONS FOR
- 23 THOSE CHILDREN, AND THEY HAVE APPEALED TO THE SANTA CLARITA
- 24 VALLEY COMMUNITY SOLICITING THEIR HELP IN FINDINGS A PERMANENT
- 25 HOME, PREFERABLY A 10 ACRE OF FLAT LAND AND EASILY ACCESSIBLE



- 1 FROM THE ROAD. SO FOR NEXT WEEK I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE
- 2 DIRECT THE PARK AND REC AND C.A.O. TO ASSIST IN SEARCHING FOR
- 3 A PERMANENT SITE FOR THEM TO CONTINUE THEIR THERAPEUTIC RIDING
- 4 FOR THOSE CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES. AND THAT WOULD BE FOR
- 5 NEXT WEEK. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING ADJOURNMENTS
- 6 TODAY FOR THOSE WHO PASSED AWAY. GARY WAYNE BOILS, A LONG-TIME
- 7 ANTELOPE VALLEY RESIDENT, MEMBER OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AIR
- 8 QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HEARING BOARD, AND PAST PRESIDENT
- 9 OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY CHAPTER OF THE CALIFORNIA AUTO BODY
- 10 ASSOCIATION WHO PASSED AWAY ON JANUARY 1st AT 59 YEARS OF AGE.
- 11 LEWIS CHARLES BORDETTE, WHO SERVED 25 YEARS WITH THE LOS
- 12 ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT AND WAS ALSO A MEMBER OF THE
- 13 LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT RETIREES BOARD OF
- 14 DIRECTORS. CARL BARNES, WHO SERVED IN TWO OF OUR WARS, ONE OF
- 15 THE SPECIAL VETERANS WHO WAS HONORED BY THE VALLEY PRESS LAST
- 16 YEAR. HE HAD WORKED FOR N.A.S.A. UNTIL HE RETIRED IN 1986.
- 17 CARL BERNARD LOBLOCK, WHO PASSED AWAY ON NEW YEAR'S DAY. HE
- 18 WAS WITH THE CALIFORNIA STATE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
- 19 FORMERLY WAS OUITE ACTIVE AT THE GRACE LUTHERAN CHURCH IN THE
- 20 LOS ANGELES AREA, ON VERNON AND MENLOW WHERE HE AND HIS FAMILY
- 21 WERE QUITE ACTIVE AND SERVED AS OFFICERS OF THAT CHURCH PRIOR
- 22 TO HIS MOVING TO WALNUT CREEK WHEN HE BECAME ACTIVE WITH THE
- 23 CALIFORNIA STATE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION. MILTON MANN, WHO
- 24 OPENED THE MILTON MANN STUDIOS. FOR MORE THAN THIRTY YEARS HE
- 25 EMPLOYED THOUSANDS -- OR HUNDREDS OF TEACHERS IN SALES AND



- 1 OFFICE PERSONNEL AT HIS 21 OFFICES. WALTER JUDSON, HE WAS A
- 2 FOURTH GENERATION STAINED GLASS MAKER WHOSE FAMILY OWNED
- 3 BUSINESS, CREATED DECORATIVE WINDOWS FOR CATHEDRALS AND
- 4 SHOPPING MALLS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES, PASSED AWAY AT
- 5 THE AGE OF 61. DANG WANEE, WHO WAS THE MOTHER OF A FORMER
- 6 EMPLOYEE OF MINE, MY DEPUTY MARY CHAUN, AND ALSO MIN WANEN WHO
- 7 WORKS FOR THE COURTS, AND ALSO FORMERLY OF MY OFFICE AND SHE
- 8 LEAVES BEHIND HER HUSBAND, FOUR CHILDREN AND TWO
- 9 GRANDCHILDREN. CLEO GRIER, RETIRED FROM EDWARD'S AIR FORCE
- 10 BASE AFTER TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE. HE HAD BEEN ACTIVE IN
- 11 THE SHRINE, THE MASONIC LODGE AND THE SCOTTISH RIGHT AND
- 12 AMERICAN LEGION. HADEN FINDLAY, A FORMER LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 13 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT CAPTAIN. CANDIA DEAMATO, WHO WAS HONORED
- 14 AS THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION'S, WOMEN'S COMMISSION
- 15 WOMAN OF THE YEAR AWARD FROM OUR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. SUI
- 16 TING OWL, WHO IS THE MOTHER OF PHILLIP OWL, A LOS ANGELES
- 17 COUNTY EMPLOYEE, WHO'S QUITE ACTIVE IN THE ASIAN-AMERICAN
- 18 EMPLOYEES' ASSOCIATION. RALPH ESTELLE, THE LAST LIVING
- 19 DETECTIVE FROM THE ORIGINAL BLACK DAHLIA MURDER INVESTIGATION
- 20 FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. HE PASSED WAY AT 82. MARYANNE
- 21 FRAHEO, A LONG-TIME COVINA RESIDENT ACTIVE IN THE P.T.A. AND
- 22 THE COVINA UNITED METHODIST CHURCH. KENNETH NILES STANSTROM,
- 23 WHO IS FROM THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY AND JAMES OLIVER NUMERIC,
- 24 A LONG TIME SAN DIMAS RESIDENT AND WORLD WAR II VETERAN. I
- 25 MOVE TO ADJOURN IN THEIR MEMORY MADAM CHAIR.



1

2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND AS THE AUTHOR OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 5 OAK TREE PRESERVATION ACT, WHICH HAS DONE A PHENOMENAL JOB IN
- 6 OUR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE FOR NEXT WEEK
- 7 THAT WE DIRECT THE DIRECTOR OF REGIONAL PLANNING TO REVIEW THE
- 8 ORDINANCE AND MAKE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THEY MAY SEE FIT,
- 9 OR WE COULD DO THAT TODAY JUST TO DIRECT THEM TO --

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: RIGHT. FOR THEM TO REPORT BACK ON ANY
- 12 CHANGES THAT THEY WOULD SUGGEST.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH RIGHT, SO MOVED.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. SECONDED BY
- 17 KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. I HAVE ADJOURNMENTS THAT I'D
- 22 LIKE TO READ AT THIS TIME. MAME TILL MOBLEY, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 23 OF A HEART ATTACK AT THE AGE OF 81. HER 14-YEAR-OLD SON EMMETT
- 24 TILL'S KILLING IN MISSISSIPPI NEARLY FIFTY YEARS AGO WAS A
- 25 CIVIL RIGHTS SYMBOL. LORRAINE DAVENPORT, LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF



- 1 THE SECOND DISTRICT WHO PASSED AWAY FRIDAY, JANUARY 10th. AND
- 2 IRA LEE SNOW, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT, IS
- 3 SURVIVED BY HER SON, FRANK SNOW OF GARDENA. AND TOM WYMAN, WHO
- 4 PASSED AWAY ON WEDNESDAY IN BOSTON AT THE AGE OF 73, HE WAS A
- 5 FORMER CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF CBS, AND FORMER BOARD
- 6 MEMBER OF THE FORD FOUNDATION FROM 1983 TO 1994. I SERVED WITH
- 7 HIM ON THE FORD FOUNDATION BOARD AND ON OTHER BOARDS THAT HE
- 8 WAS A MEMBER AND A DISTINGUISHED MEMBER AND A PERSON OF REAL
- 9 CONCERN. AND HE RECENTLY RESIGNED HIS MEMBERSHIP IN THE
- 10 AUGUSTA NATIONAL GOLF CLUB TO PROTEST ITS REFUSAL TO ADMIT
- 11 WOMEN. HE WAS ACTIVE IN CIVIC AFFAIRS AND OUTSPOKEN ON CIVIL
- 12 RIGHTS. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, THE REVEREND DR. DEBORAH
- 13 WHITING LITTLE, HIS SONS MICHAEL, PETER, THOMAS JR, AND A
- 14 DAUGHTER LISA CARDAY, AND HIS THREE SISTERS, BETTY CASPOWRIE,
- 15 MARY HUNT AND SALLY SLACK. SO ORDERED. I HAVE A COUPLE OF
- 16 MOTIONS. FIRST, THE LAST WEEK THE FIRE CHIEF WAS DIRECTED TO
- 17 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES FOR USE OF
- 18 THE ERICSSON TYPE ONE FIRE FIGHTING HELITANKER FOR A TERM OF
- 19 ONE WEEK AT A COST OF 65,000 PER WEEK, AND 7900 DOLLARS PER
- 20 EACH HOUR OF OPERATION. THIS HELITANKER WAS USED AT THE BRUSH
- 21 FIRE IN MALIBU LAST WEEK AT A COST OF \$101,000. THE BOARD ALSO
- 22 DIRECTED THAT IT WAS DETERMINED THAT AN EXTENSION OF THE TERM
- 23 OF THIS CONTRACT WAS REQUIRED. APPROVAL FOR THIS EXTENSION
- 24 MUST COME BEFORE THE BOARD FOR AUTHORIZATION. WEATHER
- 25 FORECASTS TODAY INDICATE A PROBABILITY OF CONDITIONS THAT



- 1 INCLUDE STRONG WINDS, HIGH TEMPERATURES, AND LOW HUMIDITY WILL
- 2 OCCUR OFF AND ON DURING THE NEXT SEVERAL WEEKS. IN THE EVENT
- 3 SUCH WEATHER CONDITIONS MATERIALIZE, THE FIRE CHIEF SHOULD
- 4 HAVE AUTHORITY TO EXTEND THIS CONTRACT WITH LOS ANGELES CITY
- 5 WITHIN THE FUNDING AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY AVAILABLE IN THE
- 6 FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET FOR THIS PURPOSE. THEREFORE, I MOVE
- 7 FIRST OF ALL THAT THIS MATTER BE TAKEN UP AS AN EMERGENCY IN
- 8 VIEW OF THE FIRE CONDITIONS AND TODAY. IT'S MOVED AND SECONDED
- 9 THAT THIS ITEM BE TAKEN UP AS EMERGENCY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 10 ORDERED. I MOVE THAT THE -- WE AUTHORIZE THE FIRE CHIEF TO
- 11 EXTEND THE CONTRACT WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES FOR USE OF
- 12 THE HELITANKER AS NEEDED BASED ON EMERGENCY CONDITIONS WITHIN
- 13 THE EXPENDITURE LIMITS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY THE BOARD FOR
- 14 THE CONTRACT AIR PROGRAM, TO INSTRUCT THE FIRE CHIEF TO
- 15 PROVIDE PERIODIC UPDATES TO THE BOARD ON EXPENDITURES MADE
- 16 THROUGH THIS CONTRACT TO RETURN TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL IF
- 17 ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IS NEEDED. IS THERE A SECOND
- 18 TO THAT? WE REALLY SHOULD COMMEND OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT ON THE
- 19 WAY THEY HANDLED THESE FIRES. THE MALIBU FIRE, WHICH COULD
- 20 HAVE BEEN DEVASTATING, AND THE HIGH WINDS AND EVERYTHING ELSE,
- 21 THEY REALLY GOT IT UNDER CONTROL IN A HURRY, AND WE WANT TO
- 22 COMMEND THEM AND CERTAINLY WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE
- 23 ACCESS TO THIS HELITANKER FROM THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WHEN
- 24 NEEDED IN CASE WE DO HAVE TO FACE ANOTHER CRISIS LIKE THAT IN
- 25 TERMS OF FIRE. SO IT'S WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. I ALSO



- 1 HAVE THIS MOTION. LAST WEEK, THE L.A. TIMES REPORTED ON
- 2 INCREASED INCIDENCE OF H.I.V./A.I.D.S. AND OTHER SEXUALLY
- 3 TRANSMITTED DISEASE AMONG WORKERS IN THE ADULT FILM INDUSTRY.
- 4 THE LACK OF EDUCATION AND OVERSIGHT OF THIS INDUSTRY IS
- 5 TROUBLING. THESE ACTORS AND ACTRESSES ENGAGE IN UNSAFE
- 6 BEHAVIOR WITH INFECTED INDIVIDUALS, THEN OFTEN UNKNOWINGLY GO
- 7 ON TO SPREAD THESE DISEASES TO THEIR PARTNERS. IMPROVED
- 8 EDUCATION IS ONE STEP TOWARD REDUCING THE INCIDENCE OF
- 9 INFECTION. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
- 10 ONE, INSTRUCT THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES TO REPORT BACK
- 11 IN 30 DAYS ON THE STEPS THAT CAN BE TAKEN TO ENHANCE EDUCATION
- 12 AND OUTREACH EFFORTS AMONG WORKERS IN THE ADULT FILM INDUSTRY
- 13 ON THE PREVENTION OF H.I.V./A.I.D.S. AND OTHER SEXUALLY
- 14 TRANSMITTED DISEASE. TWO, INSTRUCT THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH
- 15 SERVICES TO CONSULT WITH COUNTY COUNSEL TO DETERMINE THE
- 16 APPROPRIATE REGULATORY ENTITY AT EITHER THE STATE OR COUNTY
- 17 LEVEL TO OVERSEE THIS INDUSTRY TO ENSURE THE PROTECTION OF ITS
- 18 WORKERS AND LIMIT THE SPREAD OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES SUCH AS
- 19 H.I.V., HEPATITIS AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES, AND
- 20 TO WORK WITH THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE AND A COUNTY
- 21 LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATE TO ADVOCATE FOR ANY OF THE STATE
- 22 LEGISLATION NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT REGULATIONS. AND THAT'S -- I
- 23 MOVE THAT. IS THERE A SECOND? IT'S MOVED AND SECONDED. THEN
- 24 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT
- 25 E.I.D.C. MIGHT VERY WELL -- SOMETIMES THEY ACTUALLY PROVIDE



- 1 PERMITS FOR ADULT INDUSTRY. SOME OF THEM, OF COURSE, DON'T GET
- 2 PERMITS, BUT THOSE THAT GET PERMITS, THERE SHOULD BE SOME
- 3 ABILITY THAT THEY HAVE TO IMPACT ON THIS ISSUE AS WELL, SO WE
- 4 PROBABLY SHOULD ASK THE E.I.D.C. STAFF TO ALSO COME BACK WITH
- 5 WHAT THEY THINK THEY COULD DO IN TERMS OF WORKING WITH THE
- 6 HEALTH DEPARTMENT IN THIS AREA.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MADAM, MADAM CHAIR, THE FIRST PART YOU CAN
- 9 ENACT BECAUSE IT'S REQUESTING FOR A REPORT BACK. THE SECOND
- 10 PART DOESN'T FIT WITHIN THAT PARTICULAR EXCEPTION.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'LL PUT THAT OVER TO NEXT WEEK. I HAVE
- 13 NOTHING FURTHER. ALL RIGHT, WE HAVE ONE ADDITIONAL
- 14 ADJOURNMENT. WE UNDERSTAND THAT WARREN WILSON'S DAUGHTER WAS
- 15 KILLED WHEN A CAR JUMPED THE SIDEWALK IN NEW YORK. I DON'T
- 16 HAVE HER NAME. WE WILL ADD THAT, BUT WE CERTAINLY WANT TO
- 17 ADJOURN, ALL MEMBERS. DO WE HAVE GLORIA'S ADJOURNMENTS? BUT
- 18 WE'LL GET HER NAME. THAT'S TRAGIC. I KNOW HIS SON.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: MADAM CHAIR, I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 21 THE MEMORY OF VERA ARCHULETA, WHO JUST RECENTLY PASSED AWAY.
- 22 VERA WAS THE BELOVED WIFE OF OUR VETERAN'S ADVISORY
- 23 COMMISSIONER, BOB ARCHULETA. AND WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR
- 24 CONDOLENCES TO BOB AND HIS FAMILY.



1 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO BE ON THAT AS WELL.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. VERA ARCHULETA, HER HUSBAND IS BOB
- 4 ARCHULETA WHO IS ALSO A MEMBER OF [ Inaudible ].

5

6 SUP. KNABE: ALL MEMBERS.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY, I'M ALSO ASKING THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE
- 9 MEMORY OF LLOYD MAZERAT. HE TRAGICALLY PASSED AWAY. LLOYD
- 10 SERVED AS THE CHIEF DEPUTY TO LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCILMAN NICK
- 11 BACHEZZO. HE GREW UP IN THE ALHAMBRA EL CERINO AREA, HE WAS A
- 12 GRADUATE OF U.C.L.A. HE'S BEEN VERY, VERY INVOLVED IN LOTS OF
- 13 CAMPAIGNS ON THE EAST SIDE, AN ACTIVIST, A YOUNG MAN WHO HAD A
- 14 TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF PROMISE. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST
- 15 CONDOLENCES TO HIS FAMILY, FRIENDS, AND COLLEAGUES.

16

17 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL MEMBERS.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA: AND I HAVE ONE MOTION TO READ. I GUESS I DON'T
- 20 NEED TO READ IT. I'LL JUST SUBMIT IF FOR NEXT WEEK'S AGENDA,
- 21 ANYWAY. DO YOU WANT ME TO -- I'LL JUST SUBMIT IT FOR NEXT
- 22 WEEK. IT'S ON THE LIVING WAGE ORDINANCE. I'M FINISHED.

23

24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU'RE FINISHED?



```
SUP. MOLINA: YES.
1
2
3
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, ALL RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER?
    IF NOT, WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT. LYNNE PLAMBECK, DEAN FRANCOIS,
4
5
    I'M SORRY, YES FRANCOIS, AND SUSAN COX. WOULD YOU COME UP?
6
7
    SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ].
8
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: YOU MAY MAKE YOUR PRESENTATION, AND, LET'S
9
10
    SEE, HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE INVOLVED?
11
    SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ].
12
13
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW LONG DO YOU THINK YOU'LL TAKE? CAN YOU
14
    DO IT IN 10 MINUTES? 15 MINUTES? OKAY.
15
16
    SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ].
17
18
19
    SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: IF YOU CAN -- WELL, WE'LL GIVE -- CAN YOU
    DO IT -- TRY TO DO IT IN 15 MINUTES? WE'LL APPRECIATE THAT.
20
    AND WE'RE, YOU KNOW, NOT GOING TO BE REALLY STRICT ON THAT,
21
22
    BECAUSE I KNOW YOU HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE HERE WHO HAVE
23
    SIGNED UP BUT. THEY HAVE ABOUT SEVEN PEOPLE, AND WE HAVE JOHN
24
    QUIGLEY.
```



1 **JOHN QUIGLEY:** IS THE PROTOCOL TO SIT OR MAY I STAND?

2

- 3 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL ORDINARILY A PERSON SITS. IF YOU WISH
- 4 TO STAND TO MAKE A PRESENTATION, YOU'RE CERTAINLY FREE TO DO
- 5 IT.

6

7 JOHN QUIGLEY: FIRST OF ALL, MY NAME IS JOHN QUIGLEY.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'RE GIVING THE WHOLE PRESENTATION IN 15
- 10 MINUTES.

- 12 JOHN QUIGLEY: OKAY. THANK YOU. MY NAME IS JOHN QUIGLEY. I COME
- 13 BEFORE YOU TODAY, MR. ANTONOVICH, FINALLY WE SEE EACH OTHER
- 14 FACE TO FACE. THIS COUNTY IS FACING A GREAT OPPORTUNITY RIGHT
- 15 NOW. THERE'S AN ISSUE, I'M SURE YOU'RE ALL AWARE OF, THE OLD
- 16 GLORY OAK TREE OUT IN SANTA CLARITA. THE COUNTY IS WATCHING,
- 17 THE COUNTRY IS WATCHING, INDEED THE WORLD IS WATCHING FOR THE
- 18 DECISIONS THAT THIS BODY MAKES AS TO HOW WE BALANCE GROWTH
- 19 WITH OUR NATURAL ENVIRONMENT. NO ONE COULD HAVE FORETOLD THAT
- 20 THIS ISSUE AND THIS TREE WOULD BECOME SUCH A SYMBOL, BUT
- 21 INDEED, IT HAS. AND I BELIEVE IT HAS BECOME -- THIS TREE HAS
- 22 BEEN HERE SINCE BEFORE THE PILGRIMS LANDED ON OUR SHORES,
- 23 SINCE BEFORE WASHINGTON CROSSED THE DELAWARE, SINCE BEFORE
- 24 LINCOLN FREED THE SLAVES, BEFORE WORLD WAR I, WORLD WAR II,
- 25 IT'S A SYMBOL OF OUR NATURAL HERITAGE, THE LAND UPON WHICH OUR



- 1 COUNTRY IS BASED. IT IS AMERICA'S TREE. THE COMMUNITY IN SANTA
- 2 CLARITA IS FRUSTRATED AND ANGRY. THEY FEEL THERE'S BEEN A LACK
- 3 OF RESPONSIVENESS, A FAILURE IN LEADERSHIP, AND I WANT TO LOOK
- 4 FORWARD. THIS COUNTY, L.A. COUNTY, CAN SIGNAL THAT IT IS A
- 5 FIRST-CLASS COUNTY THE WORLD OVER. THE OUESTION THAT'S BEING
- 6 ASKED IS, IF WE CAN SEND A MAN TO THE MOON, WHY CAN WE NOT
- 7 BUILD A SAFE ROAD AROUND A TREE, A HERITAGE OAK TREE? WE HAVE
- 8 HAD LETTERS AND EXAMPLES FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD WHERE THIS
- 9 HAS BEEN DONE. AND WHAT I'M HERE TODAY TO ASK ALL OF YOU, AND
- 10 I KNOW, MR. YAROSLAVSKY, SOME OF YOUR STAFF CAME AND VISITED
- 11 ME IN THE TREE, I KNOW YOU'VE RECEIVED MANY FAXES. SUPERVISOR
- 12 BRATHWAITE-BURKE, A CLASS FROM YOUR DISTRICT CAME BY
- 13 YESTERDAY, A BUS LOAD OF SCHOOL KIDS. I KNEW IF SUPERVISOR
- 14 MOLINA WERE HERE, I WOULD NOT HAVE TO ASK HER HOW HER
- 15 CONSTITUENTS FEEL ABOUT THIS TREE THERE ARE STRONG PASSIONS
- 16 FOR OLD GLORY. WHAT I'M SIMPLY ASKING YOU TODAY IS TO TAKE
- 17 EMERGENCY ACTION TO STOP THE PROCESS OF MOVING THE TREE, WHICH
- 18 OUR Ph.D. ARBORISTS SAY WILL KILL THE TREE, SO THAT EACH ONE
- 19 OF YOU CAN BE FULLY BRIEFED ON THE SITUATION AND THAT THE FULL
- 20 BOARD OF COUNTY SUPERVISORS CAN GO ON RECORD AND VOTE ON THE
- 21 FUTURE OF THIS LANDMARK OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. AND THAT'S WHY
- 22 I'M BEFORE YOU TODAY AND I'M ASKING YOU TO TAKE THAT ACTION.
- 23 NOW, IN TERMS OF THE TECHNICAL PROCESS OF HOW THAT ACTION CAN
- 24 BE TAKEN, I WOULD ASK A QUESTION MAYBE TO MR. YAROSLAVSKY. HOW



- 1 WOULD SOMETHING LIKE THAT ACTUALLY TAKE PLACE TODAY?
- 2 PROCEDURALLY? OR, I'M SORRY, IF -- I'M SORRY.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH HOW IT COULD BE
- 5 DONE. PERHAPS THE COUNTY COUNSEL CAN GIVE SOME INDICATION.
- 6 FIRST OF ALL, ORDINARILY, A MATTER OF THIS MAGNITUDE WOULD
- 7 COME BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AS AN AGENDA ITEM, AND
- 8 ANY PERSON WOULD HAVE A RIGHT TO HAVE IT. I'M CERTAIN THAT
- 9 THERE WOULD BE -- WE SHOULD HAVE SOME KIND OF RECOMMENDATIONS,
- 10 WE SHOULD HAVE OUR OWN -- SOME OF THE PEOPLE FROM PUBLIC
- 11 WORKS. NOW, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT REGIONAL PLANNING
- 12 PEOPLE OR PUBLIC WORKS PEOPLE HAVE ALREADY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY
- 13 TO REVIEW THE ISSUES HERE, BUT THAT WOULD BE ORDINARILY THE
- 14 PROCESS, AND I DON'T KNOW, MAYBE THE COUNTY COUNSEL CAN GIVE A
- 15 BETTER CLARIFICATION OF IT TO SEE IF THIS IS SOMEWHAT
- 16 DIFFERENT, BECAUSE AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THIS IS A DEVELOPMENT
- 17 IN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA?

18

19 JOHN QUIGLEY: YES.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND IS IT ONE THAT CAME BEFORE THE BOARD
- 22 FOR APPROVAL AND WAS IT APPROVED BY THE BOARD, OR DID IT GO
- 23 THROUGH PLANNING? CAN SOMEONE GIVE US SOME BACKGROUND ON THAT?



- 1 SUP. KNABE: I THINK AS IT RELATES TO THE ISSUE HE'S RAISED,
- 2 MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION TAKES CARE OF THAT, FOR THE REPORT
- 3 BACK ON THE WHOLE OAK TREE ORDINANCE WHERE YOU COULD DEAL WITH
- 4 THAT ISSUE AT THAT TIME, I GUESS.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELL COULD THE COUNTY COUNSEL
- 7 JUST GIVE US SOME INDICATION OF WHAT THE STATUS IS IN THE
- 8 SITUATION IN TERMS OF US TAKING UP THE ISSUE.

- 10 COUNSEL PELLMAN: YES, MADAM CHAIR. AS WE'RE ALL AWARE, THE
- 11 MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CONTROLLED BY THE BROWN
- 12 ACT, WITH SOME EXCEPTIONS, ITEMS MUST BE PLACED ON THE BOARD'S
- 13 AGENDA 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE IN ORDER FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER
- 14 TAKING ACTION. AND OTHERWISE ASK FOR REPORTS BACK, SUPERVISOR
- 15 ANTONOVICH, HAS ALREADY PREVIOUSLY ASKED THAT THE DIRECTOR OF
- 16 PLANNING REVIEW AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS WITH RESPECT TO ANY
- 17 CHANGES REQUIRED IN THE OAK TREE ORDINANCE. THIS MATTER, I
- 18 BELIEVE, HAS HISTORICALLY BEEN PREVIOUSLY BEFORE THE BOARD
- 19 SOME TIME AGO IN ORDER FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE TRACT MAP WHICH
- 20 GAVE RISE TO THE CONDITION THAT WAS IMPOSED REGARDING UNDER
- 21 WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES TREES MIGHT BE REMOVED, COUPLED WITH THE
- 22 OAK TREE PERMIT THAT REQUIRED THE MULTIPLE REPLACEMENT OF
- 23 OTHER OAK TREES AS A RESULT OF GOING THROUGH THAT PARTICULAR
- 24 PROCESS. THE MATTER DID NOT APPEAR ON YOUR BOARD'S AGENDA
- 25 TODAY. THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO MAKE DETERMINATION THAT THE



- 1 NEED TO TAKE ACTION AROSE SUBSEQUENT TO THE POSTING OF THE
- 2 AGENDA. IN THE PAST, THE BOARD HAS ALWAYS MADE SURE THAT ALL
- 3 SIDES OF AN ISSUE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO BE PRESENTED. THAT'S THE
- 4 MAIN PURPOSE OF THE BROWN ACT, IS TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE ON
- 5 BOTH SIDES OF AN ISSUE WOULD BE ABLE TO BE HERE. I THINK IT
- 6 WOULD BE A VERY DIFFICULT THING FOR THE BOARD TO DECIDE AT
- 7 THIS STAGE WITHOUT PRIOR PUBLIC NOTICE THAT THIS WAS SOMETHING
- 8 THAT THE BOARD NEEDED TO TAKE ACTION ON TODAY.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW THERE WAS A PERMIT THAT CAME BEFORE
- 11 THIS BOARD? IS THAT CORRECT? IN TERMS OF THIS DEVELOPMENT? AND
- 12 DID IT COME BEFORE THIS BOARD

13

- 14 SPEAKER: MR. PELLMAN, IT DID NOT COME BEFORE THE BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS.

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT HAPPENED MADAM CHAIR, IS IN 1940, THE
- 18 MASTER PLAN FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DESIGNATED THIS AREA
- 19 FOR A FOUR-LANE HIGHWAY. BACK IN 1988, THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 20 COMMISSION APPROVED A TENTATIVE TRACT MAP WHICH INCLUDED THIS
- 21 FOUR-LANE HIGHWAY. IN 1990, THE SANTA CLARITA AREA PLAN
- 22 UPGRADED THE PLANNED PICO CANYON ROAD TO A MAJOR HIGHWAY IN
- 23 RECOGNITION OF THE PLANNING EFFORTS UNDERWAY BY THE VALLEY,
- 24 AND THEN IN 1996, PUBLIC WORKS DETERMINED THAT TRAFFIC STUDY
- 25 FAILED TO SUPPORT WHEN A STUDY WAS BEING PROPOSED TO DELETE



- 1 THIS CANYON WEST OF THE OAK TREE, THAT THE PUBLIC WORKS
- 2 DETERMINED THAT THAT DELETION WAS NOT RESPONSIBLE, AND IN
- 3 1999, A HEARING OFFICER FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES APPROVED
- 4 A REALIGNMENT OF THE ROAD, WHICH SAVES 14 OF THE 15 OAK TREES.
- 5 THERE ARE 15 OAK TREES THAT WERE IN QUESTION. 14 HAD BEEN
- 6 SAVED. THE TREE THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS PROBABLY A
- 7 HUNDRED, MAYBE A LITTLE MORE THAN THAT YEARS OLD, BUT REALLY,
- 8 NO ONE WILL EVER KNOW UNTIL YOU COUNT THE RINGS AROUND THE
- 9 TREE. IN 1999, THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT BROKERED WITH THE CITY
- 10 OF SANTA CLARITA, SCOPE MANAR, JOHN LEON HOLMES AND THE SANTA
- 11 MONICA MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY AND THE COUNTY, AND THERE WAS A
- 12 THREE-YEAR DELAY IN CONSTRUCTION OF PICO CANYON ROAD TO
- 13 DETERMINE IF DOWNSIZING WOULD BE WARRANTED AND APPROVED BY THE
- 14 COUNTY. THE COUNTY DETERMINED THAT THE DOWNSIZING WAS NOT
- 15 WARRANTED, SO THE AGREEMENT HAD EXPIRED IN NOVEMBER OF 2002,
- 16 AND THE PUBLIC WORKS AT OUR DIRECTION ASKED THE -- TO RESTUDY
- 17 THE ALIGNMENT OF THAT ROAD, BUT BECAUSE THERE ARE HOMES ON ONE
- 18 SIDE OF THE ROAD, THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO TAKE THEIR YARDS,
- 19 BACKYARDS FROM AND A FLOOD CONTROL CHANNEL AND A CLIFF ON THE
- 20 OTHER SIDE OF THE ROAD, THE DEPARTMENT DETERMINED THAT THE
- 21 SAFETY FACTORS WERE TOO GRAVE BECAUSE THE COUNTY WOULD THEN BE
- 22 LIABLE, IF THERE WERE ANY ACCIDENTS, FOR HAVING AN IMPEDIMENT
- 23 OR A SUBSTANDARD HIGHWAY. SO THIS DECISION WAS MADE THEN TO
- 24 RELOCATE THE TREE WITH A 70% SURVIVAL RATE, AND THERE HAVE
- 25 BEEN EFFORTS TO PREVENT THAT RELOCATION, WHICH MAKES IT MORE

13

## The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 DIFFICULT BECAUSE OF THE TIME FRAME IN THAT RELOCATION. THIS
- 2 ISSUE WENT BEFORE THE COURT AND THE JUDGE RULED THAT THEY
- 3 COULD GO AHEAD WITH THE RELOCATION OF THAT TREE, AND AS OF
- 4 THIS MORNING, I'VE BEEN ADVISED THAT THE COURT, ONCE AGAIN,
- 5 RULED THAT THEY SHOULD PROCEED WITH THE RELOCATION OF THAT
- 6 TREE. IF WE DELAY THE RELOCATION OF THAT TREE, THEN YOU'RE
- 7 GOING TO GUARANTEE THAT IT DIES. BUT IF WE MOVE FORWARD, WE
- 8 CAN RELOCATE THAT TREE AND WE'RE PLANTING MORE TREES, OAK
- 9 TREES IN A NEARBY PARK SO THAT THE CITIZENS OF SANTA CLARITA
- 10 VALLEY WILL HAVE THE TREE IN THEIR PARK. THE TOWN COUNCIL FOR
- 11 SANTA CLARITA, THE STEVENSON RANCH TOWN COUNCIL SUPPORTS THESE
- 12 EFFORTS AND ALSO THE RESIDENTS WHO LIVE IN THAT AREA.

14 JOHN QUIGLEY: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY SOMETHING. YOU KNOW, THERE'S

- 15 A LOT OF FRUSTRATION, MR. ANTONOVICH, THAT YOU NEVER CAME OUT
- 16 AND ACTUALLY SAW THE TREE, YOU NEVER CAME OUT AND MET WITH THE
- 17 COMMUNITY, BECAUSE IF YOU HAD, YOU WOULD SEE THAT THE
- 18 COMMUNITY WANTS THE TREE TO REMAIN WHERE IT IS. THAT'S THE
- 19 REALITY. THAT'S THE TRUTH. NOW, THE TOWN COUNCIL OF STEPHENSON
- 20 RANCH, THERE'S A FEW FOLKS THERE WHO I'M SURE AGREE WITH YOU,
- 21 BUT THERE'S THE VAST MAJORITY OF THAT VALLEY WANTS THAT TREE
- 22 TO STAY WHERE IT IS. NOW AGAIN RIGHT NOW, ALL WE'RE ASKING FOR
- 23 IS THIS TO BE HEARD BY THE FULL BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, BECAUSE,
- 24 AGAIN, IT'S BECOME AN ISSUE FAR LARGER THAN WHAT ANY OF US
- 25 COULD HAVE IMAGINED, AND THE SYMBOLIC SIGNIFICANCE, AND I KNOW



- 1 MR. ANTONOVICH, WHO I'M SURE IS A GOOD MAN, DOES NOT WANT TO
- 2 HAVE, YOU KNOW, A WITHERING TREE THERE AS A MONUMENT TO, YOU
- 3 KNOW, QUESTIONABLE CHOICES. AND NONE OF US WANT THAT, SO IF WE
- 4 COULD TAKE A LITTLE MORE TIME TO HAVE IT BE FULLY HEARD
- 5 BECAUSE ONE OF THE REASONS WHY THE COMMUNITY IS UPSET IS
- 6 BECAUSE TO THEIR EYE, TO THEIR COMMON SENSE EYE, THERE IS NO
- 7 REASON WHY THE ROAD COULD NOT BE SPLIT WITH TWO LANES TO THE
- 8 NORTH AND TWO LANES TO THE SOUTH. NOW THERE'S TWO PLANS ON THE
- 9 TABLE. ONE BY THE DEVELOPER. THIS IS ONE OF THOSE GREAT RARE
- 10 MOMENTS WHERE THE COMMUNITY AND THE DEVELOPER ARE ACTUALLY
- 11 WORKING TOGETHER AND SAY, HEY, WE CAN SAVE THIS TREE, WE CAN
- 12 GO AROUND THE TREE. THEY WERE WILLING TO SPEND THE MONEY TO DO
- 13 IT, AND TO SAY THAT, AGAIN, YOU KNOW, THE LINE ABOUT IF WE CAN
- 14 GO TO THE MOON, WE CAN ENGINEER A ROAD THAT'S SAFE TO GO
- 15 AROUND THE TREE. SO ALL WE'RE ASKING, AND IF WE HAVE A FULL
- 16 HEARING BEFORE THIS FULL BODY, THAT WILL PUT TO REST,
- 17 WHICHEVER WAY IT TURNS OUT, A LOT OF THE FEELINGS THAT HAVE
- 18 CHURNED UP IN THE COMMUNITY AND I THINK IT WILL BE A BENEFIT
- 19 TO ALL OF US.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE WANT TO SAVE THE TREE AND WE CAN RELOCATE
- 22 THE TREE, THE DEVELOPER WOULD NOT PROVIDE THE REVENUES TO
- 23 SUPPORT ALL LIABILITY CASES THAT THE TAXPAYERS WOULD HAVE TO
- 24 PAY WHEN THERE ARE FATALITIES ON THAT HIGHWAY BECAUSE IT WAS A
- 25 SUBSTANDARD ROAD, AND THAT'S WHY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC



- 1 WORKS AND THE ENGINEERS HAVE INDICATED VERY FORCEFULLY THAT WE
- 2 CANNOT SUBSTITUTE SAFETY, WE CAN'T HAVE A SUBSTANDARD ROAD
- 3 THAT'S GOING TO FUTURE BE A LIABILITY, NOT JUST TO THE
- 4 TAXPAYERS HERE, BUT ALSO A DANGER TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE
- 5 VALLEY WHO TRAVEL THAT ROAD. SO I MEAN, YOU KNOW,

6

7 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: LET ME JUST SAY I'M SURE THAT --

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WELCOME YOU FROM THE -- FROM THE PACIFIC
- 10 PALISADES, THAT YOU'RE INVOLVED WITH THAT EFFORT, BUT I WOULD
- 11 LIKE TO HOPE THAT WE COULD HAVE YOUR SUPPORT WITH THE
- 12 RELOCATION OF THAT TREE SO THAT IT WILL BE ABLE TO BE
- 13 PRESERVED IN THE PARKS, TO BE UTILIZED BY THE RESIDENTS IN THE
- 14 SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AND WE CAN MOVE FORWARD. I MEAN THERE ARE
- 15 SO MANY IMPORTANT ISSUES, AND THIS IS ONE OF THOSE, BUT THERE
- 16 ARE ALSO OTHER ISSUES THAT WE NEED TO DEVOTE OUR ATTENTION TO,
- 17 THE ENVIRONMENT, AND ALSO THE LARGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN WHO ARE
- 18 LOOKING FOR PERMANENT HOMES. LET'S DEVOTE OUR RESOURCES TO
- 19 ENSURE THAT THOSE CHILDREN HAVE AN ENVIRONMENT THAT THEY CAN
- 20 GROW UP IN WITH A STRONG FAMILY AND PARKS THAT HAVE THESE
- 21 TYPES OF TREES THAT THEY CAN PLAY IN.

- 23 JOHN QUIGLEY: BUT ONE OF THE THINGS, THIS WILL BE MY LAST
- 24 COMMENT AND I'LL TURN IT OVER, THE ISSUE OF SAFETY, AND AGAIN,
- 25 I'M HERE NOW AS A VOICE OF MANY PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY.



- 1 THEY'RE ASKING, WHY DOES IT HAVE TO BE A HIGH SPEED, HIGH
- 2 DENSITY ROAD, WHAT AMOUNTS TO AN EXPRESSWAY THROUGH THERE, IS
- 3 THAT GOING TO BE SAFER FOR THE COMMUNITY? IT'S GOING TO FRONT
- 4 AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. WHY NOT MAKE IT A MORE COMMUNITY-
- 5 FRIENDLY ROAD THAT'S GOING TO ALLOW THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE TO
- 6 CONTINUE AND NOT BE DISRUPTED BY THIS MASSIVE AMOUNT OF
- 7 TRAFFIC THAT'S PROJECTED FOR THESE FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS WHICH
- 8 HAVE NOT EVEN BEEN APPROVED? AND THAT'S WHY A LITTLE BIT OF
- 9 DUE DILIGENCE, A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TO DELIBERATE ON THE ISSUE
- 10 SINCE THE ROAD IS CLEARLY NOT NEEDED AT THIS MOMENT AND MAY
- 11 NOT BE FOR YEARS, WHAT IS THE HARM IN THAT? AND THAT'S WHAT
- 12 WE'RE ASKING, AND THOSE ARE MY FINAL WORDS ON THAT, AND I
- 13 APPRECIATE YOUR TIME.

14

- 15 LYNNE PLAMBECK: SILVA BLACK STONE NEEDS TO EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY
- 16 THIS IS AN EMERGENCY, BUT I WANT TO JUST QUICKLY SAY TO YOU,
- 17 MR. ANTONOVICH-- MR. ANTONOVICH? I, TOO, AM DISTURBED THAT YOU
- 18 FAILED TO COME OUT AND MEET WITH THE COMMUNITY, AND I'M
- 19 DISTURBED THAT WHEN I CALLED TO TRY TO RESOLVE THESE ISSUES
- 20 WITH YOUR OFFICE, NO ONE WOULD EVEN TALK TO ME.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU KNOW, THE OFFICE WAS QUITE INVOLVED IN
- 23 MOVING IT FORWARD AND HAVING THE TREE RELOCATED. THAT WAS VERY
- 24 IMPORTANT. THAT WAS A VERY HIGH PRIORITY, AND WE HAVE WORKED
- 25 WITH THE COMMUNITY, EXCEPT THERE ARE TWO DIFFERENT POINTS OF



- 1 VIEW. THERE ARE THOSE WHO DON'T WANT TO MOVE THE TREE, TO
- 2 LEAVE IT THERE, WHICH WOULD BE A SAFETY HAZARD AND A LIABILITY
- 3 PROBLEM, AND YOU HAVE OTHERS IN THE COMMUNITY WHO SAY "WE NEED
- 4 TO HAVE SAFETY AND WE NEED TO ELIMINATE THE CONGESTION AND
- 5 THAT ROAD IS NECESSARY," AND IT'S A ROAD THAT HAS BEEN ON THE
- 6 MAP FOR 60 YEARS.

7

- 8 LYNNE PLAMBECK: WITH NEVER AN E.I.R., WITH NEVER AN E.I.R., 60
- 9 YEARS WITH NEVER AN E.I.R. AND MR. ANTONOVICH, I REALLY WANT
- 10 TO ADDRESS YOUR SAFETY ISSUE.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THERE WAS AN E.I.R. WHEN THEY MOVED
- 13 FORWARD.

14

- 15 LYNNE PLAMBECK: BECAUSE YOUR SAFETY ISSUE IS SOMETHING THAT
- 16 AND PEOPLE HAVE FOUND THAT EXCUSE TO BE SOMEWHAT UN-
- 17 UNDERSTANDABLE, BECAUSE STEPHENSON RANCH PARKWAY WHICH LEADS
- 18 TO THIS ROAD HAS TREES ALL DOWN THE CENTER OF IT. ON JANUARY
- 19 1st, WHEN I WAS OUT --

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PARKWAY IS NOT A FOUR-LANE HIGHWAY.

- 23 LYNNE PLAMBECK: EXCUSE ME. IT WAS BUILT TO BE THAT -- TO
- 24 HANDLE THAT. THAT'S GOING TO BE THE EXIT THAT WILL CONTINUE ON
- 25 THIS, BUT ON JANUARY 1st, WHEN WE WERE OUT AT THE TREE, AN



- 1 AUTOMOBILE CLUB DRIVER DROVE UP WITH A CAR WITH A CRUNCHED CAR
- 2 AND HE WANTED TO GET INFORMATION ON THE TREE FOR HIS WIFE,
- 3 BECAUSE SHE LIKED THE TREE VERY MUCH. AND I SAID TO HIM, WELL,
- 4 HOW DID THIS CAR GET LIKE THIS? AND HE SAID, WELL, SOMEONE RAN
- 5 INTO THE LIGHT POST, AND WE REALLY THINK THAT IF MR.
- 6 ANTONOVICH IS WORRIED ABOUT THE SAFETY OF THE TREE AND PEOPLE
- 7 RUNNING INTO THIS HUGE OLD TREE, THAT HE SHOULD REMOVE ALL THE
- 8 LIGHT POSTS, TOO, AND LET'S REMOVE ALL THE PILLARS OUT FROM
- 9 UNDERNEATH THE FREEWAYS FOR GOOD MEASURE AS WELL. AND I WOULD
- 10 LIKE TO SPEAK TO THIS ROAD MR. ANTONOVICH. THIS ROAD WAS
- 11 DEEDED TO THE COUNTY WITH A 40-FOOT EASEMENT IN 1917. AND THE
- 12 COUNTY HAS ITS 40-FOOT EASEMENT, AND YET APPARENTLY YOUR
- 13 OFFICE HAS ALLOWED THIS DEVELOPER TO CLOSE THIS ROAD DOWN AND
- 14 SAY THAT IT'S HIS PRIVATE PROPERTY. PICO CANYON IS, AT THE
- 15 MOMENT, NOT ABLE TO GO THROUGH TO MENTORVILLE, AND THIS IS
- 16 SOMETHING I'D LIKE TO ADDRESS TO ALL THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
- 17 IS HOW IS A PRIVATE DEVELOPER ALLOWED TO CLOSE DOWN A ROAD
- 18 THAT'S BEEN DEEDED TO THE COUNTY SINCE 1917? HOW IS THIS
- 19 ALLOWED? PEOPLE CAN'T --

20

23

21 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: MAY I ASK YOU THIS? WERE ANY OF THESE

- 22 ISSUES PRESENTED TO THE COURT?
- 24 LYNNE PLAMBECK: NO. THE COURT HAS NOT -- THE COURT, AS A
- 25 MATTER OF FACT, THAT'S SOMETHING ELSE I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS.



- 1 NOT ONLY DID WE NOT HAVE -- WE FELT THAT THE HEARING WAS VERY
- 2 UNFAIR. ONE OF THE REASONS WAS BECAUSE, IN RELATION TO THE
- 3 ROAD, THE COURT SAID THAT WE NEEDED THE ROAD FOR NEWHALL
- 4 RANCH.

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHY DID YOU MAKE THE DECISION NOT TO
- 7 PRESENT THIS ISSUE TO THE COURT.

8

- 9 LYNNE PLAMBECK: BECAUSE THIS WAS AN INJUNCTIVE HEARING AND IT
- 10 WAS VERY CLOSELY -- YOU DON'T HAVE A LOT OF TIME IN INJUNCTIVE
- 11 HEARINGS.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NO, BUT I MEAN BUT IN YOUR DOCUMENTS, ALL
- 14 OF THESE ISSUES, IT WOULD SEEM, COULD HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO
- 15 THE COURT.

16

- 17 LYNNE PLAMBECK: WE WENT IN FOR A T.R.O. THIS MORNING AND YOU
- 18 HAVE TO DO --

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE FIRST COURT HEARING LAST WEEK.

21

- 22 LYNNE PLAMBECK: THE FIRST COURT HEARING WAS SPECIFICALLY ON
- 23 TRESPASSING CHARGES AND THAT'S ALL. AND MR. ANTONOVICH,
- 24 SOMEONE HAS GIVEN YOU MISINFORMATION.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TRESPASSING ON PRIVATE PROPERTIES.

2

- 3 LYNNE PLAMBECK: RIGHT, AND WE DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THAT WOULD
- 4 BE PRIVATE PROPERTY WHEN THERE IS, PICO CANYON ROAD HAS
- 5 EXISTED IN THAT AREA SINCE 1876, HOW COULD THE ROAD HAVE BEEN
- 6 THIS --

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE ROAD IS OPEN.

9

- 10 LYNNE PLAMBECK: BUT THE ROAD IS NOT OPEN, MR. ANTONOVICH, MR.
- 11 ANTONOVICH, YOU NEED TO GO OUT AND LOOK.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: JUST A SECOND. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE
- 14 SOME ORDER HERE, BECAUSE ONE OF THE THINGS WE ARE GOING TO DO
- 15 THIS IN AN ORDERLY WAY. AND MY QUESTION TO YOU IS, ORDINARILY,
- 16 IF YOU HAVE TRESPASSING, ONE OF THE ISSUES IS WHETHER OR NOT
- 17 IT'S PRIVATE PROPERTY OR NOT, AND MY QUESTION TO YOU IS, HOW
- 18 DID THEY DETERMINE OR EVEN DEAL WITH THE TRESPASSING ISSUE IF
- 19 THERE WASN'T SOME DISCUSSION OF WHETHER IT WAS PUBLIC OR
- 20 PRIVATE PROPERTY?

- 22 LYNNE PLAMBECK: BUT MRS. BURKE, THAT'S -- WE FEEL WE WERE
- 23 RAILROADED, FRANKLY. THERE WAS NOT A DISCUSSION OF THAT. WE
- 24 WILL BE HAVING A FULL HEARING ON THE MATTER, BUT FRANKLY, WHEN
- 25 YOU HAVE A JUDGE THAT SAYS, DURING THE HEARING, THAT THE BEST



- 1 SOLUTION TO THE ROAD IS TO MOVE THE TREE, WHEN THE TREE AND
- 2 THE MOVING OF THE TREE WAS NOT EVEN UNDER DISCUSSION, ONE HAS
- 3 TO WONDER IF SOMEONE HASN'T HAD A DISCUSSION WITH THE JUDGE.
- 4 WHEN YOU HAVE A JUDGE THAT RULES THAT WE NEED TO HAVE THIS
- 5 ROAD BECAUSE WE HAVE NEWHALL RANCH, NEWHALL RANCH WASN'T IN
- 6 ANY OF THE PAPERS, NEWHALL RANCH IS AN IMPROVED PROJECT, WHY
- 7 WAS THE JUDGE MAKING THAT STATEMENT DURING A HEARING ON FRAUD
- 8 -- ON A FRAUD ISSUE BETWEEN A DEVELOPER AND AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 9 ORGANIZATION? WHY WAS THE JUDGE MAKING THAT STATEMENT, MR.
- 10 ANTONOVICH?

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WASN'T EVEN IN THE COURTROOM SO YOU HAVE TO
- 13 ASK --

14

15 LYNNE PLAMBECK: I KNOW BUT I CAN'T HELP REMEMBERING --

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THIS HAS BEEN IN THE NEWSPAPER AND I WOULD
- 18 ASSUME EVERYBODY IS AWARE OF WHAT IS GOING ON, AND THE
- 19 RESIDENTS IN THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY, THE MAJORITY OF THEM
- 20 ARE CONCERNED ABOUT A SAFE ROAD, AND IF WE CAN PUT A MAN ON
- 21 THE MOON, WE CAN MOVE A TREE AN EIGHTH OF A MILE AND PRESERVE
- 22 THAT TREE AND THE ARBORISTS SAY THAT IT HAS A 70% CHANCE OF
- 23 SURVIVAL, AND IF WE WANT IT TO SURVIVE BY DELAYING THAT MOVE,
- 24 WE ARE GOING TO ENSURE THAT THAT TREE WILL DIE, AND I'D RATHER



1 HAVE THAT TREE LIVE AND WE CAN MOVE FORWARD AND WORK TOGETHER

2 TO IMPROVE OUR ENVIRONMENT SO.

3

4 LYNNE PLAMBECK: WELL I'D JUST LIKE TO CLOSE MY --

5

- 6 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE ALLOCATED 15 MINUTES TO IT. WE'VE NOW
- 7 GONE ABOUT 20 MINUTES. FIRST OF ALL, LET ME ASSURE YOU, THAT
- 8 IF YOU CAN FIND SOME METHOD OF GETTING THIS ON OUR AGENDA
- 9 THROUGH A LEGITIMATE PROCESS THAT -- AND WE HAVE A VERY
- 10 COMPLEX PLANNING PROCESS, WHICH GIVES MANY PEOPLE, INCLUDING
- 11 COMMUNITY, AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING THINGS BEFORE US. BUT WHEN
- 12 WE HEAR IT, WE HAVE TO HEAR ALL SIDES. WE HAVE TO HEAR FROM
- 13 EVERY SIDE AND EVERY PERSON WHO WILL BE IMPACTED, WHETHER IT'S
- 14 THE OWNER, WHETHER IT'S THE PEOPLE AROUND IN THEIR AREA,
- 15 WHETHER IT'S THE COMMUNITY PEOPLE YOU SAY THAT ARE OPPOSED TO
- 16 IT, THE COMMUNITY PEOPLE HE SAYS ARE IN SUPPORT OF IT, WHEN WE
- 17 HAVE THE HEARING, WE WOULD HAVE TO HEAR FROM ALL SIDES IN
- 18 ORDER FOR US TO EVALUATE IT. BUT WHAT -- THE ONLY THING I CAN
- 19 SUGGEST AT THIS MOMENT IS THAT NOW I'LL GIVE YOU FIVE MORE
- 20 MINUTES TO CONCLUDE YOUR PRESENTATION AND IF YOU AT SOME TIME
- 21 BRING IT BEFORE US IN A ORDERLY MANNER, WE WILL MAKE WHATEVER
- 22 TIME IS NECESSARY FOR YOUR ISSUES TO BE HEARD AS LONG AS WE
- 23 ALSO GIVE NOTICE SO THAT EVERYONE WHO IS IMPACTED CAN BE HEARD
- 24 AS WELL.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JIM NOYES IS HERE, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS.
- 2 HE COULD ALSO PERHAPS ENLIGHTEN THE --

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WELL, LET'S GIVE-- SHALL WE HEAR FROM HIM
- 5 FIRST? AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU AN ADDITIONAL FIVE
- 6 MINUTES TO CONCLUDE. A NUMBER OF ISSUES THEY HAVE RAISED,
- 7 HOWEVER, WE DON'T HAVE THIS ON OUR AGENDA, OBVIOUSLY, AND IN
- 8 PUBLIC COMMENT, REALLY, WE'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO RESPOND, WE'RE
- 9 SUPPOSED TO JUST HEAR. I THINK WE'RE IN VIOLATION AT THIS
- 10 POINT THAT WE'RE RESPONDING BECAUSE WE'RE JUST SUPPOSED TO
- 11 HEAR YOU, BUT WOULD YOU CARE TO COMMENT ON ANY OF THE ISSUES?

12

- 13 JIM NOYES: YES, SUPERVISOR. JIM NOYES, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR.
- 14 THE ONLY COMMENT I THINK IS APPROPRIATE AT THIS TIME FOR ME TO
- 15 MAKE, I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS OF TODAY OR
- 16 LAST WEEK OR WHENEVER IT WAS, WE HAD SEVERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH
- 17 MR. QUIGLEY, WE HAD SEVERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH THE DEVELOPER, WE
- 18 REVIEWED ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS FOR REALIGNMENTS OF THE ROAD IN
- 19 THE VICINITY OF THE OAK TREE, AND IT'S OUR CONCLUSION AS
- 20 PROFESSIONALS THAT THOSE WERE SUBSTANDARD DESIGNS AND WE COULD
- 21 NOT, IN GOOD CONSCIENCE, RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OR ANY
- 22 GOVERNING AGENCY, THAT THE COUNTY PROCEED WITH THOSE DESIGNS.

- 24 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, WE'LL GIVE YOU FIVE MINUTES TO
- 25 CONCLUDE. AND, YOU KNOW, THE REASON WE RESPONDED IS THAT YOU



- 1 ASKED DIRECT QUESTIONS, WE ARE NOT AUTHORIZED BY THE BROWN ACT
- 2 TO RESPOND OR GET INVOLVED IN DISCUSSION BY THE BOARD OF ANY
- 3 ISSUE THAT'S NOT ON OUR AGENDA. SO WE WILL REFRAIN FROM ANY
- 4 FURTHER DISCUSSION IN TERMS OF OUR RESPONSE. WE WILL MAINTAIN
- 5 OUR CONFORMITY WITH THE BROWN ACT, BUT YOU MAY CONCLUDE AND
- 6 WE'LL GIVE YOU FIVE ADDITIONAL MINUTES TO CONCLUDE YOUR
- 7 PRESENTATION.

- 9 DEAN FRANCOIS: MY NAME IS DEAN FRANCOIS OF REDONDO BEACH, I'LL
- 10 TAKE ABOUT A MINUTE HERE. I JUST WANT TO STRESS THE FACT THAT
- 11 IT SEEMS AS THOUGH THE COUNTY'S IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 12 ENVIRONMENTAL OUALITY ACT. AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW HAS
- 13 NOT BEEN DONE ON MOVING THE TREE, ON MOVING OR DEMOLISHING OR
- 14 CUTTING DOWN THE TREE, AND THAT REPRESENTS A POTENTIAL
- 15 HISTORIC LANDMARK, AND THEREFORE THE COUNTY IS IN VIOLATION OF
- 16 THAT ACT. NOW BESIDES ALL THAT, WHAT WE'RE REALLY ASKING FOR
- 17 IS A HEARING ON THIS, WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO DO IT, THE ONLY
- 18 WAY AN AGENDA CAN BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA IF THE SUPERVISOR
- 19 REQUESTS IT. NOW, I'VE PLEADED WITH SUPERVISORS ON THIS, I'VE
- 20 TALKED AROUND ON THIS, AND IT'S ALMOST LIKE WE'RE IN A LITTLE
- 21 SITUATION WHERE EVERY SUPERVISOR CONTROLS WHAT HAPPENS HERE.
- 22 WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS RESPONSIBLY OF THE COMPLETE
- 23 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND THIS AFFECTS PEOPLE FAR
- 24 BEYOND THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY. IT AFFECTS PEOPLE THROUGHOUT



- 1 LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND THROUGHOUT THE STATE, BECAUSE THAT
- 2 REPRESENTS A HISTORIC LANDMARK. THANK YOU.

- 4 SYLVIA BLACKSTONE: SYLVIA BLACKSTONE, CERTIFIED ARBORIST, 1867
- 5 NORTH AVENUE 51 IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES. HEARING WHAT I'VE
- 6 HEARD, I WANT TO CALL INTO QUESTION THE PERMIT THAT WAS GIVEN
- 7 FOR THE OAK TREES FOR THIS PROJECT. HAVING LOOKED AT THAT
- 8 PERMIT, THE OAK TREES WERE ALL STATED TO BE QUIRKUS AGRIFOLIA,
- 9 WHICH IS A COAST LIVE OAK, INCLUDING THIS TREE, THIS NUMBER,
- 10 THAT IT WAS NOT CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED AS A VALLEY OAK OUIRKUS
- 11 LOBOTA, SO I WOULD ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THAT AND I WOULD SAY
- 12 THAT THAT IS A FLAWED DOCUMENT THAT DID NOT CORRECTLY IDENTIFY
- 13 THE SPECIES OF THIS TREE. NUMBER TWO, THIS DOCUMENT HERE SHOWS
- 14 IT'S FROM THE COUNTY OAK TREE CARE DOCUMENT. THERE'S A
- 15 BROCHURE THAT'S DONE BY THE COUNTY. IT SHOWS WHERE THE
- 16 PROTECTED ZONE OF THE ROOTS OF THE TREE. THIS IS A PROTECTED
- 17 ZONE, I'M GOING TO POINT THIS OUT. AND THIS SHOWS WHERE
- 18 IMPACTS ARE ALLOWED TO BE HAPPENING TO THE TREE ARE BEYOND
- 19 THIS POINT. THIS REPRESENTS, THIS RED BOX REPRESENTS THE
- 20 AMOUNT OF ROOTS THAT ARE PROPOSED TO BE LEFT WITH THIS TREE
- 21 WHEN IT IS MOVED. IT'S COMPLETELY UNACCEPTABLE, AND THAT'S WHY
- 22 RESPONSIBLE ARBORISTS SAY THAT THE TREE CANNOT LIVE. AND IF
- 23 THIS PERSON IS SAYING IT HAS A 75% CHANCE OF SURVIVAL, THAT IS
- 24 BECAUSE THIS PERSON IS GETTING PAID TO MOVE THIS TREE AND YOU
- 25 CANNOT TRUST THAT INFORMATION. THE OTHER THING I HAVE TO SAY,



- 1 IT'S THESE ROOTS, IT'S BEEN SAID THAT THE ROOTS ARE DORMANT IN
- 2 THE WINTER. THE ROOTS ARE ACTUALLY ACTIVE IN THE WINTER. THE
- 3 LEAVES ARE DORMANT AND THE LEAVES BROKE DORMANCY ALMOST A
- 4 MONTH AGO, ON 12-18. I WAS OUT THERE AND SAW THE TREE. AND
- 5 HERE'S THE OTHER THING. THIS SIX INCHES HERE ON THIS LITTLE
- 6 CHART REPRESENTS WHAT IS RECOMMENDED, NO TREE LARGER THAN A
- 7 SIX-INCH DIAMETER CAN BE STATED CAN BE MOVED WITH ANY SUCCESS.
- 8 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MOVING A TREE THAT IS FOUR FEET SIX INCHES
- 9 IN DIAMETER, ALMOST EIGHT TIMES AS BIG AS THE RECOMMENDED
- 10 AMOUNT OF DIAMETER. AND THAT'S IT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

11

- 12 **DEAN FRANCOIS:** JUST TO FINISH. I KNOW, I APPRECIATE THE TIME
- 13 YOU'VE GIVEN US. THIS ISSUE DID ARISE AFTER THE 72-HOUR PERIOD
- 14 TO GET ON THE AGENDA. OBVIOUSLY THE COURT DECISION TODAY, YOU
- 15 KNOW, CHANGED THINGS. WE WERE VERY CONFIDENT WE WOULD GET THAT
- 16 T.R.O. SO THIS COULD BE MORE FULLY HEARD THROUGH THE COURTS.
- 17 WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR IS AN EMERGENCY STAY ON THE REMOVAL.
- 18 YOU'VE HEARD FROM THE ARBORISTS TO ALLOW FOR FURTHER
- 19 DISCUSSION TO BE MADE. ALL SIDES AGREE THAT THE TREE -- OR,
- 20 I'M SORRY, THE ROAD IS NOT NEEDED AT THIS TIME, AND MAY NOT BE
- 21 FOR YEARS, SO THIS RUSHING, WHICH COULD ENDANGER THE LIFE OF
- 22 OLD GLORY, WE'RE ASKING FOR THIS BODY TO STEP IN AND ISSUE AN
- 23 EMERGENCY STAY ON THE REMOVAL.



- 1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WHAT I BELIEVE CAN HAPPEN IS IF YOU GO TO
- 2 COURT AND ASK THAT THE OAK TREE REMOVAL AND THE DETERMINATION
- 3 WAS INVALID FOR SOME REASON FROM FRAUD OR FOR SOMETHING ELSE
- 4 AND THAT IT SHOULD COME BACK TO THE COUNTY FOR REVIEW, THEN
- 5 THAT CAN COME BACK TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING AND YOU THEN HAVE
- 6 AN OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAL AND IT CAN GO ON THIS AGENDA. I DON'T
- 7 KNOW OF A MECHANISM -- CAN THAT HAPPEN THAT WAY?

8

- 9 COUNSEL PELLMAN: MADAM CHAIR, IT'S GOING TO DEPEND UPON WHEN
- 10 IT WAS ISSUED AND WHETHER THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS HAS RUN
- 11 WITH RESPECT TO THE PERMITS THAT WERE ISSUED AND THE APPROVAL
- 12 OF THE TRACT MAP WITH ITS CONDITIONS.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK A QUESTION MADAM CHAIR?

15

16 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SURE.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN IS THE OAK TREE SUPPOSED TO BE REMOVED.

19

20 JOHN QUIGLEY: THERE ARE PROCESSES.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW THAT THERE'S A PROCESS BUT WHEN IS IT
- 23 ACTUALLY -- WHEN IS THE POINT OF NO RETURN. I'M ACTUALLY NOT
- 24 ASKING YOU I WANT TO ASK ONE OF OUR STAFF AND I DON'T KNOW IF
- 25 THERE'S ANYBODY, KYLE DO YOU KNOW?



```
1
    KYLE: THE 15th OF --
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OF WHAT, OF JANUARY, THAT'S TOMORROW. SO
4
5
    THAT'S WHEN THEY'RE GOING TO PULL THE TREE?
6
   KYLE: [ Inaudible ]
7
8
9
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL LET'S SUPPOSE THAT IN TWO WEEKS YOUR
    OFFICE DISCOVERS A WAY TO SAVE THE TREE AND I'M SURE YOU'VE
10
    LOOKED AT THIS IN FAR MORE DETAIL THAN ANY OF US BUT SUPPOSE
11
    SOMETHING HAPPENED IN THE NEXT TWO OR THREE WEEKS THAT
12
    MITIGATED THE NEED TO DO THAT, WOULD THAT PROCESS OF REMOVAL
13
    WHICH WILL HAVE STARTED BE ABLE TO BE SUSPENDED AND GO BACK TO
14
    THE -- RESTORE THE TREE TO THE STATUS QUO ANTI SO TO SPEAK?
15
16
    YOU DON'T.
17
18
    SPEAKER: NO.
19
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I MEAN WHAT IS THE PROCESS THAT TAKES THREE
20
    TO FOUR MONTHS?
21
22
23
    SPEAKER: [ Inaudible ]
24
```



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY WHEN DOES THE TREE GET PULLED OUT OF
- 2 THE GROUND? ABOUT TWO OR THREE MONTHS.

3

4 SYLVIA BLACKSTONE: THEY'RE CUTTING THE ROOTS.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: EXCUSE ME, YOU'VE BEEN HEARD AND I WANT TO
- 7 GET SOME FACTS SO, SO IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT, AND THIS IS AN
- 8 ANSWER TO THE QUESTION THAT'S BEEN RAISED ABOUT HOW YOU GET
- 9 SOMETHING ON THE AGENDA, WHEN DO YOU NEED TO GET SOMETHING ON
- 10 THE AGENDA. THE END OF THE DAY, EITHER YOU CAN BUILD A ROAD
- 11 AROUND THIS THING THAT MEETS THE COUNTY STANDARDS OR YOU
- 12 CAN'T. I HAVE NOT HEARD FROM -- I HAVEN'T TALKED TO YOU OR
- 13 YOUR STAFF ABOUT --

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JIM NOYES. WE HAD JIM NOYES IN, AND THEY GAVE
- 16 HIM THE PROPOSAL. THEY WENT BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 17 WORKS, THEY ANALYZED THE REALIGNMENT AND CONCLUDED THAT IT
- 18 WOULD BE A SUBSTANDARD ROAD AND AS A RESULT OF THAT, WE'D BE
- 19 LIABLE FOR ANY -- BECAUSE OF THE GEOGRAPHY, THE LOCATION OF
- 20 THE TREE WITH HOMES ON ONE SIDE AND THE FLOOD CONTROL CHANNEL
- 21 ON THE OTHER.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, I DON'T WANT TO ASK YOU TO SPEAK FOR
- 24 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, THAT'S NOT FAIR AND I WOULD
- 25 LIKE TO HEAR WHAT MAKES THAT ROAD SUBSTANDARD.

24

# The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. NOYES CAN TELL YOU THAT. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS HE HERE? 4 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, HE WAS JUST -- HE JUST TESTIFIED, HE 6 7 JUST SPOKE. 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M SORRY I WAS IN THE BACK. 9 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY HE JUST SPOKE AND EXPLAINED --11 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT THEN I DON'T WANT TO, I CAN GET MY 13 ANSWERS SEVERALLY, BUT DID YOU EXPLAIN WHY IT WAS SUBSTANDARD? 14 15 16 JIM NOYES: NO SIR, I JUST SAID THAT BASED ON THE EVALUATION --17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY IS IT SUB -- WHAT MAKES IT SUBSTANDARD? 19 JIM NOYES: BECAUSE OF THE GEOMETRICS AND THE PROJECTED SPEED 20 21 LIMITS AND THE RADIUS OF THE CURVES, SITE REFERENCES. 22 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ARE THE GEOMETRICS?



- 1 JIM NOYES: FOR A MASTER PLAN HIGHWAY, WHICH IS WHAT THIS IS
- 2 PROJECTED TO BE, WE NEED A MINIMUM RADIUS CURVE OF 1,500 FEET.
- 3 THE DESIGN OF ONE OF THE ALTERNATIVES WAS 1250 FEET, AND I
- 4 CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT THE OTHER ONE WAS, AND THEN THERE WERE
- 5 OTHER CONCERNS RELATIVE TO SOME OF THE GEOMETRICS. BUT WE
- 6 LOOKED AT BOTH PROPOSALS THAT WERE SUBMITTED TO US, AND WE'LL
- 7 BE GLAD TO DISCUSS THOSE IN DETAIL.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THE DIFFERENCE IN 1250 FEET AND 1,500
- 10 FEET, DOES IT MAKE IT SUBSTANDARD BECAUSE OF THE SPEED LIMIT
- 11 THAT YOU'RE ASSUMING FOR THAT TURN?

12

- 13 JIM NOYES: IT DICTATES WHAT THE SPEED LIMIT WOULD BE, RIGHT,
- 14 AND OUR FEELING IS --

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF THE SPEED LIMIT WAS LOWER, THE SPEED
- 17 LIMIT WAS LOWER, WHATEVER AMBIENCE, WHATEVER THE SPEED LIMIT
- 18 YOU'LL ACCEPT FOR 1,500 FEET, FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT LET'S
- 19 SAY IT'S 45 MILES AN HOUR, IF YOU HAD A 1250-FOOT TURN AND YOU
- 20 POSTED THE SPEED LIMIT AT 35 MILES AN HOUR, WOULD THAT BRING
- 21 IT INTO CONFORMITY WITH SAFETY STANDARDS?

22

23 JIM NOYES: NO. YOU WOULD STILL HAVE A --



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW SLOW WOULD YOU HAVE TO POST A SPEED
- 2 LIMIT FOR IT TO BE CONSISTENT --

3

4 JIM NOYES: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT WOULD BE SUPERVISOR.

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WOULDN'T THAT BE A QUESTION THAT WE'D WANT
- 7 TO KNOW BEFORE YOU PULL A 400-YEAR OAK TREE OR BEFORE YOU
- 8 DECIDE THAT THE ROAD IS SUBSTANDARD? I MEAN, SEE, THIS IS --
- 9 THERE ARE TWO VARIABLES HERE, AS FAR AS I CAN TELL. AND I KNOW
- 10 NOTHING ABOUT THE DETAILS. I SHOULD PROBABLY KEEP MY MOUTH
- 11 SHUT, BUT AS LONG AS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IT, LET'S TALK ABOUT
- 12 IT. THERE ARE TWO VARIABLES. ONE IS ABOUT WHAT STANDARD IS
- 13 SUBSTANDARD. ONE IS THE TURNING RADIUS, AND THE OTHER IS THE
- 14 SPEED LIMIT. THE TWO ARE INVERSELY PROPORTIONAL. THE SLOWER
- 15 THE SPEED, THE SHORTER THE TURNING RADIUS YOU CAN HAVE AND
- 16 STILL HAVE A STANDARD -- QUOTE, UNQUOTE, STANDARD SAFE STREET,
- 17 I THINK. THE FASTER THE SPEED LIMIT, THE LONGER THE TURNING
- 18 RADIUS YOU NEED. NOW, THE QUESTION THAT -- BEFORE THE HOUSE
- 19 IS, WHAT IS THE SPEED LIMIT THAT YOU ASSUME WHEN YOU DECLARED
- 20 THE 1250 FEET WAS SUBSTANDARD? AND OBVIOUSLY YOU ASSUMED THE
- 21 SAME YOU'VE ASSUMED THE SPEED LIMIT IS A CONSTANT SO THAT
- 22 WHEN YOU FELL BELOW 1,500 FEET OF A TURNING RADIUS, YOU FELL
- 23 BELOW THE STANDARD LINE AND IT BECAME SUBSTANDARD, BUT IF YOU
- 24 ALLOW THE SPEED LIMIT TO VARY, THEN YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO HAVE
- 25 A MARRIAGE OF A LOWER SPEED LIMIT AND A SHORTER TURNING RADIUS



- 1 AND SAVE THE TREE. I'M JUST RAISING THAT AS A POSSIBILITY. NOW
- 2 THAT MAY HAVE ALL BEEN DISCUSSED AND YOU MAY HAVE ANALYZED IT
- 3 AND IT MAY BE IMPOSSIBLE, BUT THAT'S WHY I'M THINKING TO
- 4 MYSELF, AND I WOULD THINK THAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S OFFICE
- 5 WOULD ALSO WANT TO SATISFY THEMSELVES ON THIS AS WELL. AND I
- 6 JUST WOULD ASK -- LET ME ASK YOU, JIM, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER --
- 7 WHAT THE POINT OF NO RETURN IS? I MEAN IF THEY START DIGGING
- 8 BY HAND NOW AND THEY SPENT TWO OR THREE WEEKS DIGGING BY HAND
- 9 AND THEN YOU DISCOVER THAT YOU CAN MAKE THIS THING WORK
- 10 WITHOUT PULLING THE TREE, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THEY CAN GO BACK
- 11 AND RESTORE THE, YOU KNOW, PUT THE DIRT BACK IN AND WHERE THE
- 12 ROOTS ARE?

13

- 14 JIM NOYES: SUPERVISOR, I DON'T KNOW. WE'VE NEVER DONE AN
- 15 OPERATION LIKE THIS. WE HAVE NO EXPERIENCE IN RELOCATING THESE
- 16 TREES.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I THINK THAT'S --

19

20 **SPEAKER:** SURE --

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT, IF YOU CAN, GIVE US A
- 23 REPORT IN A WEEK ON THIS TURNING RADIUS/SPEED LIMIT ISSUE,
- 24 NUMBER ONE, AND, NUMBER TWO, IF YOU CAN GIVE US A REPORT THIS
- 25 AFTERNOON, EVEN IF IT'S AN E-MAIL, ON -- OR TOMORROW ON HOW --



- 1 WHAT THE LITERAL POINT OF NO RETURN IS. I WOULD JUST BE
- 2 CURIOUS, NOT JUST IN MR. ANTONOVICH, I'D JUST BE CURIOUS IN MY
- 3 OWN DISTRICT WHAT NOT THAT WE'RE FACING THAT IMMINENT
- 4 SITUATION, BUT I JUST -- IT'S AN INTERESTING ISSUE ABOUT HOW
- 5 YOU'D MOVE AN OLD OAK TREE. I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE POINT OF
- 6 NO RETURN IS WHEN IT COMES TO THESE SORTS OF THINGS. IF YOU
- 7 CAN GET US A REPORT ON THAT, THAT WOULD BE GOOD. AND THEN IT
- 8 MAY BE, IT JUST MAY BE THAT -- THAT YOU HAVE A SOLUTION TO
- 9 THIS THAT SATISFIES EVERYBODY: SAFETY, LIABILITY, THE TREE,
- 10 AND IT MAY BE THAT YOU CAN'T, AND IF YOU CAN'T, I THINK, YOU
- 11 KNOW, JUST EVERYBODY'S GOT TO BE GROWN-UPS ABOUT IT AND
- 12 UNDERSTAND YOU CAN'T OR, YOU KNOW, MR. ANTONOVICH SAYS, THIS
- 13 IS NOT A NEW ISSUE ON THIS ROAD, AND WE HAD AN ISSUE HERE A
- 14 FEW HOURS AGO ON THAT BRIDGE IN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OF THAT
- 15 BRIDGE SITS AN OAK TREE, AND THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL
- 16 WITH THAT, AND IT'S IN A PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY, SO IT'S, YOU
- 17 KNOW, IT'S ONE OF THOSE THINGS.

18

20

22

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU'RE GOING TO MOVE THE TREE. RIGHT?

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S PERMITTED. YOU HEARD THEM THIS MORNING.

- 23 JIM NOYES: SUPERVISOR, WE DID, LIKE I SAID EARLIER, WE DID
- 24 LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES SUBMITTED BY BOTH THE DEVELOPER AND A
- 25 REPRESENTATIVE FOR MR. QUIGLEY, IN ADDITION TO THAT WE LOOKED



- 1 AT OUR OWN STUDIES TO SEE WHAT WE CAN DO AND AS SUPERVISOR
- 2 ANTONOVICH INDICATED, SHORT OF TAKING DOWN THE SIDE OF A
- 3 CLIFF, WHICH WOULD BE A HORRENDOUS COST AND/OR ON THE OTHER
- 4 SIDE GOING IN AND ENCROACHING AND TAKING PEOPLE'S BACKYARDS,
- 5 WE HAVE NOT YET BEEN ABLE TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO GET A
- 6 STANDARD ROAD IN THERE FOR A MASTER PLANNED HIGHWAY BUT WE'LL
- 7 TAKE A LOOK AT IT AGAIN.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE 1250-FOOT TURNING RADIUS, DOES THAT
- 10 REQUIRE TAKING A CLIFF OR CONDEMNING PROPERTY?

11

12 JIM NOYES: I CAN'T REMEMBER WHICH ALTERNATIVE THAT WAS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO I UNDERSTAND THE TWO ISSUES BUT THEY'RE
- 15 INTERRELATED, SO IF THE 1250-FOOT TURNING RADIUS, WHICH IS
- 16 SUBSTANDARD BASED ON YOUR ASSUMPTION THAT THE SPEED LIMIT HAS
- 17 TO REMAIN AT THIS, WHATEVER LEVEL THIS IS --

18

19 JIM NOYES: BASED ON MASTER PLAN AND HIGHWAY STANDARDS, YES.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, BUT IF YOU HAD A TURN -- ANYWAY, I'VE
- 22 BEEN THROUGH THIS. IF WE CAN JUST GET AN ANSWER ON THAT.

23

24 **JIM NOYES:** OKAY.



- 1 DEAN FRANCOIS: JUST TWO CLOSING THINGS, I JUST WANT TO -- TWO
- 2 THINGS. ONE, JUST OUR INFORMATION FROM ALL OF OUR ARBORISTS IS
- 3 THAT THE MOMENT THAT THEY TRENCH TO CUT THE ROOTS FOR THE
- 4 BOXING OF IT, THAT'S THE POINT OF NO RETURN, AND THAT'S WHAT
- 5 THEY'RE UNDERTAKING TOMORROW, THE BEGINNING OF THAT. THAT'S
- 6 WHERE THE MORTAL BLOW WILL BE STRUCK AND THERE'S NO WAY TO
- 7 COME BACK. THAT'S WHY WE'RE ASKING FOR AN EMERGENCY STAY ON
- 8 THE REMOVAL. THE QUESTIONS YOU ASKED, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY,
- 9 ARE EXACTLY THE QUESTIONS THAT THE COMMUNITY IS ASKING.
- 10 THEY'RE ASKING, WHY CAN'T THE SPEED LIMIT BE REDUCED? BECAUSE
- 11 TO THE NAKED EYE, WHEN YOU ARE ON SITE, IF YOU COME OUT AND
- 12 ACTUALLY LOOK AT IT, EVERYONE SAYS, WHY CAN'T IT JUST GO
- 13 AROUND THE TREE? THERE IS PLENTY OF SPACE. AND SO THESE ARE
- 14 THE QUESTIONS. AND AGAIN, AS YOU SAID, WE'RE ALL ADULTS HERE.

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT LOOK THIS HAS BEEN AN UNUSUAL
- 17 PUBLIC HEARING, AND I APPRECIATE WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, BUT I
- 18 THINK THE QUESTION'S BEEN RAISED, AND I THINK WE OUGHT TO JUST
- 19 MOVE ON, MADAM CHAIR. I UNDERSTAND. YOU'VE HAD ALMOST AN HOUR
- 20 TO MAKE YOUR CASE BEFORE GOD AND COUNTRY, AND I THINK YOU'VE
- 21 MADE A POINT AND YOU'VE RAISED AN ISSUE, AND I WOULD ASK MR.
- 22 NOYES TO GET US A REPORT ON THIS BEFORE THE CLOSE OF BUSINESS
- 23 TODAY. OKAY? AND E-MAIL IT TO US OR CALL US. AND WE'RE GOING
- 24 TO BE IN CLOSED SESSION, MAYBE YOU CAN POP IN TO THE CLOSED
- 25 SESSION AT SOME POINT, I JUST WANT TO KNOW WHETHER THAT'S --



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: HOW ARE YOU GOING TO GET THAT ON CLOSED
- 3 SESSION?

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL JUST THE, AN ANSWER TO THESE QUESTIONS,
- 6 IT WILL BE PUBLIC, BUT JUST I THINK MR. ANTONOVICH, MS.
- 7 MOLINA, YOU, ME, AND KNABE WANT TO -- OUGHT TO BE FULLY
- 8 INFORMED ABOUT THIS. IT'S BEEN RAISED AND IT MAY ALL BE -- [
- 9 Mixed Voices ].

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT WE'VE BEEN
- 12 ENCAGED IN THIS AS A GROUP. I REALLY THINK THAT THIS IS
- 13 UNFORTUNATE IN ONE RESPECT THAT WE SHOULDN'T BE SETTING
- 14 PRECEDENTS LIKE THIS 'CAUSE THIS IS UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT
- 15 I AGREE, MADAM CHAIR, YOU'RE IN A TOUGH SPOT. IT'S BEFORE US,
- 16 IT'S, YOU KNOW

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I HAD SAID THAT WE WERE NOT GOING TO MAKE
- 19 ANY STATEMENTS BECAUSE I KNOW WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO UNDER THE
- 20 BROWN ACT, AND I MADE THAT VERY CLEAR, AND I SAID TO THEM THAT
- 21 -- AND I DON'T KNOW IF ANYONE WHO'S PLANNING TO CHALLENGE US
- 22 IN TERMS OF OUR RESPONSES HERE, THAT WE RESPONDED TO DIRECT
- 23 QUESTIONS THAT THE COUNTY COUNSEL DID NOT STOP US, SO --



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LOOK, I THINK WE HAVE -- I THINK YOU HAVE
- 2 YOUR MARCHING ORDERS, AND I'D LIKE TO AT LEAST GET AN ANSWER
- 3 TO THESE QUESTIONS.

4

5 DEAN FRANCOIS: CAN THERE BE A VOTE ON A STAY FOR A WEEK?

6

- 7 COUNSEL PELLMAN: THAT WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE UNDER THE BROWN
- 8 ACT, THERE IS NO ACTION ITEM PENDING BEFORE THE BOARD.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: WE'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO EVEN BE DISCUSSING
- 11 THIS UNDER THE BROWN ACT.

12

13 **DEAN FRANCOIS:** WE'VE PUT IT ON THE AGENDA FOR NEXT WEEK.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T YOU JUST LET IT RUN ITS COURSE
- 16 TODAY AND THERE'S NOTHING MORE YOU CAN DO, OKAY, YOU'VE DONE
- 17 WHAT YOU CAN DO AND WHY DON'T YOU LEAVE IT AT THAT?

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, THANK YOU. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER?
- 20 ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT? IF THERE'S NO OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT -
- 21 -

22

- 23 **DEAN FRANCOIS:** HOW DO WE FOLLOW UP WITH YOU TO FIND OUT THE
- 24 RESULTS OF WHAT HAPPENS HERE?



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, HE'S ASKING YOU.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I JUST -- I'M JUST NOT GOING TO
- 4 ENGAGE ANYMORE IN THE DIALOGUE. I MEAN, I THINK YOU'VE SAID
- 5 YOU KNOW, WE'VE EXCEEDED OUR -- WE VIOLATED THE LIMITS OF THE
- 6 BROWN ACT IN EVEN GOING THIS FAR ON THIS, BUT I THINK OUT OF
- 7 DEFERENCE TO THE URGENCY OF THE MOMENT, WE'VE ASKED OUR PUBLIC
- 8 WORKS DIRECTOR TO GIVE US SOME INFORMATION.

9

10 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: AND SO THEY CAN CONTACT HIM.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY CAN CONTACT MR. ANTONOVICH'S OFFICE.
- 13 I'M SURE CONOL WILL BE ACCESSIBLE AND HE'S GOING TO KNOW IT
- 14 BEFORE WE DO.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'LL GIVE YOU A COPY OF THE REPLY WHEN MR.
- 17 NOYES SENDS THAT INFORMATION TO US.

18

- 19 **DEAN FRANCOIS:** IF POSSIBLE, SUPERVISOR BRATHWAITE-BURKE, CAN
- 20 YOU PLACE THIS ON THE AGENDA FOR NEXT WEEK?

- 22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: I HAVE TO HAVE -- THE ONLY WAY I CAN PLACE
- 23 SOMETHING ON THE AGENDA IS THAT IT HAS TO BE A COUNTY ISSUE,
- 24 AND THE ISSUES HERE THAT CAN BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA IS IF YOU
- 25 BRING BEFORE US AND RECONSIDER -- THESE ARE PLAN -- THIS WAS A



- 1 PLANNING ISSUE, AND UNLESS I AM TOTALLY OFF BASE, YOU HAVE TO
- 2 -- THERE WAS A DETERMINATION MADE ON THIS HIGHWAY. THAT
- 3 DETERMINATION HAS TO BE SET ASIDE AND THEN IT CAN COME BACK TO
- 4 US IF YOU APPEAL THAT SET ASIDE OF THAT DETERMINATION. THERE'S
- 5 THE OAK TREE PERMIT, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THAT WAS THE ACTION
- 6 THAT WAS TAKEN BY THE COUNTY. THERE'S ALSO THE HIGHWAY
- 7 DETERMINATION THAT WAS MADE. THOSE ARE THE ISSUES THAT WOULD
- 8 HAVE TO COME BEFORE US. WE CAN'T JUST SAY, "OKAY, WE'RE GOING
- 9 TO CHANGE THE DIRECTION OF -- WE'RE GOING TO TAKE SO-AND-SO'S
- 10 PROPERTY AND SAY, OKAY, TOMORROW WE'RE GOING TO PUT ON THE
- 11 AGENDA TAKING THESE" -- IF IT'S THE PEOPLE'S PROPERTY, WE'RE
- 12 GOING TO SAY TO THIS DEVELOPER HE HAS TO DO THIS, THIS, AND
- 13 THIS. WE HAVE TO HAVE SOME MECHANISM, AND AS FAR AS I KNOW,
- 14 THOSE ARE THE ONLY MECHANISMS. I'M SURE THAT THERE ARE PEOPLE
- 15 THAT CAN LOOK UP AND SEE ALL OF THESE DETERMINATIONS THAT HAVE
- 16 BEEN MADE BY THE COUNTY THAT A COURT CAN DETERMINE COULD BE
- 17 RECONSIDERED AS THE COUNTY COUNSEL SAID, IF THE STATUE OF
- 18 LIMITATIONS HAS NOT PASSED.

20 **DEAN FRANCOIS:** OKAY.

22 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: NOW SOME OF THESE ARE OLD ISSUES.

24 **DEAN FRANCOIS:** SURE.

25

19

21



1 SUP. BURKE, CHAIR: OKAY, ALRIGHT.

2

- 3 DEAN FRANCOIS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU SUPERVISOR
- 4 YAROSLAVSKY.

5

- 6 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS
- 7 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 8 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-1 AND THE RELATED
- 9 AGENDA ITEM 52, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING
- 10 EXISTING LITIGATION; ITEM CS-2, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL
- 11 REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION, ITEM CS-4, CONFERENCE WITH
- 12 LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING INITIATION OF LITIGATION, ONE CASE,
- 13 ITEM CS-5, CONSIDERATION OF CANDIDATE FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE
- 14 POSITION OF SPECIAL COUNCIL; ITEM CS-6, CONSIDERATION OF
- 15 CANDIDATES TO THE POSITION OF DIRECTOR OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 16 SERVICES.

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THAT ONE WEEK.

- 20 CLERK VARONA-LUKENS: OKAY. ITEM CS-8, CONFERENCE WITH REAL
- 21 PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS DAVID JANSSEN, ALLEN COATEN, STAN
- 22 WISNIEWSKI WITH RESPECT TO A PROPOSED LEASE MODIFICATION FOR
- 23 PROPERTY WITHIN THE MARINA DEL REY'S SMALL CRAFT HARBOR. AND
- 24 ITEM CS-9, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING



1	LITIGATION AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA AND SUPPLEMENTAL
2	AGENDA.
3	
4	COUNSEL PELLMAN: AND I BECAME AWARE, MADAM CHAIR, JUST BEFORE
5	THE BOARD MEETING, OF THE NEED TO TAKE ACTION ON ANOTHER
6	POTENTIAL INITIATION OF LITIGATION PURSUANT TO SUBDIVISION C
7	OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN
2 3	CLOSED SESSION ON JANUARY 14, 2003
4	
5	The Board of Supervisors met today in Closed Session.
6	
7	CS-4. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED
8	LITIGATION
9	
10	Initiation of litigation, pursuant to subdivision (c)
11	of Government Code Section 54956.9 (one case)
12	
13	The Board authorized County Counsel to file an amicus
14	brief in support of the two municipalities which are
15	petitioners in the case Building Industry Association
16	of San Diego et al. v. State Water Resources Control
17	Board, et al.
18	
19	This case challenges the storm water permit for
20	San Diego County.
21	
22	
23	



1		The vote of the Board was	as follows:
2			
3		Supervisor Molina	Abstained
4		Supervisor Yaroslavsky	No
5		Supervisor Knabe	Aye
6		Supervisor Antonovich	Aye
7		Supervisor Burke	Aye
8			
9			
10	CS-5.	PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT	
11			
12		Consider candidate for app	pointment to the position of
13		Special Counsel, pursuant	to Government Code Section
14		54957.	
15			
16		The Board voted to extend	for the current calendar
17		year the contract of Merri	ick Bobb on the same terms
18		as his previous contract.	
19			
20		The vote of the Board was	unanimous.
21			



1		County Counsel was i	nstructed to prepare the contract
2		and the Chair was in	structed to sign the contract
3		upon presentation.	
4			
5	CS-8.	CONFERENCE WITH REA	AL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS
6		(Government Code Se	ection 54956.8)
7			
8		It is the intention	of the Board of Supervisors to
9		meet in Closed Sess	sion to provide instructions to
10		its real estate neg	gotiators with respect to a
11		proposed lease modi	fication for the following
12		property within the	e Marina del Rey Small Craft
13		Harbor:	
14			
15		Property:	Parcel 125I (Marina City Club)
16			4333 Admiralty Way
17			
18		County Negotiators:	David Janssen, Allan Kotin
19			and Stan Wisniewski
20			
21			
22			



1 2 CS-8 (Continued) 3 Negotiating Parties: County and Marina City Club 4 L.P. (Jerry Snyder) and Marina 5 City Club Condominium Owner's 6 Association, Inc. (Keith Allen-7 Niessen) 8 9 Under Negotiation: Price and Terms 10 11 The Board of Supervisors authorized a modification 12 to the lease for Parcel 1251, Marina City Club, at 13 14 4333 Admiralty Way, in the Marina del Rey Small 15 Craft Harbor. 16 The vote of the Board was unanimous. 17 18 The Board continued the matter for one week to 19 52. 20 January 21,2003. 21 22